FILED

MAY 1 8 2011

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC., RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN, and TAMMY MARIAN JORDAN,

Respondents.

No. H-2387 FRESNO

ORDER ACCEPTING VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF REAL ESTATE LICENSE

On April 23, 2010, a First Amended Accusation was filed in the above-entitled

On April 11, 2011, Respondent TAMMY MARIAN JORDAN petitioned the Commissioner to voluntarily surrender her real estate broker license pursuant to Section 10100.2 of the Business and Professions Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent TAMMY MARIAN JORDAN's petition for voluntary surrender of her real estate broker license is accepted as of the effective date of this Order as set forth below, based upon the understanding and agreement expressed in the Declaration executed by Respondent TAMMY MARIAN JORDAN on April 11, 2011 (attached as Exhibit "A" hereto).

///

27 ||

matter.

Respondent TAMMY MARIAN JORDAN's license certificate and pocket card shall be sent to the below-listed address so that they reach the Department on or before the effective date of this Order:

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE Attention: Licensing Flag Section

P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon on

JUN - 8 2011

DATED:

JEFF DAVI

Real Estate Commissioner

FROM : ROSS E VELASCO CPA

10 11

1.2

1.3

14

15

16

17

10

19

21

22

2,3

24

25

27

FAX NO. 1805 461 3748

Pr. 14 2011 10:53AM P3

-03/28/2011 HOM 8:18 PAK 9162279458 DRB AAC LEGAL --- PRANK BUDA

M408/606

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC., RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN, and <u>TAMMY</u> MARIAN JORDAN, No. 11- 2387 FR

Respondents

DECLARATION

My name is TAMMY MARIAN JORDAN and I am currently licensed as a real estate broker and/or have license rights with respect to said license. I am representing myself in this matter.

In lieu of proceeding in this matter in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Sections 11400 at seq., of the Government Code) I wish to voluntarily surrouder my roal catate license(s) issued by the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10100.2.

I understand that by so voluntarily surrendering my license(s), that I may be reliuensed as a broker or as a salasperson only by petitioning for reinstatement pursuant to

1 .

EXHIBIT

14

15

16

11

18 19

FAX NO. : 925 461 3748

14 2011 10:54AM

SILE PAR PISSETSASE THE GAS LEGAL THE PRANK BURA

Section 11522 of the Government Code. I also understand that by so voluntarily surrendering my license(s), I agree to the following:

The filing of this Declaration shall be deemed as my petition for voluntary surrender. It shall also be deemed to be an understanding and agreement by me that I waive all rights I have to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this matter at a hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Government Code Sections 11400 et soq.), and that I also waive other rights afforded to me in connection with the hearing such as the right to discovery, the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses. I further agree that upon acceptance by the Commissioner, as evidenced by an appropriate order, all affiduvits and all relevant evidence obtained by the Department in this matter prior to the Commissioner's acceptance, and all ellegations contained in the Accusation filed in the Department Case No. H-2387 FR, may be considered by the Department to be true and correct for the purpose of deciding whether to great relicensure or reinstatement pursuant to Government Code Section 11522.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above in true and correct and that I freely and voluntarily surrender all my license(s) and ficense rights under the Real Batate Law.

Date and Place Boyenson, MT TAMMY MARIAN FORDAN

04/14/2011 THU 15:13

[JOB NO.

FILED

APR 29 2011

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Lin

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC., RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN. and TAMMY MARIAN JORDAN,

Respondents.

No. H-2387 FRESNO

ORDER ACCEPTING VOLUNTARY SURRENDER OF REAL ESTATE LICENSES

On April 23, 2010, a First Amended Accusation was filed in this matter against the above-entitled case.

On March 29, 2011, Respondents REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. and RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN petitioned the Commissioner to voluntarily surrender their real estate licenses pursuant to Section 10100.2 of the Business and Professions Code.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondents REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. and RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN's petition for voluntary surrender of their real estate licenses is accepted as of the effective date of this Order as set forth below, based upon the understanding and agreement expressed in Respondents' Declaration dated March 29, 2011 (attached as Exhibit "A" hereto). Respondents' license certificates, pocket cards and any branch

office license certificates shall be sent to the below listed address so that they reach the Department on or before the effective date of this Order:

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Attn: Licensing Flag Section P. O. Box 187000

Sacramento, CA 95818-7000

This Order shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon

MAY 20 2011

DATED: 4 / 16/104

JEEF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner FROM : ROSS E VELASCO CPA

FAX NO. 1895 461 3748

Pr. 14 2011 10:53AM P3

-03/28/2011 HOE 8:18 FAX 9162279458 DRB SAC LEGAL --- FRANK BUDA

∰455/60€

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

2.7

1.3

1¢ 15

16

17

19

19

10

21

22

2.3

24

25

25 21 REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC., RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN, and <u>TAMMY</u> MARIAN JORDAN, No. 11- 2387 FR

Respondents

DECLARATION

My name is TAMMY MARIAN JORDAN and I am currently licensed as a real estate broker and/or have license rights with respect to said license. I am representing mysolf in this matter.

