1	JASON D. LAZARK, Counsel			
2	State Bar No. 263714 Department of Real Estate P.O. Drug 127002			
3	P.O. Box 137007 Sacramento, CA 95813-7007 SEP 2 7 2018			
4	Telephone: (916) 263-8672			
5	(916) 263-8684 (Direct) By L 2007			
6	Fax: (916) 263-3767			
7				
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE			
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
10	* * *			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of:			
12	OSBORNE HOMES and) No. H-3152 FR			
13	ROBERT J. OSBORNE,			
14	Respondent.			
15	The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, acting in her official capacity as a			
16	Supervising Special Investigator of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against			
17	OSBORNE HOMES and ROBERT J. OSBORNE ("collectively referred to as "Respondents"),			
18	is informed and alleges as follows:			
19	1			
20	ROBERT J. OSBORNE is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the			
21	Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) ("Code") as a real			
22	estate broker. At all relevant times, ROBERT J. OSBORNE was the designated officer of			
23	OSBORNE HOMES.			
24	2			
25	OSBORNE HOMES is presently licensed by the California Department of Real			
26	Estate ("the Department") and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law as a corporate real			
27	estate broker, and at all relevant times herein was acting by and through ROBERT J. OSBORNE			
	- 1 -			

1 as its designated officer.

2	3	
3	At all times mentioned herein, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in	
4	the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate licensee, in the State of California,	
5	within the meaning of Section 10131(a) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a	
6	real estate resale brokerage with the public, wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in	ן ו
7	expectation of compensation, Respondents sold and offered to sell, bought and offered to buy,	
8	solicited prospective sellers and purchasers of, solicited and obtained listings of, and negotiated	
9	the purchase and resale of real property.	
10	4	
11	On or about June 9, 2015, OSBORNE HOMES and Andre Morin ("Morin")	
12	entered into an Independent Contractor Agreement whereby Morin agreed to provide inside sale	s
13	services for OSBORNE HOMES for compensation. Pursuant to "Exhibit A" of the agreement,	
14	Morin was tasked with the following responsibilities:	
15	Duties & Responsibilities	
16	 Field new business inquiries – inbound calls Update and prospect to previous callers (no-deals) 	
17	 Pitch the value propositions that Osborne Home offers to each potential seller 	
18	• Analyze potential deal by using different software, online databases, and	
19	 comparable properties Discover the needs of seller's and overcome objections 	
20	• Quickly navigate within a contact management system: multitask and	
21	 prioritize opportunities Keep comprehensive and complete daily "call logs" for every call 	
22	 Proactively improve performance through coaching and feedback provided by management 	
23		
24	5	
25	At no time after OSBORNE HOMES and Morin entered into the agreement	
26	described above in Paragraph 4 did OSBORNE HOMES or ROBERT J. OSBORNE provide	
27	Morin any training or guidance regarding how to conduct his independent contractor duties.	

1	6	
2	At no time after OSBORNE HOMES and Morin entered into the agreement	
3	described above in Paragraph 4 did OSBORNE HOMES or ROBERT J. OSBORNE instruct	
4	Morin not to solicit and/or negotiate the purchase and sale of real estate on behalf of OSBORNE	
5	HOMES.	
6	7	
7	On or about May 15, 2016, Evelyn Cottrell called Osborne Homes and indicated	
8	she wished to sell a home she owned located at 728 Chestnut Avenue, Tracy, California 95375	
9	("Subject Property"). Morin answered the call on behalf of Osborne Homes. During the	
10	conversation between Morin and Ms. Cottrell, Morin offered to have Osborne Homes purchase	
11	the Subject Property from Ms. Cottrell for \$130,000.	l
12	8	
13	Subsequent to the conversation between Ms. Cottrell and Morin, as discussed	ļ
14	above in Paragraph 7, Osborne Homes purchased the Subject Property from Ms. Cottrell.	
15	9	
16	OSBORNE HOMES compensated Morin for his services, including those	
17	described above in Paragraph 7.	
18	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION	
19	Unlawful Compensation (As to OSBORNE HOMES)	
20	10	
21	Each and every allegation set forth above in Paragraphs 1 through 9, inclusive, is	
22	incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth herein.	
23	11	
24	The acts and/or omissions of OSBORNE HOMES, as described above in	
25	Paragraphs 3 through 9, constitute violations of Section 10137 (unlawful compensation), and	
26	are grounds for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of OSBORNE	
27		

1	HOMES under Sections 10177(d) (willful disregard for the law) and/or 10177(g) (negligence or	•
2	incompetence) of the Code.	
3	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
4	FAILURE TO SUPERVISE (As to ROBERT J. OSBORNE)	
5	12	
6	Each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 11, inclusive, above, is	
7	incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth herein.	
8	13	
9	ROBERT J. OSBORNE, as the supervising broker of OSBORNE HOMES, was	
10	required to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the real estate activities and	
11	employees of OSBORNE HOMES.	
12	14	
13	ROBERT J. OSBORNE failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts	
14	and/or omissions of OSBORNE HOMES in such a manner as to allow the acts and/or omissions,	
15	as described above in the FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION of the Accusation to occur, which	'
16	constitutes cause for the suspension or revocation of the license(s) and license rights of ROBERT	Π
17	J. OSBORNE under Sections 10177(d) and/or 10177(g), 10177(h) (failure to supervise activities	
18	of corporation) and 10159.2 (failure to supervise activities of corporation) of the Code, in	
19	conjunction with Section 2725, of Title 10, California Code of Regulations (broker supervision).	
20	15	
21	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in	
22	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), the	
23	Commissioner may request the Administrative Law Judge to direct a licensee found to have	
24	committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the	ĺ
25	investigation and enforcement of the case.	
26	///	
27	///	

.

1

11

	II .
P	
1	
1	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the
2	allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered revoking all
3	license and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law, for the cost of
4	investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may
5	be proper under other provisions of law.
6	
7	3/ 11
8	BRENDA SMITH
9	Supervising Special Investigator
10	Dated at Fresno, California,
11	this <u>19</u> day of <u>September</u> , 2018.
12	DISCOVERY DEMAND
13	Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, et seq. of the Administrative Procedure Act, the
14	Department hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the
15	Administrative Procedure Act. Failure to provide Discovery to the Department may result in the
16	exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the Office of
17	Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	- 5 -