`د د	
1	RICHARD K. UNO, Counsel III (SBN 98275) Bureau of Real Estate
2	P. O. Box 137007 Sacramento, CA 95813-7007
· 3	
4	(916) 263-3767 (Fax) BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
6	(916) 263-8679 (Direct) By <u>By</u>
7	
8	BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-3037 FR
12	BIZ4LOANS, HARPREET BALI,
13	PRADEEP BALI and DALJEET SINGH,
14	Respondents.
15	The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Supervising Special Investigator of the
16	State of California, for Accusation against Respondents BIZ4LOANS (BIZ), HARPREET BALI
17	(HARPREET), PRADEEP BALI (PRADEEP) and DALJEET SINGH (SINGH), sometimes
18	collectively referred to as Respondents, is informed and alleges as follows:
19	1
20	The Complainant makes this Accusation against Respondents in her official
21	capacity.
22 23	2
23	BIZ is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law,
25	Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (Code) by the Bureau of
26	Real Estate (Bureau) as a corporate real estate broker.
27	
	-1-

**\***#

ť

.

` د`

1	3
2	HARPREET is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Code as a
3	
4	4
5	At all times mentioned, PRADEEP was and now is licensed by the Bureau as a
6	real estate salesperson whose license has at all times mentioned herein since December 29,
7	2010, been, and now is, a restricted real estate salesperson license subject to terms, conditions
8	and restrictions pursuant to Sections 10156.6 and 10156.7 of the Code.
9	5
10	SINGH is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Code as a real
11	estate broker.
12	6.
13	At all times mentioned, HARPREET was the designated broker-officer of BIZ.
14	As the designated broker-officer, HARPREET was responsible, pursuant to Section 10159.2 of
15	the Code, for the supervision of the activities of officers, agents, real estate licensees and
16	employees of BIZ for which a real estate license is required to ensure the compliance of the
17	corporation with the Real Estate law and the Regulations.
18	7
19	At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in
20	the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers within the State of California
21	within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a
22	loan brokerage business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in
23	expectation of compensation, Respondents solicited lenders and borrowers for loans secured
24	directly or collaterally by liens on real property, and wherein Respondents arranged negotiated,
25	processed, and consummated such loans.
26	///
27	

сı

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 7, above, and incorporates the same
herein by reference.

Beginning on January 13, 2016, and continuing intermittently through February
5, 2016, an audit was conducted at BIZ's main office located at 4491 W. Shaw Avenue, #101,
Fresno, California, and at the Bureau's district office located at 1651 Exposition Blvd.,
Sacramento, California, where the auditor examined records for the period of January 1, 2015,
through January 30, 2016 (the audit period).

While acting as a real estate broker as described in Paragraph 6, above, and within the audit period, BIZ accepted or received funds in trust (trust funds) from or on behalf of property owners, deposited or caused to be deposited those funds into bank accounts maintained by BIZ, at Chase Bank, P.O. Box 659754, Fresno, California, as described below:

-		
7		BANK ACCOUNT #1
18	Account No.:	XXXXXX1885
19	Entitled:	Biz4Loans
20	and thereafter from t	ime-to-time made disbursement of said trust funds.
21		11
22	In the	course of the activities described in Paragraph 6, in connection with the
23	collection and disbu	rsement of trust funds, it was determined that:
24	(a)	BIZ failed to designate Bank Account #1 as a trust account as required by
25		Section 2832 of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations
6		(Regulations);
27		· ·
		•
		- 3 -

1 during an accountability performed on Bank Account #1, and as of (b) January 30, 2015, a shortage of \$2,106.85 was revealed, in violation of 2 3 Section 10145 of the Code: 4 BIZ failed to obtain written permission from owners of trust funds in (c) 5 Bank Account #1 to allow the balance to drop below accountability, in 6 violation of Section 2832.1 of the Regulations; 7 BIZ failed to submit to the Bureau materials used in connection with the (d)8 loan modification services offered and undertaken on behalf of clients, as required by Section 2970 of the Regulations and Section 10085 of the 9 10 Code; On or after October 11, 2009, BIZ collected a total of \$4,747.00 in 11 (e) advance fees to perform loan modification services, in violation of 12 13 Section 10085.6 of the Code; BIZ failed to provide an accounting to trust fund owner-beneficiaries 14 (f) 15 from whom advance fees had previously been collected as required by 16 Section 2972 of the Regulations and Section 10146 of the Code; 17 BIZ failed to maintain control records for Bank Account #1, as required (g) 18 by Section 2831 of the Regulations; 19 (h) BIZ failed to maintain separate beneficiary records for Bank Account #1 20 as required by Section 2831.1 of the Regulations; 21 (i) BIZ failed to perform monthly reconciliations of the separate beneficiary 22 records and control records for Bank Account #1 as required by Section 23 2831.2 of the Regulations; and 24 (j) BIZ disbursed trust funds from Bank Account #1 for personal use in 25 violation of Section 10176(e) of the Code. 26 111 27 III