In lieu of proceeding in this matter in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Sections 11400 at seq., of the Government Code) I wish to voluntarily surronder my roal estate license(s) issued by the Department of Real Estate ("Department"), pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10100.2.

I understand that by so voluntarily surrendering my license(s), that I may be relicensed as a broker or as a salesperson only by petitioning for reinstatement pursuant to

1 -

EXHIBIT

(Department) to REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. and to me, pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 10100.2.

It is understood that by so voluntarily surrendering these license(s), I may be relicensed as a broker or as a salesperson and REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. may be relicensed as a broker corporation only by petitioning for reinstatement pursuant to Section 11522 of the Government Code. I also understand that by so voluntarily surrendering said license(s), both REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. and I agree to the following:

The filing of this Declaration shall be deemed as the petition of REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. and also as my petition for voluntary surrender. It shall also be deemed to be an understanding and agreement by both REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. and me that we hereby waive all rights either of us may have to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations contained in the Accusation filed in this matter at a hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (Government Code Sections 11400 et seq.), and that REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. and I also waive other rights afforded to us in connection with the hearing such as the right to discovery, the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses. We further agree that upon acceptance by the Commissioner, as evidenced by an appropriate order, all affidavits and all relevant evidence obtained by the Department in these matters prior to the Commissioner's acceptance, and all allegations contained in the Accusations heretofore filed in the Department Case No. H-2387 FR, may be considered by the Department to be true and correct for the purpose of deciding whether to grant relicensure or reinstatement pursuant to Government Code Section 11522.

:3

.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct and that REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. and I freely and voluntarily surrender all our license(s) and license rights under the Real Estate Law.

3-19-11 July July Level RODNEY VRGIL JARMIN

Date and Place

RODNEY VRGIL JARMIN for REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC.

1 2 3 4	TRULY SUGHRUE, Counsel State Bar No. 223266 Department of Real Estate P.O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 -or- (916) 227-0781 (Direct)	APR 2 3 2010 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE			
5		M. Sii			
6		<i>V</i>			
7					
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE				
9 .	STATE OF CALIFORNIA				
11	*	* *			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-2387 FRESNO			
. 13	REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC., RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN and) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION			
14	TAMMY MARIAN JORDAN,)			
15	Respondents.)			
16	•				
17	The Complete and Luke C. Monti	n a Daniela Barl French Carrollada a sella			
18	-	n, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the			
19	State of California, for cause of Accusation against REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. (hereinafter "RPL"), RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN (hereinafter "JARMIN") and TAMMY				
20	MARIAN JORDAN (hereinafter "JORDAN") is informed and alleges as follows:				
21	I				
22	The Complainant, Luke S. Martin, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the				
23	State of California, makes this Accusation in his official capacity.				
24	///				
25	///				
26	///				
27	///				

II

At all times herein mentioned, RPL, JARMIN and JORDAN (hereinafter "Respondents") were and now are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "the Code").

Ш

At all times herein mentioned, RPL was and now is licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California (hereinafter "the Department") as a corporate real estate broker by and through JARMIN as designated broker-officer of RPL to qualify said corporation and to act for said corporation as a real estate broker.

IV

At all times herein mentioned, JARMIN was licensed by the Department as a real estate broker, individually and as designated broker-officer of RPL. As said designated broker-officer, JARMIN was at all times mentioned herein responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of RPL for which a license is required.

ν

At all times herein mentioned, JORDAN was licensed by the Department as a real estate broker, and was an officer, director and/or principal shareholder of RPL.

VI

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of RPL, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with RPL committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of RPL, and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

26 | ///

27 | | ///

VII

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers within the State of California within the meaning of Sections 10131(d) and 10131(e) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondents solicited lenders and borrowers for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, wherein Respondents arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated such loans, wherein Respondents serviced and collected payments on such loans, and wherein Respondents sold or offered to sell, bought or offered to buy, or exchanged or offered to exchange promissory notes secured directly or collaterally by a lien on real property and performed services for the holders thereof.

