FILED

MICHAEL B. RICH, Counsel June 18, 2012 State Bar No. 84257 2 Department of Real Estate DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187007 3 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 4 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 5 6 7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 8 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 In the Matter of the Accusation of 11 NO. H-2416 FR 12 PEOPLES MORTGAGE BANC, a California corporation, and CONCETTA McBRIDE, FIRST AMENDED 13 **ACCUSATION** Respondents. 14 15 The Complainant, TRICIA D. SOMMERS, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner 16 of the State of California, for Causes of this First Amended Accusation against PEOPLES 17 MORTGAGE BANC, a California corporation, and against CONCETTA McBRIDE, also 18 known as TINA McBRIDE, is informed and alleges as follows: 19 20 The Complainant, TRICIA D. SOMMERS, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner 21 of the State of California, makes this Amended Accusation against Respondent in her official 22 capacity. 23 24 Respondent PEOPLES MORTGAGE BANC (hereinafter "Respondent PMB"), 25 and Respondent CONCETTA McBRIDE, also known as TINA McBRIDE, (hereinafter 26 "Respondent McBRIDE") are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate 27

Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "the Code").

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent PMB was and is licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereinafter "the Department") as a corporate real estate broker.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent McBRIDE was and is licensed by the Department as an individual real estate broker.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent McBRIDE was and is licensed by the Department as the designated broker/officer of Respondent PMB. As said designated broker/officer, Respondent McBRIDE was at all times mentioned herein responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of Respondent PMB for which a real estate license is required.

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Amended Accusation to an act or omission of Respondent PMB, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with Respondent PMB committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of Respondent PMB and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent PMB and Respondent McBRIDE engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, soliciting borrowers or lenders for or negotiating loans or collecting payments or performing services for borrowers or lenders or

note owners in connection with loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property or on a business opportunity.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTON

There is hereby incorporated in this First, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 7, inclusive, of the Amended Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

Within the three year period prior to the filing of the original Accusation,
Respondent PMB and Respondent McBRIDE, in the course and scope of conducting the
activities set forth in Paragraph 7, above:

(a) Induced J. P. Morgan Chase to make a loan in the sum of \$628,000.00 to be secured by real property at 5 Glenn Avenue, Salinas, California, to finance the purchase of said real property by Vicente H., by representing to the lender, contrary to fact, as Respondents knew or should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that said borrower intended to occupy said real property as his primary residence, and by concealing from said lender the fact, as Respondents knew or should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that Vicente H. was simultaneously applying for and obtaining and/or had obtained mortgage loan obligations to other lenders to finance the purchase of other real properties that said borrower was also claiming as his primary residence, as follows:

Lender	Property	Loan Amount	Loan Application	Purchase Contract	Escrow Close
Greenpoint Mortgage Funding	14617 Charter Oak Bld Salinas, California	\$612,000	8/14/06	8/19/06	9/21/06
Fieldstone Mortgage Co.	540 Spruce Avenue Pacific Grove, Californ	\$629,000 ia	9/26/06	9/14/06	11/1/06

(b) Induced Greenpoint Mortgage Funding, Inc., to make a loan in the sum of \$612,000.00 to be secured by real property at 14617 Charter Oak Blvd., Salinas, California, to finance the purchase of said real property by Vicente H., by representing to the lender, contrary to fact, as Respondents knew or should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that said borrower intended to occupy said real property as his primary residence, and by concealing from said lender the fact, as Respondents knew or should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that Vicente H. was simultaneously applying for and obtaining and/or had obtained mortgage loan obligations to other lenders to finance the purchase of other real properties that said borrower was also claiming as his primary residence, as follows:

Lender	Property	Loan Amount	Loan Application	Purchase Contract	Escrow Close
J.P.Morgan Chase	5 Glenn Avenue Salinas, California	\$628,000	8/14/06	8/16/06	9/18/06
Fieldstone Mortgage Co.	540 Spruce Avenue Pacific Grove, Californ	\$629,000 nia	9/26/06	9/14/06	11/1/06

(c) Induced Fieldstone Mortgage Company to make a loan in the sum of \$629,000.00 to be secured by real property at 540 Spruce Avenue, Pacific Grove, California, to finance the purchase of said real property by Vicente H., by representing to the lender, contrary to fact, as Respondents knew or should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that said borrower intended to occupy said real property as his primary residence, and by concealing from said lender the fact, as Respondents knew or should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that Vicente H. was simultaneously applying for and obtaining and/or had obtained mortgage loan obligations to other lenders to finance the purchase of other real properties that said borrower was also claiming as his primary residence, as follows:

