FIFED

APR 0 6 2007

DEPARIMENT OF KEAL ESTATE

By Jean die

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

10

2

3

In the Matter of the Accusation of

RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN,

Respondent.

No. H-1539 FR

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

26

.

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On May 3, 2002, in Case No. H-1539 FR, a Decision was rendered revoking the real estate broker license of Respondent effective June 20, 2003, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted real estate broker license. A restricted real estate broker license was issued to Respondent on June 20, 2003, and Respondent has operated as a restricted licensee since that time.

On June 7, 2005, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said real estate broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted real estate broker license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a real estate broker license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the following conditions within nine (9) months from the date of this Order:

- 1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of the fee for a real estate broker license.
- 2. Submittal of evidence of having, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license.

JEFF DAVI

Real Estate Commissioner

APR 0 6 2007

DEPAKIMENT OF KEAL ESTATE

By Jean aut

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of) No. H-1539 FR

REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC.,)

Respondent.)

ORDER GRANTING REINSTATEMENT OF LICENSE

On May 5, 2003, in Case No. H-3521 SAC, a Decision was rendered revoking the corporate real estate broker license of Respondent effective June 20, 2003, but granting Respondent the right to the issuance of a restricted corporate real estate broker license. A restricted corporate real estate broker license was issued to Respondent on June 20, 2003, and Respondent has operated as a restricted licensee since that time.

On June 7, 2005, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of said corporate real estate broker license, and the Attorney General of the State of California has been given notice of the filing of said petition.

I have considered the petition of Respondent and the evidence and arguments in support thereof. Respondent has demonstrated to my satisfaction that Respondent meets the requirements of law for the issuance to Respondent of an unrestricted corporate real estate broker license and that it would not be against the public interest to issue said license to Respondent. NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that Respondent's petition for reinstatement is granted and that a corporate real 10 estate broker license be issued to Respondent if Respondent satisfies the following conditions within nine (9) months from 12 the date of this Order: 13 1. Submittal of a completed application and payment of 14 the fee for a corporate real estate broker license. This Order shall be effective immediately. DATED: JEFF DAVI

1

7

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Real Estate Commissioner

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE P. O. Box 187000 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 Telephone: (916) 227-0789

MAY 3 1 2003

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

By the Contraras

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

BEFORE THE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation of)

REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. and RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN,

Respondents.

NO. H-1539 FR

STIPULATION AND AGREEMENT

It is hereby stipulated by and between REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. and RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN (hereafter Respondents), represented by Glen R. Lewis, Attorney at Law, and the Complainant, acting by and through Deidre L. Johnson, Counsel for the Department of Real Estate, as follows for the purpose of settling and disposing the Accusation filed on May 30, 2002 in this matter:

1. All issues which were to be contested and all evidence which was to be presented by Complainant and Respondents at a formal hearing on the Accusation, which hearing was to be

FILE NO. H-1539 FR

- 1 - REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC.-JARMIN

held in accordance with the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), shall instead and in place thereof be submitted solely on the basis of the provisions of this Stipulation and Agreement.

- 2. Respondents have each received, read and understand the Statement to Respondent, and the Discovery Provisions of the APA filed by the Department of Real Estate in this proceeding.
- 3. On June 7, 2002, Respondents filed their Notice of Defense pursuant to Section 11505 of the Government Code for the purpose of requesting a hearing on the allegations in the Accusation. Respondents hereby freely and voluntarily withdraw said Notice of Defense. Respondents acknowledge that they each understand that by withdrawing said Notice of Defense they will thereby waive their rights to require the Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a contested hearing held in accordance with the provisions of the APA, and that they will waive other rights afforded to them in connection with the hearing such as the right to present evidence in defense of the allegations in the Accusation and the right to cross-examine witnesses.
- 4. Respondents, pursuant to the limitations set forth below, hereby admit that the factual allegations pertaining to them in Paragraphs I through V of the Accusation filed in this proceeding are true and correct and the Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence of such allegations.

В

1.9

5. Without admitting the truth of the allegations contained in the rest of the Accusation as to each Respondent, Respondents stipulate that they will not interpose a defense thereto. This Stipulation is based on the factual allegations contained in the Accusation as found below. In the interests of expedience and economy, Respondents each choose not to contest the allegations that pertain to them, but to remain silent, and understand that, as a result thereof, these factual allegations, without being admitted or denied, will serve as a basis for the disciplinary action stipulated to herein. The Real Estate Commissioner shall not be required to provide further evidence to prove said factual allegations.

