 State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. 2 2 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). /// 						
INCUT SUCHING, Counsel October 26, 2012 Production of Real Estate Department of Real Estate Production Department of Real Estate Production Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 Barron Department of Real Estate Production (916) 227-0789 Berone: (916) 227-0781 (Direct) Fax: (916) 227-9458 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA *** In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-2787 FR FRANCISCO RIOS, Accusation against FRANCISCO RIOS, also known as Pedro State of California, for cause of Accusation against FRANCISCO RIOS, also known as Pedro Esparza, (Respondent, is informed and alleges as follows: PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS 2 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate 2 2 4 2		FILED				
P.O. Box 187007 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 By Telephone: (916) 227-0789 (916) 227-0781 (Direct) By Fax: (916) 227-9458 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE State of the Accusation of The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th State of California, for cause of Accusation against FRANCISCO RIOS, also known as Pedro Esparza, (Respondent), is informed and alleges as follows: PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 11 12 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 10 10 <th></th> <th>State Bar No. 223266 October 26, 2012</th>		State Bar No. 223266 October 26, 2012				
Filephone (916) 227-0781 (Direct) Fax: (916) 227-9458 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA *** In the Matter of the Accusation of FRANCISCO RIOS, ACCUSATION Respondent. *** The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th State of California, for cause of Accusation against FRANCISCO RIOS, also known as Pedro Esparza, (Respondent), is informed and alleges as follows: PELLIMINARY ALLEGATIONS In the Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. Inthe Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. Inthe Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. Inthe Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. Interventional capacity is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). <td< th=""><th>3</th><th>P.O. Box 187007 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE</th></td<>	3	P.O. Box 187007 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE				
Fax: (916) 227-9458 7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 *** 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of 12 No. H-2787 FR 13 FRANCISCO RIOS, 14 ACCUSATION 15 ACCUSATION 16 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th 17 State of California, for cause of Accusation against FRANCISCO RIOS, also known as Pedro 18 Esparza, (Respondent), is informed and alleges as follows: 19 PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS 12 1 13 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th 16 State of California, for cause of Accusation in her official capacity. 12 1 13 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th 14 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th 12 1 12 Law, Part I of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. 12 2 12 Law, Part I of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code).		[] Telephone. (916) 227-0789				
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Accusation of FRANCISCO RIOS, ACCUSATION Respondent. The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th State of California, for cause of Accusation against FRANCISCO RIOS, also known as Pedro Esparza, (Respondent), is informed and alleges as follows: PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS In the Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. In the Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. In the Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. In the Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. In the Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th Law, Part I of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). ///		Fax: (916) 227-9458				
BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA **** In the Matter of the Accusation of **** **** In the Matter of the Accusation of ****						
10 **** 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of Inthe Matter of the Accusation of Inthe Matter of the Accusation of Inthe Matter of the Accusation Inthe Accusation Inter Inter Inthe Accusation Inter		BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE				
11 In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-2787 FR 13 FRANCISCO RIOS, ACCUSATION 14 Respondent. ACCUSATION 15 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th 16 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th 17 State of California, for cause of Accusation against FRANCISCO RIOS, also known as Pedro 18 Esparza, (Respondent), is informed and alleges as follows: 19 PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS 20 1 21 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th 22 1 23 2 24 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate 23 2 24 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate 25 Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). 26 ///	10					
12 No. H-2787 FR 13 FRANCISCO RIOS, ACCUSATION 14 Respondent. ACCUSATION 15 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th 16 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th 17 State of California, for cause of Accusation against FRANCISCO RIOS, also known as Pedro 18 Esparza, (Respondent), is informed and alleges as follows: 19 PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS 20 1 21 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th 22 1 23 2 24 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate 25 Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). 26 ///	11					
14 Respondent. 15 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th 16 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th 17 State of California, for cause of Accusation against FRANCISCO RIOS, also known as Pedro 18 Esparza, (Respondent), is informed and alleges as follows: 19 PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS 20 1 21 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the 22 1 23 2 24 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate 25 Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). 26 ///	12) No. H-2787 FR				
15 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th 16 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of th 17 State of California, for cause of Accusation against FRANCISCO RIOS, also known as Pedro 18 Esparza, (Respondent), is informed and alleges as follows: 19 PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS 20 1 21 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the 22 1 23 2 24 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate 25 Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). 26 ///	13	ACCUSATION				
16 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the 17 State of California, for cause of Accusation against FRANCISCO RIOS, also known as Pedro 18 Esparza, (Respondent), is informed and alleges as follows: 19 <u>PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS</u> 20 1 21 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the 22 1 23 2 24 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate 25 Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). 26 ///		Respondent.				
 State of California, for cause of Accusation against FRANCISCO RIOS, also known as Pedro Esparza, (Respondent), is informed and alleges as follows: <u>PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS</u> 1 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. 2 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). /// 		The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the				
 Esparza, (Respondent), is informed and alleges as follows: <u>PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS</u> 1 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. 2 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). /// 	17					
 1 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. 2 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). /// 	18					
 The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. 2 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). /// 	19	PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS				
 State of California, makes this Accusation in her official capacity. 2 2 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). /// 	20					
 23 2 24 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate 25 Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). 26 /// 		The Complainant, BRENDA SMITH, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the				
 Respondent is presently licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). /// 						
 Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code (Code). /// 						
26 ///						
	27	///				
- I -		- 1 -				