VIII

In so acting as mortgage loan brokers, as described in Paragraph VII(a) above, Respondents accepted or received funds in trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of lenders or investors, borrowers, and others in connection with the solicitation, negotiation, processing, packaging, and consummation of mortgage loans by Respondents, in connection with the servicing and collection of payments on such loans by Respondents, and in connection with the sale, purchase, and exchange of secured promissory notes by Respondents, as alleged herein.

IX

The aforesaid trust funds accepted or received by Respondents were deposited or caused to be deposited by Respondents into one or more bank accounts (hereinafter "trust fund accounts") maintained by Respondents for the handling of trust funds, including but not necessarily limited to the following accounts maintained by Respondents at the Paso Robles, California, branch of Rabobank:

(a) The "Real Property Lenders Inc. Mortgage Brokers Trust Account – Loan Servicing" account, account number 162067419 (hereinafter "Trust 1"); and

 (b) The "Real Property Lenders Inc. Mortgage Brokers Trust Account – Construction and Interest Reserves" account, account number 1024223111 (hereinafter "Trust 2").

X

During the three year period next preceding the filing of the original Accusation herein, in connection with the collection and disbursement of said trust funds, RPL caused, suffered or permitted the aggregate balance of funds in Trust #2 to be reduced to an amount which, as of November 30, 2007, was more than \$26,345.28 less than the aggregate liability of RPL to all owners of such funds, without first obtaining the written consent of each and every owner of such funds.

ΧI

Between on or about May 9, 2005 and on or about May 13, 2006, in connection with the mortgage loan servicing, advance fee brokerage and trust fund handling activities described above, Respondents solicited and obtained investments by six investors in the aggregate amount of \$275,000 into Loan Number PP0539, a one-year, 12%, \$510,000 loan secured by real property to Pat and Kim Pederson, ostensibly to finance the construction of a single family residence on River View Lot #21, Paso Robles, California. To induce the investors to invest in the loan, Respondents:

- (a) Represented to the investors, contrary to fact, that the borrowers already owned the security property, and concealed and failed to disclose to the investors that \$253,198.18 of the investment would be used by the borrowers to purchase the security property;
- established containing a portion of the construction loan investment in order to satisfy monthly interest payments to investors, but that once that account is depleted, the borrowers would make monthly interest payments from their own personal funds, and then concealed and failed to disclose to investors that until May 23, 2007, even after the interest reserve account was

depleted, the borrowers continued making the monthly interest payments from a portion of the construction loan investment; and

(c) Promised the investors, contrary to fact, that any extension or renewal of the loan beyond the stated maturity date, other than a forbearance not exceeding ninety days, would require the consent of a majority in interest of the investors in the loan.

XII

Between on or about August 13, 2006 and on or about April 5, 2007, after the stated maturity date of Loan Number PP0539, Respondents solicited and obtained additional investments into Loan Number PP0539 by concealing and failing to disclose to the new investors that Respondents had refrained from enforcing the loan agreement without the consent of majority in interest of the investors.

XIII

Between on or about August 26, 2005 and on or about August 26, 2006, in connection with the mortgage loan servicing, advance fee brokerage and trust fund handling activities described above, Respondents solicited and obtained investments by five investors in the aggregate amount of \$295,000 into Loan Number RM0584, a one-year, 12%, \$520,000 loan secured by real property to Robert and Karen McCay, ostensibly to finance the construction of a single family residence on The Highlands Lot 50, Paso Robles, California. To induce the investors to invest in the loan, Respondents:

- (a) Represented to the investors, contrary to fact, that the borrowers already owned the security property, and concealed and failed to disclose to the investors that \$262,267.00 of the investment would be used by the borrowers to purchase the security property;
- (b) Promised the investors, contrary to fact, that an account would be established containing a portion of the construction loan investment in order to satisfy monthly interest payments to investors, but that once that account is depleted, the borrowers would make monthly interest payments from their own personal funds, and then concealed and failed to

disclose to investors that until June 1, 2007, even after the interest reserve account was depleted, the borrowers continued making the monthly interest payments from a portion of the construction loan investment; and

(c) Promised the investors, contrary to fact, that any extension or renewal of the loan beyond the stated maturity date, other than a forbearance not exceeding ninety days, would require the consent of a majority in interest of the investors in the loan.

XIV

Between on or about November 26, 2006 and on or about March 9, 2007, after the stated maturity date of Loan Number RM0584, Respondents solicited and obtained additional investments into Loan Number RM0584 by concealing and failing to disclose to the new investors that Respondents had refrained from enforcing the loan agreement without the consent of majority in interest of the investors.