26 - 1///

27 | 1//

1
2
3
4
5
6.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Lender	Property	Loan Amount	Loan Application	Purchase Contract	Escrow Close
J.P.Morgan Chase	5 Glenn Avenue Salinas, California	\$628,000	8/14/06	8/16/06	9/18/06
Greenpoint Mortgage Funding	14617 Charter Oak Bld Salinas, California	. \$612,000	8/14/06	8/19/06	9/21/06

10

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent PMB and Respondent McBRIDE described in Paragraph 9, above, constituted substantial misrepresentations, fraud, and dishonest dealing.

11

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent PMB and Respondent McBRIDE as alleged in Paragraphs 9 and 10, above, constitute grounds for the revocation or suspension of Respondents' licenses and/or license rights under Section 10176(a) of the Code (making a substantial misrepresentation), Section 10176(c) of the Code (continued flagrant course of misrepresentation through real estate agents), Section 10176(i) of the Code (any other conduct, whether of the same or different character than specified in this section that constitute fraud or dishonest dealing), and/or Section 10177(g) of the Code (demonstrated negligence or incompetence in performing an act for which he or she is required to hold a license).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

12

There is hereby incorporated in this Second, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 11, inclusive, of the Amended Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

13

Within the three year period prior to the filing of the original Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in connection with the loan brokerage business described in Paragraph 7, above, Respondent PMB was required to provide to borrowers and to retain for a

period of three (3) years the "Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement", as signed and dated by the borrowers and by the broker or the broker's licensed real estate salesperson or broker 2 employees, as required by Section 10240 of the Code, containing all required information in 3 conformance with Section 10241 of the Code, including the requirement to disclose to 5 borrowers all compensation, commissions, origination fees, points, or bonuses contracted for, or to be received by the real estate broker for services performed as an agent in negotiating, 6 7

procuring, or arranging the loan.

8

9

10 11 12 13 14 15

17

16

18

19

20

21

In truth and in fact, the loan origination fee of \$9,420.00 was paid to Respondent PMB by J. P. Morgan Chase and Respondent PMB received a Yield Spread Premium of \$785.00 from lender J. P. Morgan Chase.

22

23 24 25

26

27

Within the three year period prior to the filing of the original Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in connection with the loan brokerage business described in Paragraph 7, above, Respondents provided a Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement to borrower Vicente H. for the purchase loan concerning 14617 Charter Oak Blvd. in Salinas, California, that represented to said borrower, contrary to fact, as Respondents knew or should have known

14

Within the three year period prior to the filing of the original Accusation and at all times herein mentioned, in connection with the loan brokerage business described in Paragraph 7, above, Respondents provided a Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statement to borrower Vicente H. for the purchase loan concerning 5 Glenn Avenue in Salinas, California, that represented to said borrower, contrary to fact, as Respondents knew or should have known at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that a loan origination fee of \$9,240.00 would be paid to others and not to the broker and which concealed from said borrower the fact that Respondent PMB collected a "Yield Spread Premium" of \$785.00 from lender J. P. Morgan Chase.

1.5

at the time through the exercise of reasonable diligence, that no additional compensation not paid out of loan proceeds would be paid to the broker.

In truth and in fact, Respondent PMB by J. P. Morgan Chase and Respondent PMB received a Yield Spread Premium of \$6,885.00 from lender Greenpoint Mortgage Funding, Inc.

18.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent PMB and Respondent McBRIDE described in Paragraphs 14, 15, 16, and 17, above, constituted substantial misrepresentations, fraud, and dishonest dealing.

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent PMB and Respondent McBRIDE as alleged in Paragraphs 14, 15, 16, 17, and 18, above, constitute grounds for the revocation or suspension of Respondents' licenses and/or license rights under Section 10240 of the Code (broker negotiating loan shall within 3 days provide disclosure statement to borrower) and 10241 of the Code (specifying all material information and costs to be included in mortgage loan disclosure statement) in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code (suspension or revocation of license for willful disregard or violation of the Real Estate Law, §§ 10000 et seq. and §§ 11000 et seq. of the Code, or of the Regulations), and under Section 10176(a) of the Code (making a substantial misrepresentation), Section 10176(c) of the Code (continued flagrant course of misrepresentation through real estate agents), Section 10176(i) of the Code (any other conduct, whether of the same or different character than specified in this section that constitute fraud or dishonest dealing), and/or Section 10177(g) of the Code (demonstrated negligence or incompetence in performing an act for which he or she is required to hold a license).