- 4 -

4		
1	12	
2	The acts and/or omissions described above constitute violations of Sections 2831	
3	(control records), 2831.1 (separate beneficiary records), 2831.2 (monthly reconciliations), 2832	
4	(trust fund designation), 2832.1 (written permission balance below accountability) and 2972	
5	(advance fee accounting) of the Regulations, and of Sections 10145 (trust fund handling) and	
6	10176(e) (commingling) of the Code and are grounds for discipline under Sections 10176(e),	
7	10177(d) (willful disregard of real estate laws) and 10177(g) (negligence/incompetence	
8	licensee) of the Code.	
9	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
10	13	
11	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 12, above, and incorporates the same	
12	herein, by reference.	
13	14	
14	On or about January 26, 2015, Keith H. agreed to have BIZ, by and through	.
15	PRADEEP, to perform a modification of a loan secured by property commonly known as 144	
16	Southeast Priest Street, Madison, Florida (Priest Property).	
17	15	
18	On or about January 26, 2015, PRADEEP, acting on behalf of BIZ, told Keith H.	
19	that he would have to pay an up front "administrative fee" of \$2,100.00 for the loan modification.	
20	16	
21	On or about January 29, 2015, Keith H. paid PRADEEP \$2,100.00 for the loan	
22	modification on the Priest Property.	
23	17	
24	On or about February 9, 2015, BIZ issued and sent to Keith H., a "Conditional	
25	Commitment/Letter of Intent" wherein it agreed to process the loan modification of the Priest	
26	Property.	! : 
27	///	
	- 5 -	
	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	

٩

**.** .

۲

۰.

18
Respondents failed to obtain a loan modification on the Priest Property for Keith
H.
19
From and since March 10, 2015, Keith H. has asked for a refund of his \$2,100.00
advance fee, but was told by PRADEEP that it was non-refundable.
20
The acts and or omissions referred to in Paragraphs 12 through 19, above,
constitute violations of Section 10085.6 (advance fees for loan modification) of the Code and are
grounds for the suspension or revocation of Respondents' licenses pursuant to Sections 10177(d)
and 10177(g) of the Code.
THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
21
Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 20, above, and incorporates the same
herein, by reference.
22
On or about January 15, 2015, Alan and Marlene V. agreed to have BIZ, by and
through PRADEEP, to perform a modification of a loan secured by their family farm, comprised
of numerous parcels, located in Marshall, Minnesota (Marshall Property).
23
On or about January 15, 2015, PRADEEP, acting on behalf of BIZ, told Alan and
Marlene V. that they would have to pay an up front "administrative fee" of \$4,500.00 for the loan
modification. Ultimately, PRADEEP lowered the fee to \$2,699.00.
24
On or about February 2, 2015, Alan and Marlene V. paid PRADEEP \$2,699.00
for the loan modification on the Marshall Property.
///
- 6 -

1

۰,

<b>`</b> ,		
• •	5	
١	1	
	1	25
	2	On or about January 27, 2015, BIZ issued and sent to Alan and Marlene V., a
	3	"Conditional Commitment/Letter of Intent", wherein it agreed to process the loan modification of
	4	the Marshall Property.
	5	26
	6	Respondents obtained a lender for a loan modification on the Marshall Property,
	7	which Alan and Marlene V. rejected.
	8	27
	9	From and since rejecting the loan, Alan and Marlene V. have asked for a refund of
1	10	their \$2,699.00 advance fee, but was told by PRADEEP that it was non-refundable, since
1	11	Respondents did find a lender.
. 1	12	28
1	13	The acts and or omissions referred to in Paragraphs 20 through 26, above,
1	4	constitute violations of Section 10085.6 of the Code and are grounds for the suspension or
1	5	revocation of Respondents' licenses pursuant to Sections 10177(d) and 10177(g) of the Code.
1	6	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Loan No. 1)
1	7	29
1	.8	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 28, above, and incorporates them
1	.9	herein by reference.
2	0	. 30
2	1	Leo Entertainment, Inc. (LEO) is a California Corporation, owned by
2	2	HARPREET and SINGH, and has never been licensed by the Bureau as a corporate real estate
2	3	broker.
2	4	31
2	5	Daily Investments Group, LLC (DI) is a California Limited Liability Company,
2	6	owned by HARPREET and SINGH, and has never been licensed by the Bureau as a corporate
2	7	real estate broker.

