1	FILED SEP 08 2005
2	SEP 0 8 2005
3	
4	By Course Shaw
5	
6	
7	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
8	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	* * *
10)
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-1751 FR
12	PREMIER VALLEY INC., A) <u>STIPULATION AND</u> CALIFORNIA CORPORATION, and) <u>AGREEMENT</u>
13	LARRY ALVES MATOS,)
14	Respondents.)
15 16	It is hereby stipulated by and between PREMIER VALLEY
10	INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION, and LARRY ALVES MATOS
18	(hereafter Respondents) and their attorney J. Anne Rawlins, and
19	the Complainant, acting by and through Truly Sughrue, Counsel
20	for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose
21	of settling and disposing the Accusation filed on February 15,
22	2005 in this matter:
23	1. All issues which were to be contested and all
24	evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents
25	at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be
26	held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative
27	Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be
	_ 1

•

submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this
 Stipulation and Agreement.

2. Respondents have received, read and understand the
4 Statement to Respondent, and the Discovery Provisions of the APA
5 filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.

6 3. On February 25, 2005, Respondents filed a Notice of 7 Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the 8 purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the 9 Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraws said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledges that they 10 11 understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense they will thereby waive their rights to require the Commissioner to prove 12 13 the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in 14 accordance with the provisions of the APA, and that they will 15 waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the 16 hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine 17 18 witnesses.

4. Respondents, pursuant to the limitations set forth
below, hereby admit that the factual allegations pertaining to
them in Paragraphs I through VI of the Accusation filed in this
proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner
shall not be required to provide further evidence of such
allegations.

5. Without admitting the truth of the allegations
contained in the remaining paragraphs of the Accusation,
Respondents stipulate that they will not interpose a defense

- 2 -

1 thereto. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation. In the interests of expedience and 2 economy, Respondents choose not to contest the allegations, but З to remain silent, and understand that, as a result thereof, these 4 factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve 5 as a basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. 6 The 7 Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further 8 evidence to prove said factual allegations.

9 6. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real
10 Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and
11 Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any
12 further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of
13 Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not
14 specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this
15 proceeding.

7. Respondents understand that by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement, Respondents agrees to pay, pursuant to Section 10148 of the California Business and Professions Code, the cost of the audit which resulted in the determination that Respondents committed the trust fund violation(s) found in Paragraph I, below, of the Determination of Issues. The amount of said costs is \$14,932.38.

Respondents further understand that by agreeing
to this Stipulation and Agreement in Settlement, the findings
set forth below in the Determination Of Issues become final, and
that the Commissioner may charge said Respondents for the
costs of any audit conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of

- 3 -

、 '	
1	the California Business and Professions Code to determine if
2	the violations have been corrected. The maximum costs of
3	said audit shall not exceed \$14,932.38.
4	* * .*
5	DETERMINATION OF ISSUES
6	By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers and
7	solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation
. 8	without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following
· 9	determination of issues shall be made:
10	The acts and/or omissions of Respondents PREMIER VALLEY
11	INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION, and LARRY ALVES MATOS as
12	stipulated above violate Section 10145 of the California Business
13	and Professions Code (hereinafter Code) and Sections 2831,
14	2831.1, and 2831.1 of the Title 10, California Code of
15	Regulations, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action under
16	the provisions of Section 10177(d) of the Code.
17	* * *
18	ORDER
19	I
20	All licenses and licensing rights of Respondents
21	PREMIER VALLEY INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION, and LARRY ALVES
22	MATOS under the Real Estate Law are suspended for a period of
23	thirty (30) days from the effective date of this Order; provided,
24	however, that:
25	A. The said 30-day suspension shall be stayed for two (2) years
26	upon the following terms and conditions:
27	
	- 4 -

1	1. Respondents shall obey all laws, rules and regulations
2	governing the rights, duties and responsibilities of a
3	real estate licensee in the State of California; and,
4	2. That no final subsequent determination be made, after
5	hearing or upon stipulation, that cause for disciplinary
6	action occurred within two (2) years from the effective
7	date of this Order. Should such a determination be made,
8	the Commissioner may, in his discretion, vacate and set
9	aside the stay order and reimpose all or a portion of the
10	stayed suspension. Should no such determination be made,
11	the stay imposed herein shall become permanent.
12	B. Pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions
13	Code, RespondentS shall pay the Commissioner's reasonable cost
14	for the audit which led to this disciplinary action and a
15	subsequent audit to determine if Respondents have corrected
16	the trust fund violation(s) found in paragraph I of the
17	Determination of Issues. In calculating the amount of the
18	Commissioner's reasonable cost, the Commissioner may use the
19	estimated average hourly salary for all persons performing
20	audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation
21	for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work.
22	Respondents shall pay such cost within 60 days of receiving an
23	invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities
24	performed during the audit and the amount of time spent
25	performing those activities. The Commissioner may suspend the
26	licenses of Respondents pending a hearing held in accordance
27	with Section 11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if