XV

Between on or about September 15, 2005 and on or about October 4, 2005, in connection with the mortgage loan servicing, advance fee brokerage and trust fund handling activities described above, Respondents solicited and obtained investments by five investors in the aggregate amount of \$205,000 into Loan Number DM0591, a one-year, 12%, \$465,000 loan secured by real property to Duane and Diane McClory, ostensibly to finance the construction of a single family residence on Lake View Lot 4, Paso Robles, California. To induce the investors to invest in the loan, Respondents:

- (a) Represented to the investors, contrary to fact, that the borrowers already owned the security property, and concealed and failed to disclose to the investors that \$180,273.33 of the investment would be used by the borrowers to purchase the security property;
- (b) Promised the investors, contrary to fact, that an account would be established containing a portion of the construction loan investment in order to satisfy monthly interest payments to investors, but that once that account is depleted, the borrowers would make

monthly interest payments from their own personal funds, and then concealed and failed to disclose to investors that until August 7, 2007, even after the interest reserve account was depleted, the borrowers continued making the monthly interest payments from a portion of the construction loan investment; and

(c) Promised the investors, contrary to fact, that any extension or renewal of the loan beyond the stated maturity date, other than a forbearance not exceeding ninety days, would require the consent of a majority in interest of the investors in the loan.

XVI

Between on or about May 6, 2007 and on or about August 7, 2007, after the stated maturity date of Loan Number DM0591, Respondents solicited and obtained additional investments into Loan Number DM0591, by concealing and failing to disclose to the new investors that Respondents had refrained from enforcing the loan agreement without the consent of majority in interest of the investors.

XVII

Between on or about March 9, 2006 and on or about March 24, 2006, in connection with the mortgage loan servicing, advance fee brokerage and trust fund handling activities described above, Respondents solicited and obtained investments by investors in the aggregate amount of \$285,000 into Loan Number PN0631, a one-year, 12%, \$675,000 loan secured by real property to Paul Norton, ostensibly to finance the construction of a single family residence on Venado Avenue, Atascadero, California. To induce the investors to invest in the loan, Respondents:

(a) Represented to the investors, contrary to fact, that the borrowers already owned the security property, and concealed and failed to disclose to the investors that \$288,535.44 of the investment would be used by the borrowers to purchase the security property;

26 | | ///

27 || ///

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Promised the investors, contrary to fact, that an account would be (b) established containing a portion of the construction loan investment in order to satisfy monthly interest payments to investors, but that once that account is depleted, the borrowers would make monthly interest payments from their own personal funds, and then concealed and failed to disclose to investors that until July 9, 2007, even after the interest reserve account was depleted, the borrowers continued making the monthly interest payments from a portion of the construction loan investment; and

Promised the investors, contrary to fact, that any extension or renewal of (c) the loan beyond the stated maturity date, other than a forbearance not exceeding ninety days, would require the consent of a majority in interest of the investors in the loan.

XVIII

Between on or about June 24, 2007 and on or about July 5, 2007, after the stated maturity date of Loan Number PN0631, Respondents solicited and obtained additional investments into Loan Number PN0631, by concealing and failing to disclose to the new investors that Respondents had refrained from enforcing the loan agreement without the consent of majority in interest of the investors.

XIX

Between on or about March 21, 2006 and on or about April 6, 2006, in connection with the mortgage loan servicing, advance fee brokerage and trust fund handling activities described above, Respondents solicited and obtained investments by five investors in the aggregate amount of \$225,000 into Loan NumberMG063, a one-year, 12%, \$532,000 loan secured by real property to Mike and Jennifer Gustason, ostensibly to finance the construction of a single family residence on Ashwood Place, Paso Robles, California. To induce the investors to invest in the loan, Respondents:

Represented to the investors, contrary to fact, that the borrowers already (a) owned the security property, and concealed and failed to disclose to the investors that \$201,993.91 of the investment would be used by the borrowers to purchase the security property;

25

26.

- (b) Promised the investors, contrary to fact, that an account would be established containing a portion of the construction loan investment in order to satisfy monthly interest payments to investors, but that once that account is depleted, the borrowers would make monthly interest payments from their own personal funds, and then concealed and failed to disclose to investors that until October 31, 2007, even after the interest reserve account was depleted, the borrowers continued making the monthly interest payments from a portion of the construction loan investment; and
- (c) Promised the investors, contrary to fact, that any extension or renewal of the loan beyond the stated maturity date, other than a forbearance not exceeding ninety days, would require the consent of a majority in interest of the investors in the loan.

XX

Between on or about July 6, 2007 and on or about October 31, 2007, after the stated maturity date of Loan Number MG063, Respondents solicited and obtained additional investments into Loan Number MG063, by concealing and failing to disclose to the new investors that Respondents had refrained from enforcing the loan agreement without the consent of majority in interest of the investors.

XXI

JARMIN failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts of RPL in such a manner as to allow the acts and events described above, to occur.

