FILE D AUG 2 5 2009 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE MARKING OF REAL ESTATE MARKI			
AUG 2 5 2009 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Accusation of BILLY R. JORDAN, No. H-1824 FR CONDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE On March 14, 2006, a Decision was issued herein revoking the real estat Icense of Respondent effective April 13, 2006, but granting Respondent the right to the of a restricted broker license. A restricted broker license was issued to respondent on A 2006 and Respondent has been so licensed since that time. On April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real Don April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real filing of said petition.			
6 M.M.M. 7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 *** 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of 12 BILLY R. JORDAN, 14 Respondent. 15 ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE 16 On March 14, 2006, a Decision was issued herein revoking the real estat 17 license of Respondent effective April 13, 2006, but granting Respondent the right to the of a restricted broker license. A restricted broker license was issued to respondent on A 18 On April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real or broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic 21 broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic 22 filing of said petition.			
7 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 *** 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of 12 In the Matter of the Accusation of 13 BILLY R. JORDAN, 14 Respondent. 15 ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE 16 On March 14, 2006, a Decision was issued herein revoking the real estat 17 license of Respondent effective April 13, 2006, but granting Respondent the right to the 18 of a restricted broker license. A restricted broker license was issued to respondent on A 2006 and Respondent has been so licensed since that time. On April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real 20 On April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real 21 broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic 22 filing of said petition.			
9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 *** 11 *** 12 In the Matter of the Accusation of 13 BILLY R. JORDAN, 14 Respondent. 15 ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE 16 On March 14, 2006, a Decision was issued herein revoking the real estat 17 license of Respondent effective April 13, 2006, but granting Respondent the right to the 18 of a restricted broker license. A restricted broker license was issued to respondent on A 20 On April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real 21 broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic 22 filing of said petition.			
10 *** 11 In the Matter of the Accusation of 12 In the Matter of the Accusation of 13 BILLY R. JORDAN, 14 Respondent. 15 ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE 16 On March 14, 2006, a Decision was issued herein revoking the real estat 17 license of Respondent effective April 13, 2006, but granting Respondent the right to the of a restricted broker license. A restricted broker license was issued to respondent on A 19 2006 and Respondent has been so licensed since that time. 20 On April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real to broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic 21 broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic 22 filing of said petition.			
11 In the Matter of the Accusation of 12 BILLY R. JORDAN, No. H-1824 FR 13 BILLY R. JORDAN, No. H-1824 FR 14 Respondent. Image: Comparison of the state of th			
12In the Matter of the Accusation of13BILLY R. JORDAN,14Respondent.15ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE16On March 14, 2006, a Decision was issued herein revoking the real estate17license of Respondent effective April 13, 2006, but granting Respondent the right to the18of a restricted broker license. A restricted broker license was issued to respondent on A20On April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real21broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic22filing of said petition.			
13 BILLY R. JORDAN, No. H-1824 FR 14 Respondent. 15 ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE 16 On March 14, 2006, a Decision was issued herein revoking the real estat 17 license of Respondent effective April 13, 2006, but granting Respondent the right to the 18 of a restricted broker license. A restricted broker license was issued to respondent on A 20 On April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real 21 broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic 22 filing of said petition.			
14 Respondent. 15 ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE 16 On March 14, 2006, a Decision was issued herein revoking the real estat 17 license of Respondent effective April 13, 2006, but granting Respondent the right to the 18 of a restricted broker license. A restricted broker license was issued to respondent on A 19 2006 and Respondent has been so licensed since that time. 20 On April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real 21 broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic 22 filing of said petition.			
15 ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE 16 On March 14, 2006, a Decision was issued herein revoking the real estat 17 license of Respondent effective April 13, 2006, but granting Respondent the right to the 18 of a restricted broker license. A restricted broker license was issued to respondent on A 19 2006 and Respondent has been so licensed since that time. 20 On April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real 21 broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic 22 filing of said petition.			
16On March 14, 2006, a Decision was issued herein revoking the real estat17license of Respondent effective April 13, 2006, but granting Respondent the right to the18of a restricted broker license. A restricted broker license was issued to respondent on A192006 and Respondent has been so licensed since that time.20On April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real21broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic22filing of said petition.			
 license of Respondent effective April 13, 2006, but granting Respondent the right to the of a restricted broker license. A restricted broker license was issued to respondent on A 2006 and Respondent has been so licensed since that time. On April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic filing of said petition. 	CREEK CARACTERISTIC OF EICENDE		
 of a restricted broker license. A restricted broker license was issued to respondent on A 2006 and Respondent has been so licensed since that time. On April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic filing of said petition. 			
 2006 and Respondent has been so licensed since that time. On April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic filing of said petition. 			
 On April 14, 2008, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic filing of said petition. 	<u>pril 13,</u>		
 ²¹ broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notic ²² filing of said petition. 	estate		
²² filing of said petition.			
	nents in		
²⁴ support thereof. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets	support thereof. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the		
²⁵ requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate broker	license		
²⁶ and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent.			
27 ///			
-1-			