- 7 -

•

r	
й.	
1	32
2	For the FOURTH through TENTH CAUSE OF ACTIONS, hereafter,
3	Respondents refers to all named Respondents and LEO and DI.
4	33
5	Respondents, through HARPREET, solicited Reenu Saini (Reenu S.) to make a
6	loan on certain real property commonly known as 5235 W. Olive, Fresno, California.
7	34
8	Reenu S., at various time mentioned herein, represented the interests of Vikas
9	Saini, Shakuntla Saina and IRA Services Trust Co. CFBO Shakuntla Saini-IRA (IRA Trust) as
10	well as herself.
11	35
12	The amount solicited for this loan, No. 1, was \$10,000.00. Respondents
13	represented that Loan No. 1 would be secured by real property and be in first position.
14	36
15	On or about May 7, 2015, Respondents emailed Reenu S. a note dated May 12,
16	2015, with BIZ4LOANS as the borrower, in the amount of \$10,000.00. Interest was 15%, with
17	the principal balance due on November 11, 2015. Respondents failed to provide a Lender
18	Purchaser Disclosure Statement (LPDS) and Self-Dealing Notice as required by Section
19	10231.2(a) of the Code.
20	37
21	Respondents made six (6) interest only payments of \$125.00 per month then
22	stopped.
23	38
24	Respondents did not maintain any account of receipt of the loan or distribution of
. 25	interest payments in violation of Section 2831 and 2832 of the Regulations and Section 10145
26	of the Code.
27	
	- 8 -
	× ·
1	

'n

`,

7	
1	39
2	On May 11, 2015, Reenu S. wired \$10,000.00 to Respondents for Loan No. 1.
3	Respondents failed to provide a LPDS to Reenu S. before receiving the funds, in violation of
4	Section 10231(b) of the Code.
5	40
6	Before receiving the funds for Loan No. 1, Respondents failed to obtain an
7	Investor Suitability Questionnaire as required by Section 10232.45 of the Code and failed to
8	provide lenders a Service Agreement as required by Section 10233 of the Code.
9	41
10	On November 11, 2015, Respondents defaulted on the principal payment of
11	\$10,000.00.
12	42
13	The \$10,000.00 that Reenu S. paid for Loan No. 1 was used by Respondents for
14	their operating expenses instead of a specific loan, in violation of Section 10231 of the Code.
15	43
16	No Deed of Trust was created, and thus no Deed of Trust was recorded as
17	required by Sections 10234 and 10234.5 of the Code.
18	44
19	The facts alleged above violate Section 10176(a) (substantial misrepresentation,
20	10176(b) (false promises to influence, persuade or induce), 10176(c) (continued, flagrant course
21	of misrepresentation), 10176(i) (other conduct: fraud or dishonest dealing) and 10177(j)
22	(fraud/dishonest dealing) of the Code and are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the
23	licenses and license rights of RESPONDENTS under Sections 10176(a), 10176(b), 10176(c),
24	10176(i) and 10177(j) of the Code.
25	
26	
27	///
	. 0 .

1	45	
2	The above acts and/or omissions of Respondents violate Sections 2831 (trust fund	
3	records) and 2832 (trust fund handling) of the Regulations and Sections 10145 (trust fund	
4	handling), 10231 (funds not applied to specific loan), 10231.2(a) and (b) (failure to submit LPDS	
5	and Self- Dealing Notice to BRE), 10232.4 (failure to provide LPDS to investor), 10232.45	
6	(failure to ensure investor suitability), 10233 (no written service agreement), 10234 (failure to	
7	record deed of trust) and 10234.5 (failure to deliver deed of trust to investor) of the Code and	
8	constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Sections 10177(d), 10177(g)	
9	and 10177(h) (broker supervision) of the Code.	
10	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Loan No. 2)	
11	46	
12	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 45, above, and incorporates them	
13	herein by reference.	
14	47	
15	Respondents, through HARPREET, solicited Reenu S. to make a second loan on	
16	certain real property commonly known as 5235 W. Olive, Fresno, California.	
17	48	
18	The amount solicited for this loan, No. 2, was \$25,000.00. Respondents	
19	represented that Loan No. 2 would be secured by real property.	
20	49	
21	On or about May 22, 2015, Respondent emailed Reenu S. a note dated June 1,	
22	2015 with DAILY INVESTMENTS INC. as the borrower, in the amount of \$25,000.00.	
23	Interest was 15%, with the principal balance due on December 31, 2015. Respondents failed to	
24	provide a LPDS and Self-Dealing Notice as required by Section 10231.2(a) of the Code.	
25	- 50	
26	Respondents made six (6) interest only payments of \$312.30 per month then	
27	stopped.	