XXII

The acts and omissions of Respondents described in Paragraphs XI(a), XII, XIII(a), XIV, XV(a), XVI, XVII(a), XVIII, XIX(a), and XX constitute the substantial misrepresentation of material facts and fraud and dishonest dealing.

XXIII

The acts and omissions of Respondents described in Paragraphs XI(b), XI(c), XIII(b), XIII(c), XV(b), XV(c), XVII(b), XVII(c), XIX(b), and XIX(c) constitute false promises of a character likely to influence, persuade or induce and fraud and dishonest dealing.

XXIV

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents under the following provisions of the Code and/or the Regulations:

- (a) As to Respondent RPL and Paragraph X under Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of Chapter 6, Title 10 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (c) As to Respondents RPL, JARMIN and JORDAN and Paragraphs XI(a), XII, XIII(a), XIV, XV(a), XVI, XVII(a), XVIII, XIX(a), and XX under Sections 10176(a), 10176(i), 10177(g), and 10177(j) of the Code;
- (d) As to Respondents RPL, JARMIN and JORDAN and Paragraphs XI(b), XI(c), XIII(b), XIII(c), XV(b), XV(c), XVII(b), XVII(c), XIX(b), and XIX(c) under Sections 10176(b), 10176(i), 10177(g), and 10177(j) of the Code; and
- (e) As to Respondent JARMIN and Paragraph XXIV under Section 10177(g) and/or Section 10177(h) of the Code and Section 10159.2 of the Code, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

Lamat.

LUKE S. MARTIN

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Fresno, California this Zoth day of April, 2010.

JAMES L. BEAVER, SBN 60543 1 Department of Real Estate 2 P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 3 4

MAY 18 2009

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Telephone: (916) 227-0789 -or- (916) 227-0788 (Direct)

6

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of No. H-2387 FR REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC., **ACCUSATION**

RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN and TAMMY MARIAN JORDAN.

Respondents.

The Complainant, John Sweeney, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. (hereinafter "RPL"), RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN (hereinafter "JARMIN") and TAMMY MARIAN JORDAN (hereinafter "JORDAN") is informed and alleges as follows:

The Complainant, John Sweeney, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in his official capacity.

II

At all times herein mentioned, RPL, JARMIN and JORDAN (hereinafter "Respondents") were and now are presently licensed and/or have license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "the Code").

Ш

At all times herein mentioned, RPL was and now is licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California (hereinafter "the Department") as a corporate real estate broker by and through JARMIN as designated broker-officer of RPL to qualify said corporation and to act for said corporation as a real estate broker.

IV

At all times herein mentioned, JARMIN was licensed by the Department as a real estate broker, individually and as designated broker-officer of RPL. As said designated broker-officer, JARMIN was at all times mentioned herein responsible pursuant to Section 10159.2 of the Code for the supervision of the activities of the officers, agents, real estate licensees and employees of RPL for which a license is required.

V

At all times herein mentioned, JORDAN was licensed by the Department as a real estate broker, and was an officer, director and/or principal shareholder of RPL.

VΙ

Whenever reference is made in an allegation in this Accusation to an act or omission of RPL, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with RPL committed such act or omission while engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of RPL, and while acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

VII

At all times herein mentioned, Respondents engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as real estate brokers within the State of California within the meaning of Sections 10131(d), 10131(e) and 10131.2 of the Code, including

(a) the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondents solicited lenders and borrowers for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on

real property, wherein Respondents arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated such loans, wherein Respondents serviced and collected payments on such loans, and wherein 2 Respondents sold or offered to sell, bought or offered to buy, or exchanged or offered to 3 exchange promissory notes secured directly or collaterally by a lien on real property and 5 performed services for the holders thereof. 6 an advance fee brokerage business with the public wherein, in connection (b) 7 with employment undertaken by Respondents to obtain a loan or loans to be secured by liens upon real property or a business opportunity, Respondents claimed, demanded, charged, 8 received, collected or contracted for the collection of advance fees within the meaning of 9 Sections 10026 and 10131.2 of the Code. 10 11 VIII 12 In so acting as mortgage loan brokers, as described in Paragraph VII(a) above, Respondents accepted or received funds in trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of 13 14 lenders or investors, borrowers, and others in connection with the solicitation, negotiation, processing, packaging, and consummation of mortgage loans by Respondents, in connection with 15 16 the servicing and collection of payments on such loans by Respondents, and in connection with the sale, purchase, and exchange of secured promissory notes by Respondents, as alleged herein. 17 18 ΙX The aforesaid trust funds accepted or received by Respondents were deposited or 19 20 caused to be deposited by Respondents into one or more bank accounts (hereinafter "trust fund 21 accounts") maintained by Respondents for the handling of trust funds, including but not necessarily limited to the following accounts maintained by Respondents at the Paso Robles, 22 23 California, branch of Rabobank: 24 The "Real Property Lenders Inc. Mortgage Brokers Trust Account - Loan (a) Servicing" account, account number162067419 (hereinafter "Trust 1"); 26 The "Real Property Lenders Inc. Mortgage Brokers Trust Account -(b)

Construction and Interest Reserves" account, account number1024223111 (hereinafter "Trust 2")

б

8.