•						
	r t					
	1	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for				
	2	reinstatement is granted and that a real estate broker license be issued to Respondent if				
	3	Respondent satisfies the following conditions within twelve (12) months from the date of this				
	4	Order:				
	5	1. <u>Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real</u>				
	6	estate broker license.				
	7	2. <u>Submittal of evidence of having, since the most recent issuance of an</u>				
	8	original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education				
	9	requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate				
	10	license.				
	11	This Order shall become effective immediately.				
	12	- IT IS SO ORDERED $8 - 19 - 59$.				
	13	JEFF DAVI				
	14	Real Estate Commissioner				
	15					
•	16					
	1 7					
	18					
. • .	19					
	20					
	21					
	22					
	23					
	24					
	25					
	- 26					
	27					
		- 2 -				
	, i					

.

1 2	EPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000
3	Telephone: (916) 227-0789
4	
5	
6	
7.	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-1824 FR
12	JORDAN-LINK & COMPANY;) <u>STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT</u>
13	BILLY R. JORDAN; and,) RUBEN MURGUIA MARTINEZ,)
14	NODEN MONGOIR MARIINEZ,)
15) Respondents.)
16)
17	·
18	It is hereby stipulated by and between Respondent
19	JORDAN-LINK & COMPANY (hereinafter referred to as "Respondent
20	CORPORATION"), BILLY R. JORDAN (hereinafter "Respondent
21	JORDAN"), Respondent RUBEN MURGUIA MARTINEZ (hereinafter
22	"Respondent MARTINEZ"), Dale R. Bruder, counsel for Respondents,
23	and the Complainant, acting by and through Michael B. Rich,
24	Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the
25′	purpose of settling and disposing of the Accusation filed on
26	August 5, 2005, in this matter (hereinafter "the Accusation"):
27	
	DRE NO. H-1824 FR JORDAN-LINK & CO., ET AL.
	- 1 -

١

All issues which were to be contested and all 1 1. evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondent 2 at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be 3 held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative 4 5 Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions 6 of this Stipulation and Agreement. 7

8 2. Respondents have received, read and understand 9 the Statement to Respondent, the Discovery Provisions of the APA 10 and the Accusation filed by the Department of Real Estate in 11 this proceeding.

On August 17, 2005, Respondents filed a Notice of 12 3. Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the 13 purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the 14 Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw 15 Accusation. 16 said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that Respondents understand that by withdrawing said Notice 17 of Defense Respondents will thereby waive Respondents' right to 18 require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the 19 Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the 20 provisions of the APA and that Respondents will waive other 21 rights afforded to Respondents in connection with the hearing 22 such as the right to present evidence in defense of 23 the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine 24 25 witnesses.

264. Respondents, pursuant to the limitations set27

DRE No. H-1824 FR

JORDAN-LINK & CO., ET AL.

- 2 -

1 forth below, hereby admit that the factual allegations in the 2 Accusation pertaining to Respondents are true and correct and 3 stipulate and agree that the Real Estate Commissioner shall not 4 be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.

5 5. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as 6 his decision in this matter, thereby imposing the penalty and 7 sanctions on Respondents' respective real estate licenses and 8 license rights as set forth in the "Order" below. 9 In the event that the Commissioner in his discretion does not adopt the 10 Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, 11 and Respondents shall retain the right to a hearing 12 and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA 13 14 and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

15 б. This Stipulation and Agreement shall not 16 constitute an estoppel, merger further or bar to any 17 administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically 18 alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding. 19

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations, admissions and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following Determination of Issues shall be made:

З

25

20

26

27

The acts and omissions of Respondent CORPORATION as

DRE NO. H-1824 FR

described in the Accusation are grounds for the suspension or 1 revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent 2 CORPORATION under the provisions of Sections 10137 3 of the Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "the Code") 4 in conjunction with 10177(d) of the Code. 5

6 The and omissions of acts Respondent JORDAN as described in the Accusation are grounds for the suspension or 7 revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent 8 JORDAN under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Code and 9 Section 10159.2(a) of the Code in conjunction with 10177(d) of 10 11 the Code.