- 6. Respondents have received, read and understand the "Notice Concerning Costs of Audits." Respondents REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. and RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN understand, by agreeing to this Stipulation and Agreement, and after the findings set forth below in the "Determination of Issues" become final, that the Commissioner may charge Respondents REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. and RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN, jointly and severally, for the costs of the following audits that have been and may be conducted pursuant to Section 10148 of the Business and Professions Code:
 - (a) Audit #FR000046 dated June 29, 2001: Not more than \$6,220;
 - (b) Future follow-up audit: Not more than \$6,220.
- 7. It is understood by the parties that the Real Estate Commissioner may adopt the Stipulation and Agreement as

FILE NO. H-1539 FR

- 3 - REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC.-JARMIN

her decision in this matter thereby imposing the penalty and sanctions on the real estate licenses and license rights of Respondents as set forth in the below "Order". In the event that the Commissioner in her discretion does not adopt the Stipulation and Agreement, it shall be void and of no effect, and Respondents shall each retain the right to a hearing and proceeding on the Accusation under all the provisions of the APA and shall not be bound by any admission or waiver made herein.

8. The Order or any subsequent Order of the Real Estate Commissioner made pursuant to this Stipulation and Agreement shall not constitute an estoppel, merger or bar to any further administrative or civil proceedings by the Department of Real Estate with respect to any matters which were not specifically alleged to be causes for accusation in this proceeding.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

By reason of the foregoing stipulations and waivers and solely for the purpose of settlement of the pending Accusation without a hearing, it is stipulated and agreed that the following determination of issues shall be made:

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. as stipulated above violate Sections 10085, 10145, 10146, 10229(e), 10229(g), 10229(j), 10232.2(a), 10232.2(c), 10232.25, 10234, and 10240 of the California Business and Professions Code (hereafter the Code), and Sections 2831, 2831.1,

- 4 - REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC.-JARMIN

1 2831.2, 2834, and 2970 of Title 10, California Code of 2 Regulations, and constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions of Section 10177(d) of the Code. 3 5 The acts and/or omissions of Respondent RODNEY VIRGIL 6 JARMIN as stipulated above constitute grounds for disciplinary 7 action under the provisions of Section 10177(h) of the Code. Я 9 ORDER 10 All real estate license(s) and license rights of Respondents 11 REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. and RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN are 12 hereby revoked. 13 A restricted real estate broker corporation license shall be 14 issued to Respondent REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC., and a 15 restricted real estate broker license and/or designated 1.6 broker officer license shall be issued to Respondent RODNEY 17 VIRGIL JARMIN pursuant to Section 10156.6 of the Code if they 18 each make application therefor and pay to the Department the 19 appropriate fee for each license within ninety (90) days of 20 the effective date of the Order. 21 The restricted licenses issued to Respondents shall be . 22 subject to all of the provisions of Section 10156.7 of the 23 Business and Professions Code and to the following conditions 24 and limitations imposed under authority of Section 10156.6 of 25 said Code: 26 1) Respondent RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN shall, prior to and as a 27 condition of the issuance of said restricted license, FILE NO. H-1539 FR REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC.-JARMIN

submit proof satisfactory to the Commissioner of having taken and completed the continuing education course on trust fund accounting and handling specified in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) of Section 10170.5 of the Business and Professions Code from an approved continuing education course provider. Said course may have been completed within one hundred and twenty (120) days prior to the effective date of the order herein.

2) The restricted licenses issued to Respondents may each be suspended prior to hearing by order of the Real Estate

- 2) The restricted licenses issued to Respondents may each be suspended prior to hearing by order of the Real Estate Commissioner in the event of each Respondent's conviction or plea of nolo contendere to a crime which bears a substantial relationship to that Respondent's fitness or capacity as a real estate licensee.
- by Order of the Real estate Commissioner on evidence satisfactory to the Commissioner that Respondents have violated provisions of the California Real Estate Law, the Subdivided Lands Law, Regulations of the Real Estate Commissioner or conditions attaching to the restricted license.
- 4) Respondents shall not be eligible to apply for the issuance of an unrestricted real estate license, nor the removal of any of the conditions of the restricted license, until two (2) years have elapsed from the effective date of this Order.