During the three year period next preceding the filing of the original Accusation herein, in connection with the collection and disbursement of said trust funds, RPL caused, suffered or permitted the aggregate balance of funds in Trust #2 to be reduced to an amount which, as of November 30, 2007, was more than \$26,345.28 less than the aggregate liability of RPL to all owners of such funds, without first obtaining the written consent of each and every owner of such funds.

ΧI

During the three year period next preceding the filing of the original Accusation herein, in connection with the mortgage loan servicing, advance fee brokerage and trust fund handling activities described above, Respondents collected advance fees within the meaning of Section 10026 of the Code in the following amounts:

DATE	<u>LOAN</u>	BORROWER	<u>AMOUNT</u>
07/06/05	AC0548	Charnley	\$840.00
10/04/05	DM0591	McClory	\$645.16
09/30/05	DM0592	McClory	\$215.05
10/04/05	DM0593	McClory	\$645.16
08/31/06	GD0652	Dabrowski	\$475.00
09/27/07	JM0646	Martin	\$1020.00
08/16/07	LD05103	Dabrowski	\$1650.00
09/12/07	MG063	Gustason	\$3630.00
08/13/07	MG0684	Gustason	\$569.91
04/07/06	MG064	Gustason	\$165.00
06/28/06	MW05106	Mills/Wheeler	\$585.00
06/21/06	PE0564	Paul/Erwin	\$2210.00
09/19/07	PN0707	Nagy	\$3000.00
05/11/06	PP0539	Pederson	\$2025.00

1	08/17/05	PW0553	Winslow	\$200.00		
2	01/03/07	PW0556	Winslow	\$300.00		
3	07/03/06	PW0559	Winslow	\$600.00		
4	12/11/06	PW0562	Winslow	\$1850.00		
5	12/21/06	RM0584	McCoy	\$1300.00		
6	09/12/07	TG618	Gasper	\$1660.00		
7	02/05/07	TG0611	Gasper	\$850.00		
8	07/13/06	TG067	Gasper	\$1910.00		
9						
10	XII					
11	Said advance fees were collected pursuant to written agreements which constitute					
12	advance fee agreements within the meaning of Section 10085 of the Code.					
13	XIII					
14	In each of the transactions described in Paragraph XII, above, Respondents:					
15	(a) failed to submit the advance fee agreement referred to in Paragraph XII,					
16	above, to the Commissioner ten days prior to use as Required by Section 10085 of the Code and					
17	Section 2970 of Chapter 6, Title 10, California Code of Regulations (hereinafter "the					
18	Regulations"); and					
·19	(b) failed to furnish the principal borrower the verified accounting required by					
20	Section 10146 of the Code and Section 2972 of the Regulations.					
21	XIV					
22	Between on or about May 9, 2005 and on or about May 13, 2006, in connection					
23	with the mortgage loan servicing, advance fee brokerage and trust fund handling activities					
24	described above, Respondents solicited and obtained investments by six investors in the					
25	aggregate amount of \$275,000 into Loan Number PP0539, a one-year, 12%, \$510,000 loan					
26	secured by real property to Pat and Kim Pederson, ostensibly to finance the construction of a					

- 27 ///

1 single family residence on River View Lot #21, Paso Robles, California. To induce the investors 2 to invest in the loan, Respondents 3 (a) represented to the investors, contrary to fact, that the borrowers already 4 owned the security property, and concealed and failed to disclose to the investors that \$253,198.18 of the investment would be used by the borrowers to purchase the security property. 5 6 (b) promised the investors, contrary to fact, that an account would be established containing a portion of the construction loan investment in order to satisfy monthly 7 interest payments to investors, but that once that account is depleted, the borrowers would make 8 monthly interest payments from their own personal funds, and then concealed and failed to 10 disclose to investors that until May 23, 2007, even after the interest reserve account was 11 depleted, the borrowers continued making the monthly interest payments from a portion of the 12 construction loan investment; and 13 (c) promised the investors, contrary to fact, that any extension or renewal of the loan beyond the stated maturity date, other than a forbearance not exceeding ninety days, 14 would require the consent of a majority in interest of the investors in the loan. 15 16 XV 17 Between on or about August 13, 2006 and on or about April 5, 2007, after the stated maturity date of Loan Number PP0539, Respondents solicited and obtained additional 18 investments into Loan Number PP0539 by concealing and failing to disclose to the new investors 19 that Respondents had refrained from enforcing the loan agreement without the consent of 20 21 majority in interest of the investors. 22 XVI 23 Between on or about August 26, 2005 and on or about August 26, 2006, in connection with the mortgage loan servicing, advance fee brokerage and trust fund handling 24 25 activities described above, Respondents solicited and obtained investments by five investors in the aggregate amount of \$295,000 into Loan Number RM0584, a one-year, 12%, \$520,000 loan 26 secured by real property to Robert and Karen McCay, ostensibly to finance the construction of a 27