The acts and omissions of Respondent MARTINEZ 12 as described in the Accusation are grounds for the suspension or 13 revocation of the licenses and license rights of Respondent 14 Martinez under the provisions of Section 10130 of the Code and 15 Section 10137 of the Code in conjunction with 10177(d) and (f) 16 of the Code. 17

ORDER

18

19

Ι All licenses and license rights of Respondent JORDAN-20 LINK & COMPANY under Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and 21 Professions Code are publicly reproved. 22 23 ТТ All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent BILLY 24 R. JORDAN under the Real Estate Law are 25 revoked; provided, 26 however, restricted real а estate broker license shall be 27 DRE No. H-1824 FR JORDAN-LINK & CO., ET AL.

1 issued to said Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the 2 Business and Professions Code if, within 90 days from the 3 effective date of the Decision entered pursuant to this Order, 4 Respondent makes application for the restricted license and 5 pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee 6 therefor.

7 The restricted license issued to Respondent JORDAN 8 shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of 9 the Business and Professions Code and to the following 10 limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority 11 of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

12 The restricted license 1. issued to Respondent JORDAN may be suspended prior to hearing by 13 14 Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the 15 event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo 16 contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a 17 18 real estate licensee.

19 The restricted license issued 2. Respondent to JORDAN may be suspended prior to hearing by 20 21 Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on 22 evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that 23 Respondent has violated provisions of the 24 California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands 25 Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner conditions attaching 26 or to the restricted

DRE No. H-1824 FR

27

license.

1

2	3.	Respondent JORDAN shall not be eligible to apply		
3		for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate		
4		license nor for the removal of any of the		
5		conditions, limitations or restrictions of a		
6	restricted license until two (2) years have			
7		elapsed from the effective date of this		
8		Decision.		
9	4.	Respondent JORDAN shall, within nine months from		
10		the effective date of the Decision, present		
11		evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate		
12		Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most		
13	recent issuance of an original or renewal real			
14	estate license, taken and successfully completed			
15	the continuing education requirements of Article			
16		2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for		
17		renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent		
18		fails to satisfy this condition, the		
19		Commissioner may order the suspension of the		
20	:	restricted license until the Respondent presents		
21		such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford		
22	1	Respondent the opportunity for a hearing		
23		pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to		
24		present such evidence.		
25	5. I	Respondent JORDAN shall, within six (6) months		
26		from the effective date of this Decision, take		
27	-			
	DRE No. H-1824 FR	JORDAN-LINK & CO., ET AL.		
		- 6 -		

and pass Professional the Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner mav order suspension of Respondent's restricted license until Respondent passes the examination. Any restricted real estate broker license issued 6. to Respondent JORDAN may be suspended or revoked for a violation by Respondent of any of the

7. The restricted license issued to Respondent JORDAN is suspended for a period of sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Decision; provided, however, that if Respondent petitions, said suspensions (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed upon condition that:

conditions attaching to the restricted license.

- a. Respondent JORDAN pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at the rate of \$50.00 for each day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$3,000.00.
 - b. Said payment shall be in the form of a cashier's check or certified check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be delivered to

DRE NO. H-1824 FR

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

JORDAN-LINK & CO., ET AL.

- 7 -

1 the Department prior to the effective date 2 of the Decision in this matter. 3 No further cause for disciplinary action с. 4 against the real estate licenses of 5 Respondent JORDAN occurs within one year from the effective date of the Decision in 6 7 this matter. 8 If đ. Respondent JORDAN fails to pay the 9 monetary penalty in accordance with the 10 terms and conditions of the Decision, the 11 Commissioner may, without a hearing, order 12 the immediate execution of all or any part 13 of the stayed suspension in which event the 14 Respondent shall not be entitled to any 15 repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the 16 17 terms of this Decision. 18 Ιf Respondent e. JORDAN ' pays the monetary 19 penalty and if no further cause for 20 disciplinary action against the real estate 21 license of Respondent occurs within one year from the effective date of the Decision, the 22 23 stay hereby granted shall become permanent. 24 ·III All licenses and licensing rights of Respondent RUBEN 25 26 MURGUIA MARTINEZ under the Real Estate Law arė revoked; 27 DRE No. H-1824 FR JORDAN-LINK & CO., ET AL.