- (a) Audit #FR000046 dated June 29, 2001: Not more than \$6,220;
- (b) Future follow-up audit: Not more than \$6,220.

In calculating the amount of the Commissioner's reasonable costs for each audit, the Commissioner may use the estimated average hourly salary for all Department Audit Section personnel performing audits of real estate brokers, and shall include an allocation for travel time to and from the auditor's place of work. Respondents REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. and RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN, jointly and severally, shall pay such costs within sixty (60) days of receiving an invoice from the Commissioner detailing the activities performed during each audit and the amount of time spent performing those activities. The Commissioner may suspend the restricted license issued to Respondents pending a hearing held in accordance with Section 11500, et seq., of the Government Code, if payment is not timely made as provided for herein, or as provided for in a subsequent agreement between Respondent and the Commissioner. The suspensions shall remain in effect until payment is made in full for

27

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

each audit or until Respondents enter into an agreement satisfactory to the Commissioner to provide for payment, or until a decision providing otherwise is adopted following a hearing held pursuant to this condition.

- months from the effective date of this Order, present evidence satisfactory to the Real Estate Commissioner that Respondent has, since the most recent issuance of an original or renewal real estate license, taken and successfully completed the continuing education requirements of Article 2.5 of Chapter 3 of the Real Estate Law for renewal of a real estate license. If Respondent fails to satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order the suspension of the restricted license until the Respondent presents such evidence. The Commissioner shall afford Respondent the opportunity for hearing pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act to present such evidence.
- 7) Respondent RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN shall, within six (6)

 months from the effective date of this Decision, take and
 pass the Professional Responsibility Examination

 administered by the Department including the payment of
 the appropriate examination fee. If Respondent fails to

25 | ///

26 ///

1 satisfy this condition, the Commissioner may order 2 suspension of the restricted license until Respondent . 3 passes the examination. 4 5 Counsel for Complainant 6 7 I have read the Stipulation and Agreement, have 8 discussed it with my counsel, and its terms are understood by me 9 and are agreeable and acceptable to me. I understand that I am 10 waiving rights given to me by the California Administrative 11 Procedure Act, and I willingly, intelligently and voluntarily 12 waive those rights, including the right of requiring the 13 Commissioner to prove the allegations in the Accusation at a 14 hearing at which I would have the right to cross-examine 15 witnesses against me and to present evidence in defense and 16 mitigation of the charges. 17 18 PROPERTY LENDERS. 19 DATED 20 Respondent 21 22 23 Respondent APPROVED AS TO FORM: 24 25 26 GLEN R. LEWIS Attorney for Respondents 27

FILE NO. H-1539 FR

- 9 - REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC.-JARMIN

PAULA REDDISH ZINNEMANN Real Estate Commissioner

FILE NO. H-1539 FR

- 10 - REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC.-JARMIN

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

In the Matter of the Accusation of

REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC., and RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN,

Case No. H-1539 FRESNO

OAH No. L-2002080141

Respondent

NOTICE OF HEARING ON ACCUSATION

To the above named respondent:

Dated: SEPTEMBER 18, 2002

You are hereby notified that a hearing will be held before the Department of Real Estate at

THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS 320 W. FOURTH STREET, SUITE 630 LOS ANGELES, CA 90013

on APRIL 8, 2003, and APRIL 9, 2003, at the hour of 9:00 AM, or as soon thereafter as the matter can be heard, upon the Accusation served upon you. If you object to the place of hearing, you must notify the presiding administrative law judge of the Office of Administrative Hearings within ten (10) days after this notice is served on you. Failure to notify the presiding administrative law judge within ten days will deprive you of a change in the place of the hearing.

You may be present at the hearing. You have the right to be represented by an attorney at your own expense. You are not entitled to the appointment of an attorney to represent you at public expense. You are entitled to represent yourself without legal counsel. If you are not present in person nor represented by counsel at the hearing, the Department may take disciplinary action against you based upon any express admission or other evidence including affidavits, without any notice to you.

You may present any relevant evidence and will be given full opportunity to cross-examine all witnesses testifying against you. You are entitled to the issuance of subpenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of books, documents or other things by applying to the Department of Real Estate.