- 6 -

single family residence on The Highlands Lot 50, Paso Robles, California. To induce the 1 2 investors to invest in the loan, Respondents 3 (a) represented to the investors, contrary to fact, that the borrowers already owned the security property, and concealed and failed to disclose to the investors that 4 5 \$262,267.00 of the investment would be used by the borrowers to purchase the security property. 6 (b) promised the investors, contrary to fact, that an account would be 7 established containing a portion of the construction loan investment in order to satisfy monthly 8 interest payments to investors, but that once that account is depleted, the borrowers would make 9 monthly interest payments from their own personal funds, and then concealed and failed to 10 disclose to investors that until June 1, 2007, even after the interest reserve account was depleted, 11 the borrowers continued making the monthly interest payments from a portion of the construction 12 loan investment; and 13 (c) promised the investors, contrary to fact, that any extension or renewal of 14 the loan beyond the stated maturity date, other than a forbearance not exceeding ninety days, 15 would require the consent of a majority in interest of the investors in the loan. 16 XVII 17 Between on or about November 26, 2006 and on or about March 9, 2007, after the 18 stated maturity date of Loan Number RM0584, Respondents solicited and obtained additional 19 investments into Loan Number RM0584 by concealing and failing to disclose to the new 20 investors that Respondents had refrained from enforcing the loan agreement without the consent 21 of majority in interest of the investors. 22 XVIII 23 Between on or about September 15, 2005 and on or about October 4, 2005, in 24 connection with the mortgage loan servicing, advance fee brokerage and trust fund handling activities described above, Respondents solicited and obtained investments by five investors in 25 the aggregate amount of \$205,000 into Loan Number DM0591, a one-year, 12%, \$465,000 loan 26 secured by real property to Duane and Diane McClory, ostensibly to finance the construction of a 27

single family residence on Lake View Lot 4, Paso Robles, California. To induce the investors to invest in the loan, Respondents 3 (a) represented to the investors, contrary to fact, that the borrowers already 4 owned the security property, and concealed and failed to disclose to the investors that \$180,273.33 of the investment would be used by the borrowers to purchase the security property. 5 6 (b) promised the investors, contrary to fact, that an account would be 7 established containing a portion of the construction loan investment in order to satisfy monthly 8 interest payments to investors, but that once that account is depleted, the borrowers would make monthly interest payments from their own personal funds, and then concealed and failed to 10 disclose to investors that until August 7, 2007, even after the interest reserve account was depleted, the borrowers continued making the monthly interest payments from a portion of the 11 construction loan investment; and 13 (c) promised the investors, contrary to fact, that any extension or renewal of the loan beyond the stated maturity date, other than a forbearance not exceeding ninety days, 14 15 would require the consent of a majority in interest of the investors in the loan. 16 XIX 17 Between on or about May 6, 2007 and on or about August 7, 2007, after the stated 18 maturity date of Loan Number DM0591, Respondents solicited and obtained additional 19 investments into Loan Number DM0591, by concealing and failing to disclose to the new 20 investors that Respondents had refrained from enforcing the loan agreement without the consent 21 of majority in interest of the investors. 22 XX 23 Between on or about March 9, 2006 and on or about March 24, 2006, in connection with the mortgage loan servicing, advance fee brokerage and trust fund handling 24 25 activities described above, Respondents solicited and obtained investments by investors in the 26 aggregate amount of \$285,000 into Loan Number PN0631, a one-year, 12%, \$675,000 loan secured by real property to Paul Norton, ostensibly to finance the construction of a single family 27

