5/

2	
3	
4	DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
5	DEFORTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
6	Ву СА
7	
8	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE
9	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	* * *
11	In the Matter of the Accusation of)
12	PAR FUNDING CORPORATION,) No. H-1655 SA
13	a corporation, dba Americorp and) Americorp Mortgage Services;
14	AMERICORP MORTGAGE SERVICES, a corporation; and PAUL TAKESHI MORINISHI,
15	individually and as designated) officer of Par Funding Corporation)
16	and Americorp Mortgage Services,
17	Respondents.
18	
19	<u>DISMISSAL</u>
20	The Accusation herein filed on September 14, 1993,
21	against Respondent AMERICORP MORTGAGE SERVICES, only, is
55	DISMISSED.
23	IT IS SO ORDERED this 21 Tday of Aveust
24	1995.
25	JIM ANTT, JR. Real Estate Commissioner
26 27	
4 1	fr links
NIA -721	

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORN STD 113 IREV B.

CHRISTOPHER K.D. LEONG, Counsel Department of Real Estate 107 South Broadway, Room 8107 Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 897-3937

FF 14 1993

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-1655 SA 11

12

PAR FUNDING CORPORATION, a corporation, dba Americorp and Americorp Mortgage Services; AMERICORP MORTGAGE SERVICES, a corporation and

and Americorp Mortgage Services,

Respondents.

14

13

PAUL TAKESHI MORINISHI, 15 individually and as designated officer of Par Funding Corporation

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

ACCUSATION

The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, for cause of Accusation against PAR FUNDING CORPORATION, a corporation, dba Americorp and Americorp Mortgage Services (hereinafter "PAR FUNDING"); AMERICORP MORTGAGE SERVICES, a corporation (hereinafter "AMERICORP") and PAUL TAKESHI MORINISHI, individually and as designated officer of PAR FUNDING and AMERICORP (hereinafter "MORINISHI") (hereinafter sometimes referred to as "Respondents"), is informed and alleges as follows:

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 IREV. 8-721

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

GOURT PAPER STATE OF GALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

PAPER F CALIFORNIA

The Complainant, Thomas McCrady, a Deputy Real Estate Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation against Respondents in his official capacity.

2.

All Sections of Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations, are hereinafter referred to as "Regulations".

3.

At all times herein mentioned, PAR FUNDING was and still is licensed by the Department as a corporate real estate broker.

4.

At all times herein mentioned, AMERICORP was licensed by the Department as a corporate real estate broker from about 1987 until its license expired on April 14, 1991.

5.

At all times herein mentioned, MORINISHI was and still is licensed by the Department of Real Estate of the State of California (hereinafter "Department") as a real estate broker and in his individual capacity and/or as the designated officer of PAR FUNDING. MORINISHI was also the designated officer of AMERICORP.

6.

At all times material herein, Respondent MORINISHI, was and still is licensed by the Department as the designated officer of PAR FUNDING and was the designated officer of AMERICORP, and was responsible for the supervision and control of the activities

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

conducted on behalf of the corporation by its officers and employees as necessary to secure full compliance with the Real Estate Law, as set forth in Section 10159.2 of the Code.

7.

All further references to PAR FUNDING and AMERICORP shall be deemed to refer to, in addition to PAR FUNDING and AMERICORP, the officers, directors, employees, agents and real estate licensees employed by or associated with PAR FUNDING and AMERICORP, who at all times herein mentioned were engaged in the furtherance of the business or operations of said parties and who were acting within the course and scope of their corporate authority and employment.

8.

At all times mentioned herein, in Los Angeles County, California, PAR FUNDING, AMERICORP and MORINISHI, engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised or assumed to act as real estate brokers in the State of California, within the meaning of Section 10131(d) of the Code, wherein they arranged, negotiated, processed, and consummated, on behalf of others, loans secured by interest in real property for compensation or in expectation of compensation.

9.

On or about August 21, 1992, the Department completed an audit of the activities of PAR FUNDING, AMERICORP and MORINISHI for the period from April 1, 1990 through April 14, 1991. The results of these audits are set forth in Paragraphs 10 through 21.

п	
4	

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

95 24780

AMERICORP

10.

During 1991 and 1992, in connection with their real estate business activities AMERICORP and MORINISHI accepted or received funds in trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of borrowers and thereafter made disbursements of such These trust funds were maintained by AMERICORP and MORINISHI in a bank account. The bank account was at Orange National Bank, 1201 E. Katella Orange, CA 92667, Account No. known as Americorp Mortgage Services Trust Account (hereinafter "TA#1").

11.

In connection with those trust funds described in Paragraph 10, AMERICORP and MORINISHI violated Section 10145 of the Code and Regulation 2833 of the Code by disbursing or allowing the disbursement of funds from TA#1 without prior written consent of every principal who then was an owner of trust funds in said account wherein the disbursement reduced the balance of funds in the account as of May 30, 1992 to an amount which was approximately \$301.00 less than the existing aggregate trust fund liability to all owners of said trust funds.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS

12.