- 8 -

provided, however, a restricted real estate salesperson license shall be issued to said Respondent pursuant to Section 10156.5 of the Business and Professions Code if, within 90 days from the effective date of the Decision entered pursuant to this Order, Respondent makes application for the restricted license and pays to the Department of Real Estate the appropriate fee therefor.

The restricted license issued to Respondent MARTINEZ 8 shall be subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of 9 10 the Business and Professions Code and to the following limitations, conditions and restrictions imposed under authority 11 12 of Section 10156.6 of that Code:

1. The restricted license issued to Respondent MARTINEZ may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which is substantially related to Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.

2. The restricted license issued to Respondent MARTINEZ may be suspended prior to hearing by Order of the Real Estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondent has violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner

DRE NO. H-1824 FR

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

JORDAN-LINK & CO., ET AL.

- 9 -

or conditions attaching to the restricted license.

- 3. Respondent MARTINEZ shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license nor for the removal of any of the conditions, limitations or restrictions of a restricted license until two (2) years have elapsed from the effective date of this Decision.
- 4. Respondent MARTINEZ shall submit with any application license for under an employing broker, or any application for transfer to a new employing broker, a statement signed by the prospective employing real estate broker on a form approved by the Department of Real Estate which shall certify:
- (a) That the employing broker has read the Decision of the Commissioner which granted the right to a restricted license; and,
- (b) That the employing broker will exercise close 20 21 supervision over the performance by the restricted licensee relating to activities 22 for which a real estate license is required. 23 24 5. Respondent MARTINEZ shall, within nine months 25 from the effective date of the Decision, present 26 satisfactory evidence to the Real Estate

DRE NO. H-1824 FR

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

27

Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition. the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for hearing а pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.

6. Respondent MARTINEZ shall, within six (6) months from the effective date of this Decision, take and pass the Professional Responsibility Examination administered by the Department including the payment of the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order suspension of Respondent's restricted license until Respondent passes the examination.

7. Any restricted real estate salesperson license issued to Respondent MARTINEZ may be suspended or revoked for a violation, by Respondent of any of the conditions attaching to the restricted

DRE No. H-1824 FR

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

license.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 8. The restricted license issued to Respondent MARTINEZ is suspended for a period of sixty (60) days from the effective date of this Decision; provided, however, that if Respondent petitions, said suspensions (or a portion thereof) shall be stayed upon condition that:
- a. Respondent MARTINEZ pays a monetary penalty pursuant to Section 10175.2 of the Business and Professions Code at the rate of \$50.00 for each day of the suspension for a total monetary penalty of \$3,000.00.
- Said payment b. shall be in the form of а cashier's check certified or check made payable to the Recovery Account of the Real Estate Fund. Said check must be delivered to the Department prior to the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
 - c. No further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate licenses of Respondent MARTINEZ occurs within one year from the effective date of the Decision in this matter.
 - d. If Respondent MARTINEZ fails to pay the monetary penalty in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Decision, the

DRE NO. H-1824 FR

JORDAN-LINK & CO., ET AL.

- 12 -

Commissioner may, without a hearing, order the immediate execution of all or any part of the stayed suspension in which event the Respondent shall not be entitled to any repayment nor credit, prorated or otherwise, for money paid to the Department under the terms of this Decision. If Respondent MARTINEZ е. pays the monetary penalty and if no further cause for disciplinary action against the real estate license of Respondent occurs within one year from the effective date of the Decision, the stay hereby granted shall become permanent. 2/28/00 ATED HAEL B. RICH Counsel Department of Real Estate

I have read the Stipulation and Agreement and its 20 terms are understood by me and are agreeable and acceptable to 21 22 I understand that I am waiving rights given to me by the me. California Administrative Procedure Act 23 (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 11508, 11509, and 11513 of 24 the 25 Government Code), and I willingly, intelligently, and 26 voluntarily waive those rights, including the right of requiring 27