1	3
2	At all times mentioned, FRANCISCO RIOS was and is licensed by the
3	Department as a real estate salesperson. From on or about April 8, 2008, through November 25,
4	2009, FRANCISCO RIOS was licensed as a real estate salesperson under Edgewater Executive
5	Mortgage, Inc., a corporate real estate broker. From on or about December 31, 2010, to May 2,
6	2011, FRANCISCO RIOS was licensed as a real estate salesperson under 4 USA Loans, Inc., a
7	corporate real estate broker. From on or about May 11, 2011, to July 11, 2012, FRANCISCO
8	RIOS was licensed as a real estate salesperson under Renwick Penrose Russell, an individual rea
9	estate broker. From on or about July 12, 2012, to the present, FRANCISCO RIOS has had no
10	broker affiliation.
11	4
12	From on or about July 8, 2006, to July 7, 2010, Yolanda Rios was licensed by the
13	Department as a real estate salesperson. Beginning on or about January 9, 2008 YOLANDA
14	RIOS' real estate salesperson license was suspended pursuant to Section 10153.4 of the Code.
15	At no time after July 7, 2010, was Yolanda Rios licensed by the Department in any capacity. At
16	no time mentioned was Yolanda Rios affiliated under any real estate broker.
17	5
18	At no time mentioned was Certified Forensic Loan Audits licensed by the
19	Department in any capacity. Certified Forensic Loan Audits is a fictitious business name owned
20	by Respondent.
21	6
22	At no time mentioned was Genesis Mortgage Solutions licensed by the
23	Department in any capacity. Genesis Mortgage Solutions is a fictitious business name owned by
24	Respondent and Yolanda Rios.
25	7
26	Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or
27	omission of Respondent, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the employees, agents,
	- 2 -
1	- 4

.

real estate licensees, and others employed by or associated with Respondent committed such act
 or omission while engaged in furtherance of the business or operations of Respondent and while
 acting within the course and scope of their authority and employment.

4

At all times mentioned herein, Respondent engaged in the business of, acted in the
 capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate licensee, in the State of California,
 within the meaning of:

8

8 Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage
 9 Ioan brokerage and/or Ioan modification business with the public wherein Respondent solicited
 10 lenders and borrowers for or negotiated loans or collected payments and/or performed services
 11 for borrowers or lenders or note owners in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally
 12 by liens on real property for or in expectation of compensation; and,

Section 10131.2 of the Code, including the claiming, demanding, charging,
 receiving, collecting or contracting for the collection of an advance fee in connection with any
 employment undertaken to promote the sale or lease of real property or of a business opportunity
 by advance fee listing, advertisement or other offering to sell, lease, exchange or rent property or
 a business opportunity, or to obtain a loan or loans thereon.

18

19

20

21

22

Each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 8, inclusive, above is incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth herein.

10

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

9

While acting in the course and scope of a real estate licensee as described in
 Paragraph 8, Respondent and Yolanda Rios, using the fictitious business names Genesis
 Mortgage Solutions and/or Certified Forensic Loan Audits, claimed, demanded, charged,
 collected, and/or received advance fees in connection with loan modification services after
 October 10, 2009, in violation of Section 10085.6 (unlawful collection of advance fees related to

loan modifications) of the Code and Section 2945.4 (unlawful collection of advance fees related
 to loan modifications) of the California Civil Code (Civil Code), for transactions, including but
 not limited to the following:

Borrower	Property	Advance Fee	Date Received	Status of Loan Modification
Antonia P.	1187 King Street, Parlier, CA	\$1,500	11/6/09	Denied
Eva C.	4029 Anna Avenue, Keyes, CA	\$1,500	2/13/10	Completed
Javier C.	450 Sharon Court, Manteca, CA	\$1,500	6/11/10 & 7/30/10	Completed
Graciela V.	135 Ivy Avenue, Patterson, CA	\$1,000	3/12/10	Completed
Maricela M.	2016 Boise Avenue, Modesto, CA	\$2,500	4/01/10 & 6/10/10	Completed
Juan E.	109 South Fremont Street, Manteca, CA	\$2,500	5/12/10 & 6/24/10	Completed

In connection with the operation and conduct of the activities and transactions described in Paragraph 9, Respondent:

(a) Claimed, demanded, charged, received, collected and/or contracted for
advance fees in connection with loan modification services and failed to submit the advance fee
agreements and all materials used in obtaining the advance fee agreements to the Department
prior to use, without first obtaining a "No Objection Letter" as required by Sections 10085
(failure to submit materials used in collection of advance fees to Department) of the Code, and
Section 2970 (materials used in collection of advance fees must be approved by Department prior
to use) of Title 10 of the California Code of Regulations (Regulations);

(b) Failed to immediately deliver said trust funds to his broker, or at the
broker's direction into the hands of the broker's principal, into a neutral escrow depository, or into
his broker's trust fund account in violation of Section 10145(c) of the Code.