The hearing shall be conducted in the English language. If you want to offer the testimony of any witness who does not proficiently speak the English language, you must provide your own interpreter and pay his or her costs. The interpreter must be certified in accordance with Sections 11435.30 and 11435.55 of the Government Code.

DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE

RE 501 (Rev. 8/97)

DEIDRE L. JOHNSON, Counsel State Bar No. 66322 Department of Real Estate P. O. Box 187000 3 Sacramento, CA 95818-7000 Telephone: (916) 227-0789 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 5 6 7 В BEFORE THE 9 DEPARTMENT OF REAL ESTATE 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 In the Matter of the Accusation of 13 REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC., NO. H-1539 FRESNO and RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN, 14 ACCUSATION Respondents. 15 16 The Complainant, JOHN SWEENEY, a Deputy Real Estate 17 Commissioner of the State of California, for causes of Accusation 18 against REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC., and RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN, is 19 informed and alleges as follows: 20 21 PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS 22 23 24 Respondents REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC., and RODNEY 25 VIRGIL JARMIN are presently licensed and/or have license rights

under the Real Estate Law, Part 1 of Division 4 of the California

Business and Professions Code (hereafter the Code).

26

II

The Complainant, JOHN SWEENEY, a Deputy Real Estate

Commissioner of the State of California, makes this Accusation

against Respondents in his official capacity and not otherwise.

III

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent REAL PROPERTY LENDERS, INC. (hereafter RPL) was and is licensed by the State of California Department of Real Estate (hereafter Department) as a real estate broker corporation.

IV

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent RODNEY VIRGIL JARMIN (hereafter JARMIN) was and is licensed by the Department as an individual real estate broker and as the designated broker officer of RPL. At all times herein mentioned, JARMIN was and is the President and one hundred percent shareholder of RPL, and directed and controlled its activities for which a real estate license is required.

V

Within the last three years, RPL engaged in the business of, acted in the capacity of, advertised, or assumed to act as a real estate broker within the State of California, including the operation and conduct of a mortgage loan brokerage business with the public wherein lenders and borrowers were solicited for loans secured directly or collaterally by liens on real property, and, in particular, construction loans, and wherein such loans were arranged, negotiated, processed, consummated, and serviced on behalf of others, for or in expectation of compensation.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

VI

2

1

3

6

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Beginning in or about April of 2001, the Department conducted an audit of the books and records of Respondent RPL for the time period of April 1, 2000 to March 30, 2001 (hereafter the audit period), as set forth in more detail in Department Audit Report No. FR000046, dated June 29, 2001, and accompanying working papers and exhibits. In acting as a mortgage loan broker as alleged above, Respondent RPL accepted or received

VII

funds in trust from or on behalf of lenders and borrowers.

The trust funds accepted or received by Respondent RPL during the audit period were deposited or caused to be deposited from time to time into bank accounts maintained at Bank of Santa Maria (now Mid State Bank), in Paso Robles, California, as follows:

- (a) Trust #1: Account No. 162501305, entitled "Real Property Lenders, Inc. Mortgage Brokers Escrow Trust Account," used for new loan funds from investors:
- (b) Trust #2: Account No. 1024223111, entitled "Real Property Lenders, Inc. Construction & Interest Trust Account," used for construction draws and interest reserves; and,
- (c) Trust #3: Account No. 162067419 entitled "Real Property Lenders, Inc. Mortgage Brokers Trust Account, " used for loan servicing.

1 VIII 2 In connection with the collection and disbursement of trust funds as alleged above, Respondent RPL failed to deposit 3 and maintain the trust funds in a trust account or neutral escrow 5 depository, or to deliver them into the hands of the owners of the funds as required by Section 10145 of the Code, in such a 6 7 manner that as of March 30, 2001, there was a trust fund shortage allocated to Trust #2 in the approximate sum of \$5,037.98. IX 10 In connection with the receipt and disbursement of 11 trust funds as above alleged, Respondent RPL: 12 Failed to maintain a written control record of all trust funds received and disbursed for each and every trust account containing all information required by Section 2831 of Title 10 California Code of 16 Regulations (hereafter the Regulations), including 17 but not limited to accurate and complete dates and 18 daily balances; (b) Failed to maintain any separate beneficiary or 19 20 transaction records for Trust #1 and Trust #3; and 21 failed to maintain separate beneficiary or 22 transaction records for Trust #2 containing all 23 information required by Section 2831.1 of the 24 Regulations, including but not limited to accurate 25 and complete balances; 26 Failed to reconcile the balance of separate 27 beneficiary or transaction records with the

control records of trust funds received and disbursed for each and every trust account at least once a month, and/or failed to maintain a record of such reconciliations for each and every trust account as required by Section 2831.2 of the Regulations; and