Respondents AMERICORP and MORINISHI did not provide mortgage loan disclosure statements to the borrower when required including but not limited to: B. Serina, K. & K. Hamada, W. & M. Vosseler and C. & L. Sales. This constitutes a violation of

Section 10240 of the Code and Section 2840 of the Regulations and is cause to suspend or revoke the real estate license and license rights of Respondents AMERICORP and MORINISHI.

REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS

13.

MORINISHI failed to date and initial all material documents prepared by licensees within five (5) days of preparation. This includes services performed for: B. Serina, K. & K. Hamada and W. & M. Vosseler. Said omission constitutes a violation of Section 2725 of the Regulations.

RETENTION OF RECORDS

14.

Beginning February 1990, and continuing through the present, Respondents AMERICORP and MORINISHI have failed to retain and make available for examination and inspection by a designated representative of the Commissioner, all documents executed or obtained by Respondents in connection with transactions for which a real estate license is required, including, but not limited to transactions for the following persons: S. Wale, J. Fenned, V. Smith, G. Brookman and D. Endo. This constitutes a violation of Section 10148 of the Code and is cause to suspend or revoke the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents under Section 10177(d) of the Code.

PAR FUNDING CORPORATION

15.

During 1991 and 1992, in connection with their real estate business activities PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI accepted or

received funds in trust (hereinafter "trust funds") from or on behalf of borrowers and thereafter made disbursements of such funds. These trust funds were maintained by PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI in a bank account. The bank account was at Orange National Bank, 1201 E. Katella, Orange County, CA 92667, Account No. known as Par Funding Corporation, dba Americorp Trust Account (hereinafter "TA#2").

16.

In connection with those trust funds described in Paragraph 15, PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI:

- (a) failed to reconcile the records of the balance for trust funds received and disbursed for each beneficiary with the separate cash record, in violation of Section 2831.2 of the Regulations.
- (b) failed to notify the Department of all licensees leaving their employment including: D. Baker, V. Canzoneri, O. Gonzalez, G. Hendon, R. Hooker, R. Ketchem, V. Lain, R. Morgan, L. Parker, D. Peskie, E. Robles, L. Sivils, and J. Zegarra; and failed to notify the Department of licensees joining their employment including: M. O'Brien, in violation of Section 2752 of the Regulations.
- (c) failed to notify the Department within 30 days that they had met the threshold criteria. PAR FUNDING negotiated/arranged 25 loans funded by a mortgage banker for an aggregate amount of \$4,511,875 during a period of time from August 27, 1991 through February 7, 1992, and failed to notify the Department, in violation of Section 10232 of the Code.

In connection with those trust funds described in Paragraph 15, PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI violated Section 10145 of the Code and Regulation 2833 of the Code by maintaining, in TA#2, as of June 30, 1992, an amount which was approximately \$360.00 more than the existing aggregate trust fund liability to all owners of said trust funds.

DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS

18.

Respondents PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI did not provide mortgage loan disclosure statements to the borrower when required including but not limited to: S. and C. Raborn, M. & C. Plank, R. & J. Kellogg, M. & A. Marmol and D. & S. Kim. This constitutes a violation of Section 10240 of the Code and Section 2840 of the Regulations and is cause to suspend or revoke the real estate license and license rights of Respondents PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI.

REVIEW OF DOCUMENTS

19.

MORINISHI failed to date and initial all material documents prepared by licensees within five (5) days of preparation. This includes services performed for: S. and C. Raborn, M. & C. Plank, R. & J. Kellogg, M. & A. Marmol and D. & S. Kim. Said omission constitutes a violation of Section 2725 of the Regulations.

,

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

RETENTION OF RECORDS

20.

Beginning July 1992, and continuing through the present, Respondents AMERICORP, PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI have failed to retain and make available for examination and inspection by a designated representative of the Commissioner, all documents executed or obtained by Respondents in connection with transactions for which a real estate license is required, including, but not limited to the following for PAR FUNDING: certified copy of the bank signature card for TA#2, and the following for AMERICORP: 1) trust account bank statements and canceled checks for June 1990, 2) columnar records and separate records for the trust account for June 1990, 3) certified copy bank signature card for the trust account and 4) loan files. This constitutes a violation of Section 10148 of the Code and is cause to suspend or revoke the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents under Section 10177(d) of the Code.

FAILURE TO SUPERVISE

21.