	ł
51	
Respondents did not maintain any account of receipt of the loan or distribution of	
interest payments in violation of Section 2831 and 2832 of the Regulations and Section 10145	
of the Code.	
52	
On May 28, 2015, Reenu S. wired \$25,000.00 to Respondents for Loan No. 2.	
Respondents failed to provide a LPDS to Reenu S. before receiving the funds, in violation of	
Section 10231(b) of the Code.	
53	
Before receiving the funds for Loan No. 2, Respondents failed to obtain an	
Investor Suitability Questionnaire as required by Section 10232.45 of the Code, and failed to	
provide lenders a Service Agreement as required by Section 10233 of the Code.	
54	
On December 31, 2015, Respondents defaulted on the principal payment of	
\$25,000.00.	
55	ļ
The \$25,000.00 that Reenu S. paid for Loan No. 2 was used by Respondents to	
make an unsecured loan to Dr. T., instead of a specific loan, in violation of Section 10231 of the	
Code.	
56	
No Deed of Trust was created, and thus no Deed of Trust was recorded as	
required by Sections 10234 and 10234.5 of the Code.	
57	
The facts alleged above violate Section 10176(a) (substantial misrepresentation,	
10176(b) (false promises to influence, persuade or induce), 10176(c) (continued, flagrant course	
of misrepresentation), 10176(i) (other conduct: fraud or dishonest dealing) and 10177(j)	
(fraud/dishonest dealing) of the Code, and are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the	
- 11 -	
	Respondents did not maintain any account of receipt of the loan or distribution of interest payments in violation of Section 2831 and 2832 of the Regulations and Section 10145 of the Code. 52 On May 28, 2015, Reenu S. wired \$25,000.00 to Respondents for Loan No. 2. Respondents failed to provide a LPDS to Reenu S. before receiving the funds, in violation of Section 10231(b) of the Code. 53 Before receiving the funds for Loan No. 2, Respondents failed to obtain an Investor Suitability Questionnaire as required by Section 10232.45 of the Code, and failed to provide lenders a Service Agreement as required by Section 10233 of the Code. 54 On December 31, 2015, Respondents defaulted on the principal payment of \$25,000.00. 55 The \$25,000.00 that Reenu S. paid for Loan No. 2 was used by Respondents to make an unsecured loan to Dr. T., instead of a specific loan, in violation of Section 10231 of the Code. 56 No Deced of Trust was created, and thus no Deed of Trust was recorded as required by Sections 10234 and 10234.5 of the Code. 57 The facts alleged above violate Section 10176(a) (substantial misrepresentation, 10176(b) (false promises to influence, persuade or induce), 10176(c) (continued, flagrant course of misrepresentation), 10176(i) (other conduct: fraud or dishonest dealing) and 10177(j) (fraud/dishonest dealing) of the Code, and are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the

.

•

• "

II

. '	
f V	
1	(fraud/dishonest dealing) of the Code, and are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the
2	licenses and license rights of RESPONDENTS under Sections 10176(a), 10176(b), 10176(c),
3	10176(i) and 10177(j) of the Code.
4	58
5	The above acts and/or omissions of Respondents violate Sections 2831 (trust fund
6	records) and 2832 (trust fund handling) of the Regulations and Sections 10145 (trust fund
7	handling), 10231 (funds not applied to specific loan), 10231.2(a) and (b) (failure to submit LPDS
8	and Self- Dealing Notice to BRE), 10232.4 (failure to provide LPDS to investor), 10232.45
9	(failure to ensure investor suitability), 10233 (no written service agreement), 10234 (failure to
10	record deed of trust) and 10234.5 (failure to deliver deed of trust to investor) of the Code and
11	constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Sections 10177(d) and
12	10177(g) of the Code.
13	SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Loan No. 4)
14	59
15	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 58, above, and incorporates them
16	herein by reference.
17	60
18	Respondents, through HARPREET, solicited Reenu S. to make a bridge loan on
19	a 145.71 acre walnut orchard.
20	61
21	The amount solicited for this loan, No. 4, was \$150,000.00. Respondents
22	represented that Loan No. 4 would be secured by real property.
23	62
24	On or about July 30, 2015, Respondents emailed Reenu S. a note dated
. 25	July 30, 2015 with P & M Samra Land Investments, LLC as the borrower, in the amount of
26	\$150,000.00. Interest was 19%, with a balance due on November 15, 2015. Respondents failed
27	to provide an LPDS and Self-Dealing Notice as required by Section 10231.2(a) of the Code.
	- 12 -