 $\parallel \parallel / \parallel \parallel$

27 11//

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

There is hereby incorporated in this Third, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 19, inclusive, of the Amended Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

At all times herein mentioned, and within the three year period prior to the filing of the original Accusation, Respondent McBRIDE was responsible, as the designated broker officer of Respondent PMB, for the supervision and control of the activities conducted on behalf of the corporation by its officers and employees. Respondent McBRIDE failed to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the mortgage brokering real property sales activities of Respondent PMB. In particular, Respondent McBRIDE permitted, ratified and/or caused the conduct described in the First and Second Causes of Action, above, to occur, and failed to take reasonable steps, including, but not limited to the review of loan applications and transactional documents, supervision of employees, complete and accurate Mortgage Loan Disclosure Statements, and the implementation of policies, rules, procedures, and systems to ensure the compliance of the corporation with the Real Estate Law.

The above acts and/or omissions of Respondent McBRIDE constitute grounds for the revocation or suspension of Respondent McBRIDE's licenses and/or license rights under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Code (suspension or revocation for broker or designated broker/officer who fails to exercise reasonable supervision of licensed employees or licensed activities of broker corporation) and/or Section 10159.2 of the Code (designated broker/officer responsible for supervision and control of activities conducted on behalf of corporation by officers, licensed salespersons and employees to secure compliance with the Real Estate Law) and Section 2725 of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations (broker shall exercise reasonable supervision over: licensed employees; establish policies and

procedures for compliance with Real Estate Law; supervise transactions requiring a real estate license; trust fund handling; etc.) in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

There is hereby incorporated in this Fourth, separate and distinct, Cause of Action, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 22, inclusive, of the Amended Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

On or about March 3, 2010, Respondent McBRIDE made application to the State of California Department of Real Estate (hereinafter "the Department") for a mortgage loan originator license endorsement (hereinafter "license endorsement").

In response to the Disclosure Question Section at Question (K) of said application, to wit: "Are you now the subject of any regulatory action proceeding that could result in a 'yes' answer to any part of I or J?", Respondent answered "No" and concealed and failed to disclose the Accusation proceeding herein under Department Case No. H-2416 FR described in Paragraph 28, below.

26.

On or about January 4, 2011, Respondent McBRIDE made application to the State of California Department of Real Estate (hereinafter "the Department") on behalf of Respondent PMB for a mortgage loan originator license endorsement (hereinafter "license endorsement").

In response to the Disclosure Question Section at Question (E) of the application filed on behalf of Respondent PMB, to wit: "Is the entity or control affiliate now the subject of any regulatory action proceeding that could result in a 'yes' answer to any part of (C)?",

Respondent McBRIDE answered "No" and concealed and failed to disclose the Accusation proceeding herein under Department Case No. H-2416 FR described in Paragraph 28, below.

On or August 24, 2009, the Department of Real Estate filed an Accusation under Department Case No. H-2416 containing the allegations set forth in the First, Second and Third Causes of Action herein above.

The facts alleged in Paragraphs 24 through 28, above, constitute cause for revocation of Respondents' license endorsement under Section 10166.05(c) of the Code (applicant lacks character, general fitness to command confidence in community) and Section 10166.051(b) of the Code (deny suspend, or revoke mortgage loan originator license for withholding information or makes material misstatement on application for mortgage loan originator license.).

Respondents' failure to disclose in the mortgage loan originator applications the disciplinary proceeding set forth in Paragraph 28, above, constituted substantial misrepresentations, fraud, and dishonest dealing and constitutes cause for the suspension or revocation of Respondents' licenses and/or license rights under Sections 110176(i) and/or 10177(j) of the Code (any other conduct, whether of the same or different character than specified in these sections that constitute fraud or dishonest dealing).

COST RECOVERY

Section 10106 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that in any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the Department, the Commissioner may request the administrative law Judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation of this part to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

IIII

27 | 1 / /

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this First Amended Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all license(s) and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

TRICIA D. SOMMERS
Deputy Commissioner

Dated at Sacramento, California

this _____ day of JUNE, 2012