DRE No. H-1824 FR

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1'4

15

16

17

18

19

the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a 1 hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine 2 witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and 3 4 mitigation of the charges. 5 6 :22-0, 7 JORDAN-AINK COMPANY 8 Respondent By: BILLY R. JORDAN 9 10 22-06 11 DATED BILLY Ŕ Responden 12 13 14 15 · 0(0 RUBEN MURGUIA MARTINEZ DATED 16 Respondent 17 Approved as to form and content by counsel for 18 Respondent. 19 20 21 DALE R. BRUDER 22 Attorney for Respondents 23 24 25 foregoing Stipulation and The Agreement is hereby 26 adopted by as my Decision in this matter as to Respondent 27 DRE No. H-1824 FR JORDAN-LINK & CO., ET AL. 14 -

JORDAN-LINK & COMPANY, Respondent BILLY R. JORDAN, and Respondent RUBEN MURGUIA MARTINEZ and shall become effective at APR 1 3 12 o'clock noon on _, 2006. -2-IT IS SO ORDERED _ _,2006. JEFF DAVI Real Estate Commissioner 1 g g e g i i i ga . DRE No. H-1824 FR JORDAN-LINK & CO., ET AL. - 15 -

•

, 2			
1 2 3 4 5	MICHAEL B. RICH, Counsel State Bar No. 84257 Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 By JEC		
6			
7			
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE		
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	* * *		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of)) NO. H-1824 FR		
12	JORDAN-LINK & COMPANY,) BILLY R. JORDAN, and,) <u>ACCUSATION</u>		
13	RUBEN MURGUIA MARTINEZ,		
14	Respondents.		
15	·································		
16	The Complainant, JOHN W. SWEENEY, a Deputy Real Estate		
17	Commissioner of the State of California, for causes of		
18	Accusation against JORDAN-LINK & COMPANY, BILLY R. JORDAN, and		
19	RUBEN MURGUIA MARTINEZ, is informed and alleges as follows:		
20	FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION		
21	I		
22	The Complainant, JOHN W. SWEENEY, a Deputy Real Estate		
23	Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation		
24	against Respondents in his official capacity and not otherwise.		
. 25	II		
26	Respondents JORDAN-LINK & COMPANY, BILLY R. JORDAN,		
27	and RUBEN MURGUIA MARTINEZ are presently licensed and/or have		

- 1 -

.

، در ۲			
1	license rights under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4		
2	of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter "the		
3	Code").		
4	III ·		
5	At all times herein mentioned, Respondent JORDAN-LINK		
6	& COMPANY (hereafter "Respondent CORPORATION") was and is		
7	licensed by the Department of Real Estate (hereafter		
8	"Department") as a corporate real estate broker.		
9	IV		
10	At all times herein mentioned, Respondent BILLY R.		
11	JORDAN (hereafter "Respondent JORDAN") was and is licensed by		
12	the Department as an individual real estate broker and as the		
13	designated broker/officer of Respondent CORPORATION.		
14	V		
15	On or about October 27, 2002, the real estate		
16	salesperson license of RUBEN MURGUIA MARTINEZ (hereafter		
17	"Respondent MARTINEZ") issued by the Department, expired and was		
18	not renewed until February 6, 2003. At no time herein between		
19	October 28, 2002, and February 5 2003, inclusive, was Respondent		
20	MARTINEZ licensed by the Department as either a real estate		
21	broker or real estate salesperson. At all times herein		
22	mentioned, Respondent MARTINEZ was associated with and/or in the		
23	employ of Respondent CORPORATION.		
24	VI		
25	At all times herein mentioned, Respondent CORPORATION		
26	engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised		
27	or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of		

- 2 -

¹ California, including the business of selling or offering to
² sell, buying or offering to buy, soliciting prospective sellers
³ or purchasers of, solicited or obtained listings of, or
⁴ negotiated the purchase, sale or exchange of real property or
⁵ business opportunities located in the State of California on
⁶ behalf of others pursuant to Section 10131(a) of the Code, for
⁷ or in expectation of compensation.