<b>,</b> c	
40 	
1	63
2	Respondents made three (3) interest only payments of \$2,375.00 per month then
3	stopped.
4	64
5	Respondents did not maintain any account of receipt of the loan or distribution of
6	interest payments in violation of Section 2831 and 2832 of the Regulations and Section 10145
7	of the Code.
8	65
. 9	On July 29, 2015, Reenu S. wired \$150,000.00 to Respondents for Loan No. 4.
10	Respondents failed to provide an LPDS to Reenu S. before receiving the funds, in violation of
11	Section 10231(b) of the Code.
· 12	66
13	On or about August 10, 2015, Respondents prepared a Mortgage Loan Disclosure
14	Statement (MLDS) for borrowers of Loan No. 4. Respondents failed to sign the MLDS, in
15	violation of Section 10240 of the Code.
16	67
. 17	On August 11, 2015, a Deed of Trust for Loan No. 4 was recorded in Sutter
. 18	County.
19	68
20	On August 11, 2015, two Subordination Agreements for Loan No. 4 were
21	recorded in Sutter County. One of the Agreements was in favor of Reenu S., but was not signed
22	by Reenu S.
23	69
24	Respondents did not have written authorization to service Loan No. 4, in
25	violation of Section 10233 of the Code.
26	
27	
	13 -

1	70
2	Before receiving the funds for Loan No. 4, Respondents failed to obtain an
3	
4	
5	71
6	On November 15, 2015, Respondents defaulted on the principal payment of
7	\$150,000.00.
8	72
9	On November 24, 2015, the borrowers of Loan No. 4 filed Chapter 12
10	Bankruptcy.
11	. 73
12	The facts alleged above violate Section 10176(a) (substantial misrepresentation,
13	10176(b) (false promises to influence, persuade or induce), 10176(c) (continued, flagrant course
14	of misrepresentation), 10176(i) (other conduct: fraud or dishonest dealing) and 10177(j)
15	(fraud/dishonest dealing) of the Code, and are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the
16	licenses and license rights of RESPONDENTS under Sections 10176(a), 10176(b), 10176(c),
17	10176(i) and 10177(j) of the Code.
18	74
19	The above acts and/or omissions of Respondents violate Sections 2831 (trust fund
20	records) and 2832 (trust fund handling) of the Regulations, and Sections 10145 (trust fund
21	handling), 10231 (funds not applied to specific loan), 10231.2(a) and (b) (failure to submit LPDS
22	and Self- Dealing Notice to BRE), 10232.4 (failure to provide LPDS to investor), 10232.45
23	(failure to insure investor suitability), 10233 (no written service agreement), 10234 (failure to
24	record deed of trust) and 10234.5 (failure to deliver deed of trust to investor) and 10240 (failure
25	to sign MLDS) of the Code, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions
26	of Sections 10177(d) and 10177(g) of the Code.
27	

- 14 -

٠.	
1	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Loan No. 5)
2	75
3	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 74, above, and incorporates them
4	herein by reference.
5	76
6	Respondents, through HARPREET, solicited Reenu S. to make a loan on a golf
7	course.
8	77
9	The amount solicited for this loan, No. 5, was \$80,000.00. Respondents
10	represented that Loan No. 5 would be secured by real property.
11	78
12	On or about August 21, 2015, Respondents emailed Reenu S. a note dated
13	August 21, 2015 with DAILY INVESTMENTS INC. as the borrower, in the amount of
14	\$80,000.00. Interest was 15%, with the principal balance due on June 21, 2016. Respondents
15	failed to provide a LPDS and Self- Dealing Notice as required by Section 10231.2(a) of the
16	Code.
17	79
18	Respondents made six (6) interest only payments of \$1,000.00 per month then
19	stopped.
20	80
21	Respondents did not maintain any account of receipt of the loan or distribution of
22	interest payments in violation of Section 2831 and 2832 of the Regulations and Section 10145
23	of the Code.
24	81
25	On August 12, 2015, Reenu S. wired \$80,000.00 to Respondents for Loan No. 5.
26	Respondents failed to provide a LPDS to Reenu S. before receiving the funds, in violation of
27	Section 10231(b) of the Code.

