Fkg

FILED

SEP 21 2017

BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

By S. Black

## BEFORE THE BUREAU OF REAL ESTATE

## STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

11

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

In the Matter of the Accusation of

12

S.F.D., INC. and BRADLEY L. MAASKE,

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

22

23

25

///

26

27

No. H-2621 FR

## Respondents.

## ORDER DENYING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSES

On September 4, 2014, in Case No. H-2621 FR, a Decision was rendered revoking the real estate broker and real estate corporation licenses of Respondents effective October 2, 2014, but granting Respondents the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate broker license and a restricted real estate corporation license. A restricted real estate broker license and a restricted real estate corporation license were issued to Respondents on October 2, 2014, and Respondents have held restricted licenses since that time.

On January 13, 2017, Respondents petitioned for reinstatement of Respondents' real estate broker and real estate corporation licenses, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of the petition.

-1-

The burden of proving rehabilitation rests with the petitioner (Feinstein v. State Bar (1952) 39 Cal. 2d 541). A petitioner is required to show greater proof of honesty and integrity than an applicant for first time licensure. The proof must be sufficient to overcome the prior adverse judgment on the applicant's character (Tardiff v. State Bar (1980) 27 Cal. 3d 395).

I have considered the petition of Respondents' and the evidence submitted in support thereof.

The Bureau has developed criteria in Section 2911 of Title 10, California Code of Regulations (Regulations) to assist in evaluating the rehabilitation of an applicant for reinstatement of a license. Among the criteria relevant in this proceeding are:

Regulation 2911(e) Successful completion or early discharge from probation or

Respondent is currently on probation for his misdemeanor convictions until August, 2019.

Regulation 2911(j) Discharge of, or bona fide efforts toward discharging, adjudicated debts or monetary obligations to others.

Respondent has outstanding judgments from 2010 in the amount of \$54,625.00 and 1991 in the amount of \$22,172.45, that he has failed to provide proof of discharge or make bona fide efforts to repay, respectively.

Respondents' have failed to demonstrate to my satisfaction that Respondents have undergone sufficient rehabilitation to warrant the reinstatement of Respondent's unrestricted real estate broker license and an unrestricted real estate corporation license at this time.

Given the violations found and the fact that Respondents' have not established that Respondents have satisfied Regulations 2911(e) and (j), I am not satisfied that Respondent is sufficiently rehabilitated to receive a real estate broker license.

25 ///

26 /

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for

reinstatement of Respondent's real estate broker license and real estate corporation license is denied.

This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on

OCT 1 2 2017

IT IS SO ORDERED

9/20/17

WAYNE S. BELL REAL ESTATE COMMISSIONER

By: DANIEL J. SANDRI Chief Deputy Commissioner