VII

9 During the period from at least October 28, 2002, to . 10 about February, 5, 2003, when Respondent MARTINEZ' license was 11 expired, Respondent MARTINEZ was employed by and/or associated 12 with Respondent CORPORATION, and performed activities for 13 Respondent CORPORATION for which a real estate license is 14 required, for or in expectation of compensation. Respondent 15 MARTINEZ sold or offered to sell, bought or offered to buy, 16 solicited prospective sellers or purchasers of, solicited or 17 obtained listings of, or negotiated the purchase, sale or 18 exchange of real property or business opportunities located in the State of California on behalf of others for or in 19 20 expectation of compensation from or was paid by or otherwise 21 received compensation from Respondent CORPORATION in various 22 such transactions, including, but not limited to, the following:

23

24

8

LISTING AGREEMENTS:

25	Seller/Principal	Property	Listing Date
26	Salvador and Sara Valdovinos	1708 E. Howard Visalia, CA	12/3/02
27	Vardovinos	VISAIIA, CA	

3 -

1			
2	Miguel Rodriguez	2109 S. Crenshaw Visalia, CA	1/23/03
3	PURCHASE CONTRACTS:	•	
5	Principal	Property	Acceptance Date
6	Christina Espinosa		12/16/02
7	(buyer)	Visalia, CA	
8	Salvador and Sara		1/23/03
9	Valdovinos (seller)	Visalia, CA	
10	Salvador and Sara	. –	2/4/03
.11	Valdovinos (buyer)	Visalia, CA	
12	VIII		
13	The acts and/or omissions of Respondent MARTINEZ as		
14	alleged above violate Section 10130 of the Code, and constitute		
15	grounds for disciplinary action against Respondent MARTINEZ		
16	pursuant to Sections 10137 and 10177(d) and (f) of the Code.		
17	IX		
18	The above acts and/or omissions of Respondent		
19	CORPORATION in employing and/or compensating Respondent MARTINEZ		
20	when Respondent MARTINEZ was not duly licensed by the		
21	Department, constitute grounds for disciplinary action against		
22	Respondent CORPORATION pursuant to Sections 10137 and 10177(d)		
23	of the Code.		
24	SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION		
25	x .		
26	There is hereby incorporated in this Second, separate		
27			
	· · ·	- 4 -	• .

. در . م and distinct, Cause of Accusation, all of the allegations contained in Paragraphs I through IX, inclusive, of the First Cause of Accusation with the same force and effect as if herein fully set forth.

XI

6 At all times mentioned above, Respondent JORDAN was 7 responsible, as the designated officer of Respondent 8 CORPORATION, for the supervision and control of the activities 9 conducted on behalf of the corporation by its officers and 10 employees. Respondent JORDAN failed to exercise reasonable 11 supervision and control over the employment activities and the business activities of selling or offering to sell, buying or 12 13 offering to buy, soliciting prospective sellers or purchasers 14 of, solicited or obtained listings of, or negotiated the 15 purchase, sale or exchange of real property or business 16 opportunities located in the State of California on behalf of 17 others pursuant to Section 10131(a) of the Code of Respondent 18 CORPORATION. In particular, Respondent JORDAN permitted, 19 ratified, and/or caused the conduct described in the First Cause 20 of Accusation, above, to occur, and failed to take reasonable 21 steps to prevent, including but not limited to, the employment 22 of an unlicensed person to conduct licensed activities, and 23 failed to implement policies, rules, procedures, and systems to 24 ensure the compliance of the corporation with the Real Estate 25 Law. 26 111

- 5 -

27 1 ///

1

2

3

4

5

The above acts and/or omissions of Respondent JORDAN 3 constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions 4 of Section 10159.2 and Section 10177(d) of the Code and/or 5 Section 10177(h) of the Code.

MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION

XIII

8 On September 18, 1990, the Department issued a 9 conditional real estate salesperson license to Respondent 10 MARTINEZ pursuant to the educational requirements of Section 11 10153.4 of the Code. On March 13, 1992, while Respondent 12 CORPORATION employed Respondent MARTINEZ, the Department 13 suspended the real estate salesperson license of Respondent 14 MARTINEZ for failing to timely complete and submit proof of 15 completing the education requirements. Said suspension remained 16 in effect until April 20, 1992.

XIV

18 The real estate salesperson license of Respondent 19 MARTINEZ expired on September 17, 1998, while Respondent 20 CORPORATION employed Respondent MARTINEZ, and was not renewed 21 until October 28, 1998. On October 5, 1998, Respondent JORDAN 22 signed the renewal application of Respondent MARTINEZ on behalf 23 of Respondent CORPORATION as the employing broker.

24 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be 25 conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 26 proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 27 action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents

XII

1

2

6

7

17

6 -

., i under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law. JOHN W. SWEENEY Deputy Real Estate Commissioner Dated at Fresno, California, this 26^{m} day of 1____, 2005.