- 15 -

1		
1	82	
2	Before receiving the funds for Loan No. 5, Respondents failed to an obtain	
3	Investor Suitability Questionnaire as required by Section 10232.45 of the Code and failed to	
4	provide lenders a Service Agreement as required by Section 10233 of the Code.	
5	83	
6	On June 21, 2016, Respondents defaulted on the principal payment of	
7	\$80,000.00.	
8	84	
9	The \$80,000.00 that Reenu S. paid for Loan No. 5 was used by Respondents to	
10	make several smaller unsecured loan, instead of a specific loan, in violation of Section 10231 of	
11	the Code.	
12	85	
13	No Deed of Trust was created, and thus no Deed of Trust was recorded as	
14	required by Sections 10234 and 10234.5 of the Code.	
15	86	
16	The facts alleged above violate Section 10176(a) (substantial misrepresentation,	
17	10176(b) (false promises to influence, persuade or induce), 10176(c) (continued, flagrant course	
18	of misrepresentation), 10176(i) (other conduct: fraud or dishonest dealing) and 10177(j)	
19	(fraud/dishonest dealing) of the Code, and are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the	
20	licenses and license rights of RESPONDENTS under Sections 10176(a), 10176(b), 10176(c),	
21	10176(i) and 10177(j) of the Code.	
22	87	
23	The above acts and/or omissions of Respondents violate Sections 2831 (trust fund	
24	records) and 2832 (trust fund handling) of the Regulations and Sections 10145 (trust fund	
25	handling), 10231 (funds not applied to specific loan), 10231.2(a) and (b) (failure to submit LPDS	
26	and Self- Dealing Notice to BRE), 10232.4 (failure to provide LPDS to investor), 10233 (no	
27	written service agreement), 10234 (failure to record deed of trust) and 10234.5 (failure to deliver	
	- 16 -	

,

• •

۰,

٠

.

li

•

•	
i t	
1	deed of trust to investor) of the Code and constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the
2	provisions of Sections 10177(d) and 10177(g) of the Code.
3	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Loan No.7)
. 4	88
5	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 87, above, and incorporates them
6	herein by reference.
7	89
8	Respondents, through HARPREET, solicited Reenu S. to make a second loan on
9	a start-up company known as Confia Systems.
10	90
11	The amount solicited for this loan, No. 7, was \$35,000.00. Respondents
12	represented that Loan No. 7 would be secured by real property.
13	91
14	On or about July 8, 2015, Respondents emailed Reenu S. a note dated July 8,
15	2015 with Nadaradjane R. and Seema A. as the borrowers, in the amount of \$35,000.00.
16	Interest was 17%, with a balance due on January 8, 2016. Respondents failed to provide a
17	LPDS and Self-Dealing Notice as required by Section 10231.2(a) of the Code.
18	92
19	On July 8, 2015, Respondents prepared a Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement
20	for borrowers of Loan No. 7 that was not signed, in violation of Section 10240 of the Code.
21	93
22	Respondents made six (6) interest only payments of \$495.03 per month then
23	stopped.
· 24	94
25	Respondents did not maintain any account of receipt of the loan or distribution of
26	interest payments in violation of Section 2831 and 2832 of the Regulations and Section 10145
27	of the Code.
	- 17 -

.

1	95
2	On August 13, 2015, Reenu S. wired \$35,000 to Respondents for Loan No. 7.
3	Respondents failed to provide an LPDS to Reenu S. before receiving the funds, in violation of
4	Section 10231(b) of the Code.
5	96
6	Before receiving the funds for Loan No. 7, Respondents failed to obtain an
7	Investor Suitability Questionnaire as required by Section 10232.4, 10232.45 and 10234 of the
8	Code, and failed to provide lenders a Service Agreement as required by Section 10233 of the
9	Code.
10	97
11	On or about July 8, 2015, the borrowers of Loan No. 7 signed a Deed of Trust
12	with IRA listed as the beneficiary and borrowers residence as security. This deed of Trust was
13	not recorded, in violation of Section 10234 of the Code.
14	98
15	On January 8, 2016, Respondents defaulted on the principal payment of
16	\$35,000.00.
17	99
18	No Deed of Trust was created, and thus no Deed of Trust was recorded as
19	required by Sections 10234 and 10234.5 of the Code.
20	. 100
21	The facts alleged above violate Section 10176(a) (substantial misrepresentation,
22	10176(b) (false promises to influence, persuade or induce), 10176(c) (continued, flagrant course
23	of misrepresentation), 10176(i) (other conduct: fraud or dishonest dealing) and 10177(j)
24	(fraud/dishonest dealing) of the Code, and are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the
25	licenses and license rights of RESPONDENTS under Sections 10176(a), 10176(b), 10176(c),
26	10176(i) and 10177(j) of the Code.
27	H