(d) Authorized or permitted withdrawals to be made from

Trust #1 and Trust #3 upon the sole signature of

Jeanette Jarmin, an inactive real estate salesperson,
when she was not licensed to RPL, and was not
otherwise an employee of RPL duly bonded with the
requisite fidelity bond insurance coverage to have
such authorization, pursuant to Section 2834 of the
Regulations.

Х

During the audit period, Respondent RPL failed to prepare and deliver to borrowers, or cause to be delivered, a written borrower disclosure statement as required by Section 10240 of the Code prior to each borrower becoming obligated to complete the loan, and/or failed to retain executed copies of such statements with the records of the company.

XI

During the audit period, Respondent RPL failed to deposit some trust funds into a trust account within three business days after receipt of the funds, and instead deposited and commingled such trust funds with the general operating funds of the company, including but not limited to the following:

DATE RECEIVED	DATE DEPOSITED	AMOUNT	BORROWER
4/9/00	4/13/00	\$375	McCay
10/26/00	10/30/00	\$375	Ruffner
3/15/01	3/28/01	\$375	Wells

XII

The trust funds received by Respondent RPL as referred to in Paragraph XI above were "preparation and document fees" claimed, charged, and collected by Respondent RPL at the outset of certain loan transactions pursuant to a written "authorization to secure a lender" agreement, and constituted advance fees as defined under Sections 10026 and/or 10131.2 of the Code.

Respondent RPL failed to obtain approval from the Department for any and all agreements and related materials prior to soliciting or negotiating the advance fee agreements, and prior to claiming, charging, and collecting such advance fees.

XIII

At some times during the audit period, Respondent RPL was a multi-lender broker, and sold undivided interests in secured loans to multiple third party investors per loan.

RPL failed to record or cause to be recorded deeds of trust or assignments of deeds of trust naming as the beneficiaries only lenders or lender nominees other than the real estate licensee, as required by Section 10234 of the Code. Instead, as to some multi-lender loans, RPL only partially funded the loans, and

recorded deeds of trust evidencing the total loans both in the names of the actual third party investors, and in the name of Respondent RPL as to the unfunded portions of the loans, including but not limited to the following:

Loan No.	Total Amount	Unfunded Amount Recorded in RPL's Name
TC322	\$190,000	\$ 85,000 ® 3/16/01
CW323	\$112,000	\$ 27,000 ® 3/23/01
GM291	\$240,000	\$165,000 ® 8/30/00
BJ321	\$140,000	\$100,000 ® 2/23/01

During the audit period, Respondent RPL failed to obtain, and/or to retain in its records, signed statements from each investor on multi-lender loans as to each investor's qualifications of income or net worth for the loan, indicating that the investment in each transaction did not exceed either 10 percent of his or her net worth, or 10 percent of his or her adjusted gross income, as required by Section 10229(e) of the Code.

XIV

During the audit period, Respondent RPL based the loan-to-value ratio on some multi-lender construction loans on the projected future market value of an improved property following construction, and failed to limit the aggregate principal amount of the loans plus any unpaid principal amount of any encumbrance

ΧV

upon the real property senior thereto, to not more than 65 percent of the then-current market value of the unimproved property, pursuant to Section 10229(g) of the Code, including but not limited to:

- (a) Loan No. GM291 on Lot 53 Eagle Creek, Paso Robles in the sum of \$270,000.00, representing approximately 70 percent of an estimated future value of the residence upon completion of construction of \$340,000.00; and
- (b) Loan No. BJ321 on 123 Capital Hill Drive, Paso Robles in the sum of \$140,000.00, representing approximately 74 percent of an estimated future value of the residence upon completion of construction of \$190,000.00.

XVI

At least for the year 2001, Respondent RPL collected payments due on multi-lender loans in excess of \$905,000.00, qualifying Respondent under Section 10229(j) of the Code as a multi-lender broker required to conduct not less than quarterly trust account inspections by an independent certified public accountant, and to file reports of such an accountant with the Department within thirty days after the close of each period for which an inspection and report were required pursuant to Section 10229(j)(3) of the Code.

