BEFORE THE

SEP 2 4 2008

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) DAVID ARTHUR NILSEN, NO. H-2260 FR Respondent.

DECISION

This Decision is being issued in accordance with the provisions of Section 11520 of the Government Code, on evidence of compliance with Section 11505 of the Government Code and pursuant to the Order of Default filed on September 10, 2008. The findings of fact set forth herein are based on one or more of the following:

(1) Respondent's express admissions; (2) affidavits; and/or,

(3) other evidence.

This Decision revokes a real estate license on grounds of fraud and dishonest dealing, as well as various trust fund handling violations, including a \$13,952,051.55 shortage.

The right to reinstatement of a revoked real estate license is controlled by Section 11522 of the Government Code. A copy of Section 11522 and a copy of the Commissioner's Criteria of Rehabilitation are attached hereto for the information of Respondent.

FINDINGS OF FACT

I

On May 16, 2008, Charles W. Koenig made the Accusation in his official capacity as a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California. The Accusation, Statement to Respondent, and form for the Notice of Defense were personally served on May 19, 2008 and were mailed, by certified and regular mail to Respondent at his last known mailing address on file with the Department, on May 19, 2008.

On September 10, 2008, no Notice of Defense having been filed herein within the time prescribed by Section 11506 of the Government Code, Respondent's default was entered herein.

II

Respondent DAVID ARTHUR NILSEN is licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California Business and Professions Code as a real estate broker. Said license will expire on July 16, 2010.

III

Between approximately January 1, 2006 and December 31, 2007, in connection with the collection and disbursement of trust funds, Respondent caused a shortage of \$13,952,051.55, as of December 31, 2007, without the prior written consent of the owners of the trust funds. Respondent also failed to place all trust funds into trust accounts in the name of Respondent as trustee at a bank or other financial institution and failed to reconcile at least once a month the balance of all separate beneficiary or transaction records with the record of all trust funds received and disbursed from the bank and/or trust accounts.

IV

Between approximately January 1, 2006 and December 31, 2007, in connection with Respondent's mortgage loan activities, Respondent failed to record deeds of trust in the names of the lenders as beneficiaries; recorded deeds of trust in the name of "CEDAR FUNDING, INC," a corporation owned solely by Respondent, rather than in the names of the lenders as beneficiaries; negotiated loans with more than 10 lenders on each loan; failed to obtain income and net worth qualification statements for any of the lenders/investors; acted as the borrower, individually, and through Accustom Development, LLC, in at least 26 multi-lender loans totaling \$37,888,239.00 and failed to disclose to the Department his self-dealing and involvement as a borrower; failed to obtain loan servicing agreements for various loans; and failed to obtain a corporate real estate broker license for Cedar Funding, Inc., as Cedar Funding, Inc., was performing licensed activities without a license when it entered into loan servicing agreements.

V

Between approximately July 10, 2002 and January 3, 2007, through an elaborate plan and scheme defrauded investors out of funds totaling \$450,000.00, and the securing property at 603 Belavida Road (herein the "Belavida Property"), Monterey, California, by advancing \$100,000.00 in additional funds to the borrower and by executing various Grant Deeds and assignments, effectively deeding the Beleavida property to himself.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

Ι

The facts found above constitute cause under Sections 10130, 10145, 10234, 10238(e),(f), and (k) of the Business and Professions Code and Sections 2832, 2831.2, and 2849.01 of the Regulations for suspension or revocation of all license and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law.

II

The facts found above constitute cause for discipline under Sections 10176(i) and 10177(d) of the Business and Professions Code.

III

The standard of proof applied is clear and convincing proof to a reasonable certainty.

ORDER

The real estate license and license rights of Respondent DAVID ARTHUR NILSEN under the provisions of Part I of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code are hereby revoked.

This Decision shall become effective at 12 o'clock noon
on October 14 , 2008.