· ,		
1	101	
2	The above acts and/or omissions of Respondents violate Sections 2831 (trust fund	
3	records) and 2832 (trust fund handling) of the Regulations, and Sections 10145 (trust fund	1
4	handling), 10231 (funds not applied to specific loan), 10231.2(a) and (b) (failure to submit LPDS	
5	and Self- Dealing Notice to BRE), 10232.4 (failure to provide LPDS to investor), 10232.45	
6	(failure to ensure investor suitability), 10233 (no written service agreement), 10234 (failure to	
7	record deed of trust), 10234.5 (failure to deliver deed of trust to investor) and 10240 (failure to	
. 8	sign MLDS) of the Code, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of	
9	Sections 10177(d) and 10177(g) of the Code.	
10	NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Loan No. 8)	
11	102	
12	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 101, above, and incorporates them	
13	herein by reference.	
14	103	
15	Respondents, through HARPREET, solicited Reenu S. to make a business owned	
16	by Kathleen B.	
17	104	
18	The amount solicited for this loan, No. 8, was \$15,000.00. Respondents	
19	represented that Loan No. 8 would be secured by real property commonly known as 3849 N.	
20	Cheryl Ave., Fresno, California.	
21	105	
22	On or about September 1, 2015, Respondents emailed Reenu S. a note dated	
23	September 1, 2015, with Kathleen B. as the borrower, in the amount of \$15,000.00. Although	
24	Reenu S. loaned the money, the promisee on the note was Daily Investments LLC. (sic) Interest	
. 25	was 16%, with a balance due on June 1, 2016. Respondents failed to provide an LPDS and Self-	
26	Dealing Notice as required by Section 10231.2(a) of the Code.	
27	///	
	- 19 -	
I	- 19 - 1	

, ,	
, .	
1	106
2	On August 31, 2015, Respondents prepared a Mortgage Loan Disclosure
3	Statement for borrowers of Loan No. 8 that was not signed, in violation of Section 10240 of the
4	Code.
5	107
6	Respondents made eight (8) interest only payments of \$125.00 to \$175.00 per
7	month then stopped.
8	108
9	Respondents did not maintain any account of receipt of the loan or distribution of
10	interest payments in violation of Section 2831 and 2832 of the Regulations and Section 10145
11	of the Code.
12	109
13	On September 4, 2015, Reenu S. wired \$15,000 to Respondents for Loan No. 8.
. 14	Respondents failed to provide a LPDS to Reenu S. before receiving the funds, in violation of
15	Section 10231(b) of the Code.
16	110
17	Before receiving the funds for Loan No. 8, Respondents failed to obtain an
18	Investor Suitability Questionnaire as required by Section 10232.45 of the Code, and failed to
19	provide lenders a Service Agreement as required by Section 10233 of the Code.
20	111
21	On or about September 1, 2015, the borrowers of Loan No. 8 signed a Deed of
22	Trust with Reenu S. listed as the beneficiary and 3849 N. Cheryl Ave., Fresno, as security. This
23	deed of Trust was not recorded, and therefore not delivered, in violation of Sections 10234 and
24	10234.5 of the Code.
25	///
26	
27	///
	- 20 -

112
On June 1, 2016, Respondents failed to pay Reenu S. the principal payment of
\$15,000.00, despite having been paid by Kathleen B.
113
The facts alleged above violate Section 10176(a) (substantial misrepresentation,
10176(b) (false promises to influence, persuade or induce), 10176(c) (continued, flagrant course
of misrepresentation), 10176(i) (other conduct: fraud or dishonest dealing) and 10177(j)
(fraud/dishonest dealing) of the Code and are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the
licenses and license rights of Respondents under Sections 10176(a), 10176(b), 10176(c),
10176(i) and 10177(j) of the Code.
114
The above acts and/or omissions of Respondents violate Sections 2831 (trust fund
records) and 2832 (trust fund handling) of the Regulations, and Sections 10145 (trust fund
handling), 10231 (funds not applied to specific loan), 10231.2(a) and (b) (failure to submit LPDS
and Self- Dealing Notice to BRE), 10232.4 (failure to provide LPDS to investor), 10233 (no
written service agreement), 10234 (failure to record deed of trust) 10234.5( failure to deliver
deed of trust to investor), and 10240 (failure to sign MLDS) of the Code and constitute grounds.
for disciplinary action under the provisions of Sections 10177(d) and 10177(g) of the Code.
TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Loan No. 9)
115
Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 114, above, and incorporates them
herein by reference.
116
Respondents, through HARPREET, solicited Reenu S. to make a loan on a golf
course.
///
///
- 21 -

. .