- 5 -

payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between Respondents and the Commissioner. The suspension shall remain in effect until payment is made in full or until Respondents enter into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition.

DATED

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

23

24

25

26

27

TRULY SUGHRUE Counsel for Complainant

12 I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have 13 discussed it with my counsel, and its terms are understood by me 14 and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am 15 waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative 16 Procedure Act (including but not limited to Sections 11506, 17 11508, 11509, and 11513 of the Government Code), and I willingly, 18 intelligently, and voluntarily waive those rights, including the 19 right of requiring the Commissioner to prove the allegations in 20 the First Amended Accusation at a hearing at which I would have 21 the right to cross-examine witnesses against me and to present 22 evidence in defense and mitigation of the charges.

PREMIER VALLEY INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION Respondent

- 6 -

nelalae 9/28/05 1 MATOS 2 Respondent 3 4 he Stipulation and Agreement as to 5 form an dvised my clients accordingly. 6 DATED: <u>8/16/05</u> 7 ANNE RAWLINS 8 Attorney for Respondents 9 The foregoing Stipulation and Agreement is hereby 10 adopted as my Decision and shall become effective at 12 o'clock 11 12 noon on <u>September 28</u> , 2005. 13 _____, 2005. IT IS SO ORDERED 14 15 JEFF DAVI Real estate Commissioner 16 17 Ju, 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 7 -

M	
1 2 3	TRULY SUGHRUE, Counsel State Bar No. 223266 Department of Real Estate P.O. Box 187007 Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
4	Telephone: (916) 227-0781 By Cenne Maures
6 7	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
8	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9 10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of λ No H-1751 FR
12)
13	PREMIER VALLEY INC., A) FIRST AMENDED CALIFORNIA CORPORATION, and) ACCUSATION LARRY ALVES MATOS,)
14) Respondents.)
15	The Complainant, JOHN W. SWEENEY, a Deputy Real Estate
16	Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation
17	against PREMIER VALLEY INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION, and LARRY
18	ALVES MATOS (herein "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as
19 20	follows:
21	I
22	The Complainant, JOHN W. SWEENEY, a Deputy Real Estate
23	Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in
24	his official capacity.
25	II
26	Respondents are presently licensed and/or has license
27	rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the
	- 1 -

.

.

Business and Professions Code) (herein "the Code"). 1 2 III 3 At all times herein mentioned, PREMIER VALLEY INC., A 4 CALIFORNIA CORPORATION, (hereafter PREMIER) was and is licensed 5 by the State of California Department of Real Estate (hereafter 6 Department) as a real estate broker corporation. 7 IV At all times herein mentioned, Respondent LARRY ALVES 8 MATOS, (hereafter MATOS) was and is licensed by the Department as 9 the designated broker officer of PREMIER. 10 V 11 At all times herein mentioned, Respondents were 12 performing acts requiring a real estate license for or in 13 expectation of compensation. 14 VI 15 Beginning in April 19, 2004, the Department conducted 16 an audit of the above business activities of PREMIER for the time 17 period of January 31, 2000 through April 28, 2004. 18 19 VII 20 Beginning on or before January 31, 2000 through on or 21 after April 28, 2004, PREMIER maintained the following trust 22 fund accounts: 23 ///24 /// 25 /// 26 \mathbb{N} 27 - 2 -