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

BY: Barbara J. Bigby Chief Deputy Commissioner

FILED

SEP 1 0 2008

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Lantresas

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of

DAVID ARTHUR NILSEN,

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

Telephone: (916) 227-0789

95818-7007

P. O. Box 187007

Sacramento, CA

Respondent.

NO. H-2260 FR

DEFAULT ORDER

Respondent, DAVID ARTHUR NILSEN, having failed to file a Notice of Defense within the time required by Section 11506 of the Government Code, is now in default. It is, therefore, ordered that a default be entered on the record in this matter as to DAVID ARTHUR NILSEN.

IT IS SO ORDERED September 10, 2008

JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner

By:

CHARLES W. KOENIG Regional Manager

26

MARY F. CLARKE, Real Estate Counsel (SBN 186744) Department of Real Estate 2 P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 3 (916) 227-0789 Telephone: 4 MAY 1 6 2008 -or-(916) 227-0780 (Direct) 5 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 6 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of) 12 NO. H-2260 FR DAVID ARTHUR NILSEN, 13 · ACCUSATION 14 Respondent. The Complainant, CHARLES W. KOENIG, a Deputy Real Estate 15 Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation 16 against DAVID ARTHUR NILSEN, (herein "Respondent"), dba CEDAR 17 FUNDING and CEDAR PROPERTIES, is informed and alleges as follows: 18 19 The Complainant, CHARLES W. KOENIG, a Deputy Real 20 Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this 21 Accusation in his official capacity. 22 II 23 At all times mentioned herein Respondent was and now 24 is licensed and/or has license rights under the Real Estate Law 25 (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) 26

- 1 -

(herein "the Code").

III

2 3

1

5

б

7

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

At all times mentioned, Respondent was licensed by the California Department of Real Estate (herein "Department") as a real estate broker.

IV

At all times herein mentioned Respondent engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage with the public wherein, on behalf of others, for compensation or in expectation of compensation, Respondent solicited lenders and borrowers for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, and wherein Respondent arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated such loans.

At all times mentioned herein between on or about January 1, 2007 and on or about December 31, 2007, in course of the activities described in Paragraph IV above, Respondent closed approximately 40 loans totaling approximately \$24 million. Currently Respondent services 130 loans totaling approximately \$147 million.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VI.

In so acting as a real estate broker, as described in Paragraphs IV and V, above, Respondent accepted or received funds in trust (herein "trust funds") from or on behalf of

1 lenders, investors, borrowers and others in connection with the 2 mortgage loan brokerage activities and thereafter from time to 3 time made disbursements of said trust funds. VTT 5 The aforesaid trust funds accepted or received by Respondent were deposited or caused to be deposited by Respondent 6 7 into one or more bank accounts maintained by Respondent, 8 including but not necessarily limited to: 9 the "David A. Nilsen dba Cedar Funding Escrow 10 Trust Account" account, account number 41705146 11 maintained by Respondent at the Monterey, 12 California Branch of First National Bank (herein 13 "Trust #1"): 14 b) the "David A. Nilsen dba Cedar Funding Servicing 15 Account" account, account number 41705542 16 maintained by Respondent at the Monterey, 17 California Branch of First National Bank (herein 18 "Trust #2"); 19 the "David A. Nilsen dba Cedar Funding Escrow 20 Trust Account" account, account number 701-21 2196023, maintained by Respondent at the Carmel, 22 California Branch of Wells Fargo (herein "Trust 23 #3"); and d) the "David A. Nilsen dba Cedar Funding Servicing 24 25 Account" account, account number 701-2196015, 26 maintained by Respondent at the Carmel, California 27 Branch of Wells Fargo (herein "Bank #1).