i		
1	117	
2	The amount solicited for this loan, No. 9, was \$100,000.00. Respondents	
3	represented that Loan No. 9 would be secured by real property.	
4	118	
5	On or about December 7, 2015, Respondents emailed Reenu S. a note dated	i
6	December 7, 2015 with Daily Investments, LLC (sic) as the borrower, in the amount of	
7	\$100,000.00. Interest was 17%, with the principal balance due on September 4, 2016.	
8	Respondents failed to provide an LPDS and Self- Dealing Notice as required by Section	
9	10231.2(a) of the Code.	
10	119	
11	Respondents made four (4) interest only payments of \$1,416.66 per month and	
12	six (6) payments of \$1,500.00 per month, then stopped.	
13	120	
14	Respondents did not maintain any account of receipt of the loan or distribution of	
15	interest payments in violation of Section 2831 and 2832 of the Regulations and Section 10145	
16	of the Code.	
17	121	
18	On November 24, 2015, Reenu S. wired \$59,970.00 and on November 30, 2015,	
19	wired \$39,970.00 to Respondents for Loan No. 9. Respondents failed to provide an LPDS to	
20	Reenu S. before receiving the funds, in violation of Section 10231(b) of the Code.	
21	122	
22	Respondents used the \$100,000.00 meant by Reenu S. as a loan on a golf course,	
23	for several smaller unsecured loans, in violation of Section 10231 of the Code.	
24	123	
25	Respondents did not have written authorization to service Loan No. 9, in	
26	violation of Section 10233 of the Code.	
27		
	- 22 -	

. .

۰.

 $\|$ 

1 124 2 Before receiving the funds for Loan No. 9, Respondents failed to obtain an 3 Investor Suitability Questionnaire as required by Section 10232.45 of the Code, and failed to 4 provide lenders a Service Agreement as required by Section 10233 of the Code. 5 125 6 On September 4, 2016, Respondents defaulted on the principal payment of 7 \$100,000.00. 8 126 9 The facts alleged above violate Section 10176(a) (substantial misrepresentation, 10 10176(b) (false promises to influence, persuade or induce), 10176(c) (continued, flagrant course 11 of misrepresentation), 10176(i) (other conduct: fraud or dishonest dealing) and 10177(j) 12 (fraud/dishonest dealing) of the Code and are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents under Sections 10176(a), 10176(b), 10176(c), 13 10176(i) and 10177(j) of the Code. 14 15 127 The above acts and/or omissions of Respondents violate Sections 2831 (trust fund 16 records) and 2832 (trust fund handling) of the Regulations and Sections 10145 (trust fund 17 18 handling), 10231 (funds not applied to specific loan), 10231.2(a) and (b) (failure to submit LPDS 19 and Self- Dealing Notice to BRE), 10232.4 (failure to provide LPDS to investor), 10232.45 20 (failure to insure investor suitability), 10233 (no written service agreement), 10234 (failure to 21 record deed of trust) and 10234.5 (failure to deliver deed of trust to investor) of the Code and constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Sections 10177(d) and 22 23 10177(g) of the Code. 24 /// 25 /// 26  $\parallel \parallel$ 27 ///

•	
 V	
	ELEVENTIL CALIGE OF ACTION
2	ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
3	128 Complainant refers to Demographic 1 through 127 shares at the
4	Complainant refers to Paragraphs 1 through 127, above, and incorporates the same herein, by reference.
5	129
6	
. 7	At all times herein above mentioned, HARPREET was responsible as the supervising designated broker/officer for BIZ for the supervising designated broker/officer for BIZ.
8	supervising designated broker/officer for BIZ, for the supervision and control of the activities
9	conducted on behalf of BIZ'S business by its employees to ensure its compliance with the Real
9 10	Estate Law and Regulations. HARPREET failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control
	over the property management activities of BIZ. In particular, HARPREET permitted, ratified
11	and/or caused the conduct described above to occur, and failed to take reasonable steps,
12	including but not limited to, the handling of trust funds, supervision of employees, and the
13	implementation of policies, rules, and systems to ensure the compliance of the business with the
14	Real Estate Law and the Regulations.
15	130
16	The above acts and/or omissions of HARPREET violate Section 2725 of the
17	Regulations and Section 10159.2 (responsibility/designated officer) of the Code, and constitute
18	grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Sections 10177(d), 10177(g) and
19	10177(h) (broker supervision) of the Code.
20	131
21	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in
22	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Bureau, the commissioner may request the
23	administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to
24	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
25	
26	
27	

.

1	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the
2	allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing
3	disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate
4	Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further
5	relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.
6	B
7	BRENDA SMITH
8	Supervising Special Investigator
9	Dated at Fresno, California,
10	this $\underline{/D}$ day of $\underline{Ju}(\underline{\gamma}, 2017)$
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19 20	
20	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	- 25 -

· ..