By failing to insure that PAR FUNDING and AMERICORP were acting in compliance with Real Estate Law, MORINISHI was in violation of Sections 10159.2 and 10177(h) of the Code, which is cause for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent MORINISHI under Real Estate Law, pursuant to Section 10177(d) and 10177(h) of the Code.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO. 113 IREV. 0-721

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

FIRST CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Violation by Respondents PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI of Sections 10145 and 10177(d) of the Code and Sections 2725, 2752, 2831.2 and 2833 of the Regulations)

22.

As a First Cause of Accusation, Complainant incorporates herein by this reference the Preamble and each of the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 21, herein above.

23.

The conduct of Respondents PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI, in handling trust funds, as alleged in Paragraphs 15 through 21, constitutes violations under Sections 10145 and 10177(d) of the Code and Sections 2725, 2752, 2831.2 and 2833 of the Regulations. Said conduct is cause, pursuant to Section 10177(d) of the Code, for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondents PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI under Real Estate Law.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Violation by Respondents AMERICORP and MORINISHI of Sections 10145 and 10177(d) of the Code and Sections 2725 and 2831.1 of the Regulations)

24.

As a Second Cause of Accusation, Complainant incorporates herein by this reference the Preamble and each of the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 21, herein above.

/

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72) The conduct of Respondents AMERICORP and MORINISHI in handling trust funds, as alleged in Paragraphs 10 through 14, constitutes violations under Sections 10145 and 10177(d) of the Code and Sections 2725 and 2831.1 of the Regulations. conduct is cause, pursuant to Section 10177(d) of the Code, for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondents AMERICORP and MORINISHI under Real Estate Law.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Violation by Respondents PAR FUNDING, AMERICORP and MORINISHI of Section 10240 of the Code and Section 2840 of the Regulations) 26.

As a Third Cause of Accusation, Complainant incorporates herein by this reference the Preamble and each of the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 21, herein above.

27.

The conduct of Respondents PAR FUNDING, AMERICORP and MORINISHI, in handling trust funds as alleged in Paragraphs 12 and 18, constitutes violations under Section 10240 of the Code and Section 2840 of the Regulations. Said conduct is cause for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondents PAR FUNDING, AMERICORP and MORINISHI under Real Estate Law.

25

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STO 113 (REV. 8-72)

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Violation by Respondents AMERICORP, PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI of Sections 10148 and 10177(d) of the Code)

28.

As a Fourth Cause of Accusation, Complainant incorporates herein by this reference the Preamble and each of the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 21, herein above.

29.

The conduct of Respondents AMERICORP, PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI in failing to obtain trust fund bank signature cards as alleged in Paragraph 20, constitutes violations under Section 10148 of the Code and Section 2840 of the Regulations. Said conduct is cause pursuant to Section 10177(d) of the Code for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondents AMERICORP, PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI under Real Estate Law.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Violation by Respondents PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI of Section 10232 of the Code)

30.

As a Fifth Cause of Accusation, Complainant incorporates herein by this reference the Preamble and each of the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 21, herein above.

31.

The conduct of Respondents PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI in violating Section 10232 of the Code by not notifying the Department that it met the threshold criteria. Said conduct is

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD, 113 (REV. 8-72) cause for the suspension or revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondents PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI under Real Estate Law, pursuant to Section 10232 of the Code.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACCUSATION

(Violation by Respondent MORINISHI of Section 10177(h) of the Code)

32.

As a Sixth Cause of Accusation, Complainant incorporates herein by this reference the Preamble and each of the allegations in Paragraphs 1 through 21, herein above.

33.

The conduct of Respondent MORINISHI in allowing
Respondent PAR FUNDING to violate Sections 10145, 10148,
10177(d), 10232 and 10240 of the Code and Sections 2725, 2752,
2831.2 and 2833 of the Regulations, and in allowing Respondent
AMERICORP to violate Sections 10145, 10148 10177(d) and 10240 of
the Code and Sections 2725 and 2831 of the Regulations, as
described herein above, constitutes a failure by Respondent
MORINISHI to exercise reasonable supervision of the activities of
Respondents PAR FUNDING and AMERICORP which required a real
estate license. Said conduct is cause for the suspension or
revocation of all licenses and license rights of Respondent
MORINISHI under Real Estate Law, pursuant to Section 10177(d) and
10177(h) of the Code.

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 (REV. 8-72)

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be 1 conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon 2 proof thereof, a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary 3 action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents 4 AMERICORP, PAR FUNDING and MORINISHI, under the Real Estate Law 5 (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code) and 6 for such other and further relief as may be proper under other 7 applicable provisions of law. 8 | Dated at Santa Ana, California 9 | 10 this 14th day of September, 1993. 11 12 THOMAS McCRADY 13 Deputy Real Estate Commissioner 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 cc: Americorp Mortgage Services 24 Par Funding Corporation Paul Takeshi Morinishi Sacto. 25 TBG Audit Section 26 27

ckdl

COURT PAPER STATE OF CALIFORNIA STD. 113 IREV. 8-721