3 -

VIII Between on or about Janua

Between on or about January 1, 2006 and on or about December 31, 2007, in connection with the collection and disbursement of said trust funds, Respondent:

- (a) caused, suffered or permitted the balance of funds in Trust Account #3 to be reduced to an amount which, as of December 31, 2007, was at least \$13,952,051.55 less than the aggregate liability of Respondent to all owners of such funds, without the prior written consent of the owners of such funds, in violation of Section 10145 of the Code Section 2832.1 of the California Code of Regulations (herein "the Regulations");
- (b) failed, with respect to Bank #1, to place trust funds entrusted to Respondent into the hands of a principal on whose behalf the funds were received, into a neutral escrow depository, or into a trust fund account in the name of Respondent as trustee at a bank or other financial institution, in conformance with the requirements of Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832 of the Regulations, in that Respondent placed such funds into Bank #1, an account that was not in the name of Respondent as trustee; and,
- (c) failed, with respect to Trust #1, #2, and #3, and

 Bank #1, to reconcile, at least once a month, the

 balance of all separate beneficiary or transaction

27

26

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

records with the record of trust funds received and disbursed from such accounts required by Section 2831.2 of Title 10, Chapter 6 of the Regulations.

XI

Between on or about January 1, 2006 and on or about December 31, 2007, in connection with the activities described in Paragraphs IV and V, above, Respondent:

(a) failed to record deeds of trust in the names of the lenders as beneficiaries, including, but not limited to, the following loans to:

Loan #	Borrower	<u>Amount</u>	
5188	Accustom Development	\$2,150,000;	
5324	Aurangzeb Pirzada	\$1,760,000;	
5363	Accustom Development	\$1,500,000;	- 1
5364	Accustom Development	\$3,000,000;	- 1
5490	Neo Ventures	\$ 856,000;	
5505	Christopher Garwood	\$2,000,000; a	nd
5537	Michael Shults	\$1,281,000,	

in violation of Section 10234 of the Code:

- (b) recorded deeds of trust in the name of "CEDAR FUNDING, INC," a corporation owned solely by Respondent, rather than in the names of the lenders as beneficiaries, including, but not limited to, said loans described in Paragraph IX(a), above, in violation of Section 10176(i) of the Code;
- (c) negotiated loans with more than 10 lenders on each loan, including, but not limited to, the following loans:

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1		Loan #	Borrower	Amount
2		5188	Accustom Development	\$2,150,000;
3		5363 5364	Accustom Development Accustom Development	\$1,500,000; \$3,000,000;
4		5505 5537	Christopher Garwood Michael Shults	\$2,000,000; and \$1,281,000,
5		in violation of Section 10238(f) of the Code;		
. 6	(d)	failed to	obtain income and net wo	rth
7		qualification statements for any of the		
8	·	lenders/investors on said loans, including, but		
9		not limited to, those described in Paragraph IX(a),		
10		above, in	violation of Section 1023	B(f) of the Code;
11	(e)	acted as	the borrower, individuall	y, and through
12		Accustom Development, LLC, in at least 26 milti-		
.13		lender loans totaling \$37,888,239, including, but		
14		not limited to the following loans to:		
15		Loan #	Borrower	<u>Amount</u>
16		5188	Accustom Development	\$2,150,000;
17		5363 5364	Accustom Development Accustom Development	\$1,500,000; and \$3,000,000,
18		in violat	ion of Section 10238(e) o	f the Code;
19	(f)	failed to	disclose to the Departme	nt the
20		activities described in Paragraph IX(e), in		
21		violation	of Section 2849.01 of th	e Regulations;
22	(g)	failed to	obtain loan servicing ag	reements for the
23		following	loans, including, but no	t limited to:
24		Loan#	<u>Lender</u>	
25		5363 5364	Debra A. Thorngate Davis Jodi Mclean	
26		536 4 5505	Selene Olms Randall A. McChesney,	
27		in violation of Section 10238(k) of the Code; and		

(h) failed to obtain a corporate real estate broker license for Cedar Funding, Inc., a Corporation, in that Respondent allowed Cedar Funding, Inc., to enter into loan servicing agreements, including, but not limited to, those described in Paragraph IX(g), in violation of Section 10130 of the Code.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Х