(
1	TITLE AND ACCOUNT NUMBERS BANK
2	Premier Valley Inc. Edmondson/CentralCounty BankValley Property Management Trust3508 McHenry Avenue
3	Account No. 950507385 (hereinafter "Account #1")
4	Premier Valley Inc. DBA Central Valley County Bank
5	Property Management Security Trust Account No. 950507261 (hereinafter "Account #2")
7	
8	Premier Valley Inc. DBA Central ValleyCounty BankProperty Mgmt Rental Trust3508 McHenry Avenue
° 9	Account No. 950507237 Modesto, CA 95356 (hereinafter "Account #3")
	Premier Valley Inc. Central Valley County Bank
10 11	Property Management Maintenance Trust 3508 McHenry Avenue Account No. 950507288 Modesto, CA 95356 (hereinafter "Account #4)
12	VIII
13	In connection with the receipt and disbursement of
14	trust funds described in Paragraph VII above, PREMIER failed to
15	
16	deposit and maintain trust funds in Account #1 in such manner
17	that as of July 31, 2003, there was a shortage of \$4,083.19 of
18	trust funds.
	IX
19	PREMIER failed to obtain prior written consent from
20	each of the principals for the reduction of the aggregate
21	balance of trust funds in Account #1 to an amount less than the
22	existing aggregate trust fund liability to the owners of said
23	funds in violation of Section 2832.1 of the Regulations.
24	X
25	In connection with the receipt and disbursement of
26	trust funds described in Paragraph VII above, PREMIER failed to
27	

•

Π

- 3 -

1 maintain for Account #1 a written control record of all trust 2 funds received and disbursed containing all information required 3 by Section 2831. XI 4 In connection with the receipt and disbursement of 5 trust funds described in Paragraph VII above, PREMIER failed to 6 maintain for accurate separate records and accurate owners' 7 8 statements for Account #1 and Account #3 as required by Section 9 2831.1. 10 XII 11 In connection with the receipt and disbursement of trust funds described in Paragraph VII above, PREMIER failed for 12 13 Account #1 to reconcile the balance of separate beneficiary or transaction records with the control records of trust funds 14 15 received and disbursed at least once a month, and/or failed to 16 maintain a record of such reconciliations as requested by 17. Section 2831.2 of the Regulations. 18 XIII 19 The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension 20 or revocation of Respondents licenses and license rights under 21 the following sections of the Code and Regulations: 22 As to Paragraphs VIII, under Section 10177(d) of (1)23 the Code in conjunction with Section 10145 of the Code; 24 As to Paragraph IX, under Section 10177(d) of the (2)25 Code in conjunction with Section 2832.1 of the Regulations; 26 As to Paragraph X, under Section 10177(d) of the (3) Code in conjunction with Section 2831 of the Regulations; 27 4 -

As to Paragraph XI, under Section 10177(d) of the 1 (4)Code in conjunction with Section 2831.1 of the Regulations; 2

3

4

As to Paragraph XII, under Section 10177(d) of (5) the Code in conjunction with Section 2831.2 of the Regulations.

In the alternative, the acts and/or omissions of MATOS 5 described above, constitute failure on the part of MATOS, as 6 7 designated broker-officer for PREMIER, to exercise reasonable 8 supervision and control over the licensed activities of PREMIER 9 required by Section 10159.2 of the Code, and is cause for the suspension or revocation of MATOS' license and/or license rights 10 under Section 10177(h) of the Code. 11

12 WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be 13 conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 14 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 15 action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business 16 17 and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as 18 may be proper under other provisions of law.

2004

5 -

Illian

JOHN W. SWEENEY

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

19

Dated at Sacramento, California, this 121 day of

•	
· `	
· 1	
2	TRULY SUGHRUE, Counsel State Bar No. 223266
3	Department of Real Estate P.O. Box 187007 Segmemente CD 05918 7007
4	Sacramento, CA 95818-7007 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
5	Telephone: (916) 227-0781 By anne Shown
6	
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-1751 FR
12	PREMIER VALLEY INC., AND) <u>ACCUSATION</u>
13	LARRY ALVES MATOS,)
14)
15	The Complainant, JOHN W. SWEENEY, a Deputy Real Estate
10	Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation
18	against PREMIER VALLEY INC., AND LARRY ALVES MATOS (herein
19	"Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows:
20	I
21	The Complainant, JOHN W. SWEENEY, a Deputy Real Estate
22	Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation in
23	his official capacity.
24	II
25	Respondents are presently licensed and/or has license
26	rights under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the
. 27	Business and Professions Code) (herein "the Code").
	- 1 -

