

Procedure Act in which Respondent was given fair notice of the charges, an opportunity for a

27

hearing, and other due process protections, the Supreme Court of the State of California, in Case No. S199350, State Bar Court Case Nos. 09-O-16075 and 11-O-10756, ordered that the Respondent be suspended from the practice of law for two (2) years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that Respondent be placed on probation for four (4) years, including an actual suspension of nine (9) months for acts which, if done by a real estate licensee, would be grounds for the suspension or revocation of a California real estate license pursuant to the provisions of Sections 10145, 10085.6, 10177(d), 10176(i), 10177(j), 10177(g), and Section 10177(q) of the Code.

The suspension of Respondent's license to practice law in the State of California as described in Paragraph 3 constitutes cause for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law under Section 10177(f) and 10177(g) of the Code.

COST RECOVERY

Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

PRIOR DISCIPLINE

On November 15, 2012, in Case No. H-5913 SAC, the Real Estate

Commissioner issued an Order to Desist and Refrain to Respondent for violation of Section
10130 of the Code.

///

]]///

][///