AUG 2 4 2000

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By Jean aumon

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

8

9

1

2

3

5

In the Matter of the Accusation of ROBERT CHING SHENG KUO,

Respondent.

No. H-3319 SAC

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On January 22, 1998, an Order was rendered herein revoking the real estate broker license of Respondent, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate broker license. A restricted real estate broker license was issued to Respondent on March 9, 1998, and Respondent has operated as a restricted licensee without cause for disciplinary action against Respondent since that time.

On October 7, 1999, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

/// 27

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof including Respondent's record as a restricted licensee. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate broker license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate broker license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the following conditions within nine months from the date of this Order:

- 1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real estate broker license.
- 2. Submittal of evidence of having, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.

This Order shall be effective immediately.

DATED: 28, 2000

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

MAR 1 8 1998

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Junie A. Zian

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

12

5

In the Matter of the Accusation of

No. H-3319 SAC

FUMEI SU and ROBERT CHING SHENG KUO,

Respondents.

14

15

16

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

13

ORDER ACCEPTING VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF REAL ESTATE LICENSE

On November 20, 1997, an Accusation was filed in this matter against Respondents FUMEI SU and ROBERT CHING SHENG KUO.

On February 23, 1998, Respondent FUMEI SU only petitioned the Commissioner to voluntarily surrender her real estate salesperson license pursuant to Section 10100.2 of the Business and Professions Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent FUMEI SU's petition for voluntary surrender of her real estate salesperson license is accepted as of the effective date of this Order as set forth below, based upon the understanding and agreement expressed in Respondent's Declaration dated February 23, 1998 (attached as Exhibit "A" hereto).



			•			
1	:	This Orde	r shall beco	ome effective	at 12 o'clo	ck
2	noon on _	April 7	, 1998	• •		
3		DATED:	3/16/98	·		
4	:		• /	JIM ANTT,	JR. e Commission	
5	į.			Medi Istat	e Commission	er
6				A-	will to).
7				1/100		
8				V	V	
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18 19						
20						
21						
22						•
23						
24						
25						: ·
H.						

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

95 28391

26

1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE					
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
10	* * *					
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-3319 SAC					
12	FUMEI SU,)					
13	Respondent.)					
14						
15	DECLARATION					
16	My name is FUMEI SU.					
17	I am the Respondent in the above-entitled case. I am					
18	representing myself in this matter.					
19	Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section					
20	10100.2, I wish to voluntarily surrender my real estate license					
21	issued by the Department.					
SS	I understand that by so voluntarily surrendering my					
23	license, I agree to the following:					
24	The filing of my petition shall be deemed to be an					
25 :	understanding and agreement by me that upon acceptance by the					
26 ;	Commissioner, as evidenced by an appropriate order, all affidavits					
27	and all relevant evidence obtained in the investigation prior to					





the acceptance and all allegations contained in the Accusation filed in Department of Real Estate Case No. H-3319 SAC may be considered by the Department to be true and correct for the purpose of deciding whether or not to grant reinstatement of my license. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. DATED: 9. . 25

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 3-95)

Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

Telephone: (916) 227-0789



Laurie A. Zan

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

In the Matter of the Accusation of

FUMEI SU and,

ROBERT CHING SHENG KUO,

Respondents.

NO. H-3319 SAC

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between ROBERT CHING SHENG KUO (hereinafter "Respondent KUO"), and the Complainant, acting by and through David A. Peters, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on November 20, 1997, in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

95 28391

H-3319 SAC

- 1 -

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27



the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding. On December 3, 1997, Respondent KUO filed a Notice 3.

Respondent KUO has received, read and understands

- of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Respondent KUO hereby freely and voluntarily Accusation. withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondent KUO acknowledges that he understands that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense, he will thereby waive his right to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA and that he will waive other rights afforded to him in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- Respondent KUO, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, admits that the factual allegations (or findings of fact as set forth below) in Paragraphs VIII and XI of the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.
- It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as his Decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on Respondent's real estate license and license rights as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the

Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondent shall retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers, and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

Į,

The acts and omissions of Respondent KUO as described in Paragraphs XI of the Accusation in this matter are grounds for the suspension or revocation of all of the real estate license and license rights of Respondent KUO under the provisions of Section 10137 of the Business and Professions Code.

ORDER

A. The real estate broker license and all license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law are revoked, however, a restricted real estate broker license shall be issued to Respondent KUO pursuant to Business and Professions Code

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO, 113 (REV. 3-95)

H-3319 SAC

- 3 -

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

13 (REV. 3-95)

Section 10156.5, if Respondent KUO makes application therefor and pays to the Department the appropriate fee for said license with ninety (90) days from the effective date of the Order herein.

- The restricted license issued to Respondent KUO shall be subject to all the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of said Code:
 - The license shall not confer any property right in (1)the privileges to be exercised, and the Real Estate Commissioner may by appropriate order suspend the right to exercise any privileges granted under the restricted license in the event of:
 - The conviction of Respondent KUO (including a (a) plea of nolo contendere) to a crime which bears a significant relation to Respondent KUO's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee; or
 - (b) The receipt of evidence that Respondent KUO has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- Respondent shall not be eligible to apply for issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions attaching to 111

the restricted license until one (1) year has elapsed from the date of issuance of a restricted license to Respondent KUO.