` .	
1	III
2	At all times herein mentioned, PREMIER VALLEY INC.,
3	(hereafter PREMIER) was and is licensed by the State of
4	California Department of Real Estate (hereafter Department)
5	as a real estate broker corporation.
6	IV At all times herein mentioned. Demonstruct LADDY ALVDS
. 7	At all times herein mentioned, Respondent LARRY ALVES
8	MATOS, (hereafter MATOS) was and is licensed by the Department as
9	the designated broker officer of PREMIER.
10	V
11	At all times herein mentioned, Respondents were
12	performing acts requiring a real estate license for or in
13	expectation of compensation.
14	VI
15	Beginning in April 19, 2004, the Department conducted
16	an audit of the above business activities of PREMIER for the time
17	period of January 31, 2000 through April 28, 2004.
18	VII
19	Beginning on or before January 31, 2000 through on or
20	after April 28, 2004, PREMIER maintained the following trust
21	fund accounts:
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
	- 2 -

1	TITLE AND ACCOUNT NUMBERS BANK
2	Premier Valley Inc. Edmondson/Central County Bank Valley Property Management Trust 3508 McHenry Avenue
3	Valley Property Management Trust3508 McHenry AvenueAccount No. 950507385Modesto, CA 95356(hereinafter "Account #1")Modesto, CA 95356
4	
5	Premier Valley Inc. DBA Central ValleyCounty BankProperty Management Security Trust3508 McHenry AvenueAccount No. 950507261Modesto, CA 95356
6	(hereinafter "Account #2")
7	Premier Valley Inc. DBA Central Valley County Bank Property Mgmt Rental Trust 3508 McHenry Avenue
8	Account No. 950507237 Modesto, CA 95356 (hereinafter "Account #3")
9	
10 11	Premier Valley Inc. Central ValleyCounty BankProperty Management Maintenance Trust3508 McHenry AvenueAccount No. 950507288Modesto, CA 95356
12	(hereinafter "Account #4)
13	VIII
	In connection with the receipt and disbursement of
14	trust funds described in Paragraph VII above, PREMIER failed to
15	deposit and maintain trust funds in Account #1 in such manner
16	that as of July 31, 2003, there was a shortage of \$4,083.19 of
17	trust funds.
18	IX
19	PREMIER failed to obtain prior written consent from
20	each of the principals for the reduction of the aggregate
21	balance of trust funds in Account #1 to an amount less than the
22	existing aggregate trust fund liability to the owners of said
23	funds in violation of Section 2832.1 of the Regulations.
24	x
25	In connection with the receipt and disbursement of
26	trust funds described in Paragraph VII above, PREMIER failed to
27	

ł

H

.

- 3 -

1 maintain for Account #1 a written control record of all trust 2 funds received and disbursed containing all information required 3 by Section 2831. 4 XΤ 5 In connection with the receipt and disbursement of trust funds described in Paragraph VII above, PREMIER failed to 6 7 maintain for accurate separate records and accurate owners' 8 statements for Account #1 and Account #3 as required by Section 9 2831.1. 10 XII 11 In connection with the receipt and disbursement of 12 trust funds described in Paragraph VII above, PREMIER failed for 13 Account #1 to reconcile the balance of separate beneficiary or 14 transaction records with the control records of trust funds 15 received and disbursed at least once a month, and/or failed to 16 maintain a record of such reconciliations as requested by 17 Section 2831.2 of the Regulations. 18 XTTT 19 The facts alleged above are grounds for the suspension 20 or revocation of Respondents licenses and license rights under 21 the following sections of the Code and Regulations: 22 (1)As to Paragraphs VIII, under Section 10177(d) of 23 the Code in conjunction with Section 10145 of the Code; 24 As to Paragraph IX, under Section 10177(d) of the (2)25 Code in conjunction with Section 2832.1 of the Regulations; 26 As to Paragraph X, under Section 10177(d) of the (3) 27 Code in conjunction with Section 2831 of the Regulations; - 4 -

(4) As to Paragraph XI, under Section 10177(d) of the
 Code in conjunction with Section 2831.1 of the Regulations;

3

4

(5) As to Paragraph XII, under Section 10177(d) of the Code in conjunction with Section 2831.2 of the Regulations.

In the alternative, the acts and/or omissions of MATOS described above, constitute failure on the part of MATOS, as designated broker-officer for PREMIER, to exercise reasonable supervision and control over the licensed activities of PREMIER required by Section 10159.2 of the Code, and is cause for the suspension or revocation of MATOS' license and/or license rights under Section 10177(h) of the Code.

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents, under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

JOHN W. SWEENEY Deputy Real Estate Commissioner

22 23 24

25

26

27

this SO'

Dated at Sacramento, California,

day of lecember. 2004

19

20

- 5 -