IIVX

Respondent RPL failed to file with the Department the trust account reports required as alleged in Paragraph XVI above for any three-month period of the year 2001.

XVII

б

At all times herein mentioned, Respondent RPL was and is a threshold broker, with a calendar fiscal year, subject to the requirements of Section 10232, et seq., of the Code. From approximately October of 2000 to the present, Respondent RPL has failed to timely file certain reports regarding its threshold business and trust account activity with the Department, as follows:

- (a) For the year 2000, the 3rd Quarterly Trust Fund
 Status Report RE 855, pursuant to Section 10232.25
 of the Code, was due not later than October 31,
 2000, and was not filed until on or about
 December 27, 2000;
- (b) For the year 2000, the annual Mortgage Loan/Trust

 Deed Report RE 881, pursuant to Section 10232.2(c)

 of the Code (also known as Business Activity

 Report), was due not later than March 31, 2001,

 and was not filed until on or about November 27,

 2001; and,
- (c) For the year 2000, the annual Trust Account Review Report, pursuant to Section 10232.2(a) of the Code, was due not later than May 31, 2001, and was not filed until on or about January 10, 2002.

XIX

The acts and/or omissions of Respondent RPL as alleged above constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the following provisions:

1 (a) As to Paragraph VIII, under Section 10145 of the 2 Code, in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the 3 Code. 4 As to Paragraph IX(a), under Section 2831 of the Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) 5 б of the Code. 7 (c) As to Paragraph IX(b), under Section 2831.1 of the 8 Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) 9 of the Code. 10 (d) As to Paragraph IX(c), under Section 2831.2 of the 11 Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) 12 of the Code. 13 (e) As to Paragraph IX(d), under Section 2834 of the 14 Regulations in conjunction with Section 10177(d) 15 of the Code. 16 (f) As to Paragraph X, under Section 10240 of the 17 Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the 18 Code. 19 (q) As to Paragraph XI, under Sections 10145 and 10146 20 of the Code in conjunction with 10177(d) of the 21 Code, and Section 10176(e) of the Code. 22 (h) As to Paragraph XII, under Sections 10085 of the 23 Code, and Section 2970 of the Regulations, in 24 conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 25 (i) As to Paragraph XIII, under Section 10234 of the 26 Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the 27 Code. - 10 -

1 (j) As to Paragraph XIV, under Section 10229(e) of the 2 Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 3 (k) As to Paragraph XV, under Section 10229(g) of the 5 Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the 6 Code. 7 (1) As to Paragraphs XVI and XVII, under Section ß 10229(j) of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) of the Code. 10 As to Paragraph XVIII(a), under Section 10232.25 (m) 11 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) 12 of the Code. 13 (n) As to Paragraph XVIII(b), under Section 10232.2(c) 14 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) 15 of the Code. 16 (o) As to Paragraph XVIII(c), under Section 10232.2(a) 17 of the Code in conjunction with Section 10177(d) 18 of the Code. 19 SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION XX 21 At all times mentioned herein, Respondent JARMIN failed 22 to exercise reasonable supervision and control of the activities 23 of RPL for which a real estate license is required. In 24 particular, JARMIN caused, permitted, and/or ratified the conduct described above, and/or failed to take reasonable steps to 26 implement effective supervision that would have prevented it,

including but not limited to: (a) the establishment of policies,

rules, procedures, and systems to review, oversee, inspect and manage matters including but not limited to the handling of advance fees, trust funds, trust fund books and records, borrower disclosures, multi-lender loans, construction loans, and statutory reports; and (b) the establishment of systems for monitoring compliance with such policies, rules, procedures, and systems, to ensure compliance by the company with the Real Estate Law.

XXI

The acts and/or omissions of JARMIN as alleged above constitute grounds for disciplinary action under the provisions

WHEREFORE, Complainant prays that a hearing be conducted on the allegations of this Accusation and that upon proof thereof a decision be rendered imposing disciplinary action against all licenses and license rights of Respondents under the Real Estate Law (Part 1 of Division 4 of the Business and Professions Code), and for such other and further relief as may be proper under other provisions of law.

Dated at Fresno, California, this day of May, 2002.

of Section 10177(h) of the Code.

Deputy Real Estate Commissioner