1	12	
2	The acts and/or omissions of Respondent as alleged above constitute grounds for	
3	the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent, pursuant to the	
4	following provisions of the Code and Regulations:	
5	(a) As to Paragraph 10, under Section 10177(d) (willful disregard or	
6	violation of Real Estate Law) in conjunction with Section 10085.6 (unlawful collection of	
7	advance fees related to loan modifications) of the Code, and/or 10177(g) (negligence or	
8	incompetence in performing licensed act) of the Code, and/or Section 10177(q) (violation of	
9	Civil Code) of the Code, in conjunction with Section 2945.4 (unlawful collection of advance	
10	fees related to loan modifications) of the Civil Code;	ł
11	(b) As to Paragraph 11(a), under Sections 10177(d) (willful disregard or	
12	violation of Real Estate Law) in conjunction with Section 10085 (failure to submit materials	
13	used in collection of advance fees to Department) of the Code and Section 2970 (materials used	
14	in collection of advance fees must be approved by Department prior to use) of the Regulations,	
15	and/or 10177(g) (negligence or incompetence in performing licensed act) of the Code; and	
16	(c) As to Paragraph 11(b), under Sections 10177(d) (willful disregard or	
17	violation of Real Estate Law) in conjunction with Sections 10145(c) (trust fund handling) of the	
18	Code.	
19	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
20	13	
21	Each and every allegation in Paragraphs 1 through 12, inclusive, is incorporated	
22	by this reference as if fully set forth herein.	
23	14	
24	Beginning on or about November 2009, Respondent, in the course of the real	
25	estate activities described in Paragraph 8, without the knowledge and consent of his employing	
26	real estate broker and using the fictitious business name(s) Genesis Mortgage Solutions and/or	
27	Certified Forensic Loan Audit, solicited lenders and borrowers for or negotiated loans or	
	- 5	

.

collected payments and/or performed services for borrowers or lenders or note owners, in
 connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property for or in
 expectation of compensation, including but not limited to the following:
 Borrower

Borrower	Property
Antonia P.	1187 King Street, Parlier, CA
Eva C.	4029 Anna Avenue, Keyes, CA
Javier C.	450 Sharon Court, Manteca, CA
Graciela V.	135 Ivy Avenue, Patterson, CA
Maricela M.	2016 Boise Avenue, Modesto, CA
Juan E.	109 South Fremont Street, Manteca, CA

By the commission of the acts alleged above, Respondent engaged in the business and acted in the capacity of a real estate broker within the State of California as defined by Section 10131(d) of the Code.

On or about April 29, 2009, above, Respondent changed his name to Pedro
 Esparza and failed to notify the Department of the change within 5 days after the effective date of
 the change in violation of Section 2710 of Title 10 of the Regulations.

The facts alleged in the Second Cause of Action are grounds for the suspension or
 revocation of the licenses of Respondent under Section 10130 of the Code in conjunction with
 10177(d) of the Code.

The facts alleged in Paragraph 16 are grounds for the suspension or revocation of
 Respondent's licenses and license rights under Section 10177(d) of the Code in conjunction
 with Section 2710 of the Regulations.

27 ///

		ł		
		:		
1	<u>COST RECOVERY</u>			
2	19			
3	Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in			
4	resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request the			
5	administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to			
6	pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.			
7	WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations			
8	of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary			
9	action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Code, for the cost of			
10	investigation and enforcement as permitted by law, and for such other and further relief as may			
11	be proper under the provisions of law.			
12				
13	BRENDA SMITH			
14	Deputy Real Estate Commissioner			
15	Dated at Fresno, California,	1		
16	this <u>/ (and the contraction of </u>			
17				
18	DISCOVERY DEMAND			
19	Pursuant to Sections 11507.6, et seq. of the Administrative Procedure Act, the Department of			
20	Real Estate hereby makes demand for discovery pursuant to the guidelines set forth in the <i>Administrative Procedure Act</i> . Failure to provide Discovery to the Department of Real Estate			
21	may result in the exclusion of witnesses and documents at the hearing or other sanctions that the Office of Administrative Hearings deems appropriate.			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
	~ 7 -			
- 11				