D. Respondent KUO shall, within nine (9) months from the effective date of the Order, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that he has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent KUO fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until Respondent KUO presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent KUO the opportunity for a hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

E. Respondent KUO shall, within six (6) months from the effective date of the restricted license, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of the restricted license until Respondent passes the examination.

Respondent may be suspended or revoked for a violation by

Respondent of any of the conditions attaching to the restricted

12/29/97 DATED

DAVID A. PETERS, Counsel DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) 1

3

5

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

H-3319 SAC

- 5 -

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

COURT PAPER STATE OF GALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95) I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, and its terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

2/22 / 97 DATED

ROBERT CHING SHENG KUO Respondent

The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement for Settlement is hereby adopted by the Real Estate Commissioner as his Decision and Order and shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on

IT IS SO ORDERED

1998

1/22/98

JIM ANTT, JR.

Real Estate Commissioner

H-3319 SAC

March

DAVID A. PETERS, Counsel Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 2 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 3 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE (916) 227-0781 (Direct) -or-4 5 6 7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 In the Matter of the Accusation of 11 No. H-3319 SAC FUMEI SU and 12 ROBERT CHING SHENG KUO. **ACCUSATION** 13 Respondents. 14 The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate 15 Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of accusation 16 against FUMEI SU (hereinafter "Respondent SU"), and ROBERT CHING SHENG KUO dba Best Real Estate & Loan Co. (hereinafter "Respondent 18 KUO"), is informed and alleges as follows: 19 20 The Complainant, Charles W. Koenig, a Deputy Real Estate 21 Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in 22 his official capacity. 23 111 24 25 111 111 26 /// 27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 3-95)

ΙI

Respondent SU is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) (hereinafter "Code"), as a real estate salesperson.

III

Respondent KUO is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Code, as a real estate broker.

IV

Within the three-year period immediately preceding the filing of this Accusation and continuing through on or about May 8, 1996, Respondent SU was licensed in the employ of real estate broker Gary Massari (hereinafter "broker Massari").

V

Beginning on or about January 23, 1995, and continuing through on or after December 22, 1995, Respondent SU, a real estate salesperson, engaged in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate broker in the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(a) of the Code, by selling or offering to sell, buying or offering to buy, soliciting prospective sellers or purchasers of, soliciting or obtaining listings of, or negotiating the purchase, sale or exchange of real property or a business opportunity on behalf of another or others, all for or in expectation of a compensation.

25 ///

26 //

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

2 read a read a

.17

On or about January 23, 1995, in connection with the real estate broker activities described in Paragraph V above, Respondent SU, without the authorization, approval or knowledge of her employing broker Massari, listed for sale a business opportunity commonly known as May's Kitchen, located at 818 Tennessee Street, Vallejo, California (hereinafter "May's Kitchen") owned by Kwok Y. Cheung (hereinafter "Seller"). Respondent represented in said listing that she was working for Best Realty & Loan the dba of Respondent KUO. Respondent SU made said representation without the authorization, approval or knowledge of Respondent KUO.

VII

On or about April 27, 1995, in connection with the real estate broker activities described in Paragraph V above, Respondent SU without the authorization, approval or knowledge of her employing broker Massari, caused a written offer to purchase May's Kitchen from Jock Fu Lee (hereinafter "Buyer Lee") to be submitted to the Seller. Said offer recited that Respondent SU held a deposit of \$2,500.00 in the form of a personal check from Buyer Lee. Respondent SU did not then or at any other time hold a deposit from Buyer Lee on account of the proposed purchase of May's Kitchen. Beginning on or about April 27, 1995, and continuing through on or about May 2, 1995, Respondent SU failed to disclose to Seller that Respondent SU did not in fact hold a \$2,500.00 deposit from Buyer Lee.

¥ /

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 (REV. 3-95)

VIII

On or about October 24, 1995, in connection with the real estate broker activities described in Paragraph V above, Respondent SU without the authorization, approval or knowledge of her employing broker Massari, caused a written offer to purchase May's Kitchen from Jia Chi Chen (hereinafter "Buyer Chen") to be submitted to the Seller. Said offer was accepted by the Seller.

IX

On or about December 22, 1995 escrow closed on the sale of May's Kitchen as described in Paragraph VIII above.

X

On or about December 22, 1995, Respondent SU in connection with activities for which a real estate broker license in required as described in Paragraph VIII above, accepted compensation from Respondent KUO, a person other than the broker under whom Respondent SU was licensed.

ΧI

On or about December 22, 1995, Respondent KUO compensated Respondent SU in connection with activities for which a real estate broker license is required as described in Paragraph VIII above, at a time when Respondent SU was not licensed as a real estate salesperson in the employ of Respondent KUO.

XII

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of Respondent SU's licenses and/or license rights under Sections 10130, 10137, 10176(a), and 10176(i) of the Code.

COURT PAPER
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
STD. 113 (REV. 3-95)

- 4 -

XIII

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of Respondent KUO's licenses and/or license rights under Section 10137 of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

CHARLES W. KOENIG

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Sacramento, California,

this 13 day of November, 1997.

COURT PAPER