1 residence on Venado Avenue, Atascadero, California. To induce the investors to invest in the 2 loan, Respondents 3 (a) represented to the investors, contrary to fact, that the borrowers already owned the security property, and concealed and failed to disclose to the investors that \$288,535.44 of the investment would be used by the borrowers to purchase the security property. 5 6 (b) promised the investors, contrary to fact, that an account would be 7 established containing a portion of the construction loan investment in order to satisfy monthly interest payments to investors, but that once that account is depleted, the borrowers would make monthly interest payments from their own personal funds, and then concealed and failed to 10 disclose to investors that until July 9, 2007, even after the interest reserve account was depleted, 11 the borrowers continued making the monthly interest payments from a portion of the construction 12 loan investment; and promised the investors, contrary to fact, that any extension or renewal of 13 (c) 14 the loan beyond the stated maturity date, other than a forbearance not exceeding ninety days, 15 would require the consent of a majority in interest of the investors in the loan. 16 XXI 17 Between on or about June 24, 2007 and on or about July 5, 2007, after the stated maturity date of Loan Number PN0631, Respondents solicited and obtained additional 18 investments into Loan Number PN0631, by concealing and failing to disclose to the new 19 20 investors that Respondents had refrained from enforcing the loan agreement without the consent 21 of majority in interest of the investors. 22 XXII 23 Between on or about March 21, 2006 and on or about April 6, 2006, in connection 24 with the mortgage loan servicing, advance fee brokerage and trust fund handling activities described above, Respondents solicited and obtained investments by five investors in the 25 26 aggregate amount of \$225,000 into Loan NumberMG063, a one-year, 12%, \$532,000 loan

- 9 -

secured by real property to Mike and Jennifer Gustason, ostensibly to finance the construction of

a single family residence on Ashwood Place, Paso Robles, California. To induce the investors to 1 2 invest in the loan, Respondents 3 (a) represented to the investors, contrary to fact, that the borrowers already owned the security property, and concealed and failed to disclose to the investors that 4 5 \$201,993.91 of the investment would be used by the borrowers to purchase the security property. б (b) promised the investors, contrary to fact, that an account would be 7 established containing a portion of the construction loan investment in order to satisfy monthly 8 interest payments to investors, but that once that account is depleted, the borrowers would make monthly interest payments from their own personal funds, and then concealed and failed to 9 disclose to investors that until October 31, 2007, even after the interest reserve account was 10 depleted, the borrowers continued making the monthly interest payments from a portion of the 11 12 construction loan investment; and 13 (c) promised the investors, contrary to fact, that any extension or renewal of 14 the loan beyond the stated maturity date, other than a forbearance not exceeding ninety days, 15 would require the consent of a majority in interest of the investors in the loan. 16 XXIII Between on or about July 6, 2007 and on or about October 31, 2007, after the 17 18 stated maturity date of Loan Number MG063, Respondents solicited and obtained additional 19 investments into Loan Number MG063, by concealing and failing to disclose to the new 20 investors that Respondents had refrained from enforcing the loan agreement without the consent 21 of majority in interest of the investors. 22 XXIV 23 JARMIN failed to exercise reasonable supervision over the acts of RPL in such a manner as to allow the acts and events described above, to occur. 24 25 /// 26 27 ///

XXV

The acts and omissions of Respondents described in Paragraphs XIV(a), XV, XVI(a), XVIII(a), XIV, XX(a), XXI and XXI(a) constitute the substantial misrepresentation of material facts and fraud and dishonest dealing.

XXVI

The acts and omissions of Respondents described in Paragraphs XIV(b), XIV(c), XVI(b), XVI(c), XVIII(b), XVIII(c), XX(b), XX(c), XXII(b) and XXII(c) constitute false promises of a character likely to influence, persuade or induce and fraud and dishonest dealing.

XXVII

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondents under the following provisions of the Code and/or the Regulations:

- (a) As to Respondent RPL and Paragraph X under Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of Chapter 6, Title 10 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (b) As to Respondent RPL and Paragraph XIII(a) under Section 10085 of the Code and Section 2970 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (c) As to Respondent RPL and Paragraph XIII(b) under Section 10146 of the Code and Section 2972 of the Regulations, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (d) As to Respondents RPL, JARMIN and JORDAN and Paragraphs XIV(a), XV, XVI(a), XVIII(a), XIX, XX(a), XXI and XXI(a) under Sections 10176(a) and 10176(i) of the Code;
- (e) As to Respondents RPL, JARMIN and JORDAN and Paragraphs XIV(b), XIV(c), XVI(b), XVII(c), XVIII(b), XVIII(c), XX(b), XX(c), XXII(b) and XXII(c) under Sections 10176(b) and 10176(i) of the Code;

26 ///

27 ||///

(f) As to Respondent JARMIN and Paragraph XXIV under Section 10177(g) and/or Section 10177(h) of the Code and Section 10159.2 of the Code, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

JOHN SWEENEY

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Fresno, California this _____ day of ______, 2009.