There is hereby incorporated in this Second, separate and distinct, Cause of Accusation all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I through IX of the First Cause of Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

XI

On or about July 10, 2002, Stanley D. Post, Trustee of the Stanley D. Post DDS Inc., Profit Sharing Plan & Trust (herein "Post") agreed, by and through Respondent, to become one of several pooled investors (herein "pooled investors") who were to enter into a loan transaction with Kavanaugh Development Co., Inc. (herein "Kavanaugh"), a California corporation, wherein the pooled investors would loan a total of \$450,000.00 to Kavanaugh, which loan was to be secured in their names as a first position security on real property described as 603 Belavida Road, Monterey, California (herein "Belavida property"), which was owned by Kavanaugh.

XII

On or about February 5, 2003, unbeknownst to Post, Respondent advanced another \$100,000.00 to Kavanaugh under the deed of trust, diluting the security of Post and each of the other pooled investors.

. XIII

On or about April 9, 2004, unbeknownst to Post,

Kavanaugh executed a Grant Deed deeding title to the Belavida

property to a fictitious entity, Belavida Court, Inc., (herein

"Belavida Court") of which Respondent was president.

XIV

On or about September 4, 2004, unbeknownst to Post, Respondent recorded an Assignment of the Deed of Trust assigning the interest retained by him on behalf of Post and each of the other pooled investors, to Cedar Funding, Inc. (herein "Cedar Funding"), a corporation owned solely by Respondent.

ΧV

On or about December 23, 2004, unbeknownst to Post, Respondent recorded a Grant Deed deeding title to the Belavida property from Belavida Court to himself and his wife, Angela Nilsen.

IVX

On or about June 24, 2005 Respondent, unbeknownst to Post, recorded a Grant Deed deeding any interest Cedar Funding may have had in the Belavida property to himself and his wife, Angela Nilsen.

27 | \ \ \

- 8 -

1,4

_

•

IIVX

On or about June 24, 2005, unbeknownst to Post,
Respondent borrowed \$2,430,000.00 from Metrocities, and gave
Metrocities a deed of trust on the Belavida property, which deed
of trust was recorded June 24, 2005.

TTTVX

On or about January 30, 2007, unbeknownst to Post, Respondent executed a deed of trust in favor of Cedar Funding, replicating the original Kavanaugh loan, in the amount of \$550,000.00, which deed of trust was recorded February 16, 2007.

XIX

On or about January 30, 2007, unbeknownst to Post, Respondent executed a deed of trust in favor of Cedar Funding, replicating the original Kavanaugh loan, in the amount of \$650,000.00, which deed of trust was recorded March 27, 2007.

XX

The facts alleged in Paragraphs XI through XIX, above, are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent pursuant to Section 10176(i) of the Code.

XXI

The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension or revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent under the following provisions of the Code and/or the Regulations:

(a) as to Paragraph VIII(a) under Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832.1 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;

(b) as to Paragraph VIII(b) under Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2832 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;

- (c) as to Paragraph VIII(c) under Section 10145 of the Code and Section 2831.2 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (d) as to Paragraph IX(a) under Section 10234 of the Code and in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (e) as to Paragraph IX(b) under Section 10176(i) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (f) as to Paragraph IX(c) under Section 10238(f) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (g) as to Paragraph IX(d) under Section 10238(f) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (h) as to Paragraph IX(e) under Section 10238(e) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (i) as to Paragraph IX(f) under Section 2849.01 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;
- (j) as to Paragraph IX(g) under Section 10238(k) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code;

(k) as to Paragraph IX(h) under Section 10130 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code; and

(1) as to Paragraph XX under Section 10176(i) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondent under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other applicable provisions of law.

CHARLES W. KOENIG

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

Dated at Sacramento, California this 16th day of May, 2008.